MOLINO STEWART ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL HAZARDS

WATER TECHNOLOGY

••••••••



Sue Savage Reserve Review of Environmental Factors Final



Sue Savage Reserve

Review of Environmental Factors

Client: City of Parramatta Council

Prepared by: Water Technology Pty Ltd trading as Molino Stewart Suite 3, Level 1, 20 Wentworth Street, Parramatta NSW 2150, Australia PO Box 614, Parramatta CBD BC, Parramatta NSW 2124 T +61 2 9354 0300 www.molinostewart.com.au ABN 60 093 377 283 ACN 093 377 283

August 2024

© Water Technology Pty Ltd trading as Molino Stewart. All rights reserved

Molino Stewart has prepared this document for the sole use of the Client and for a specific purpose, each as expressly stated in the document. No other party should rely on this document without the prior written consent of Molino Stewart. Molino Stewart undertakes no duty, nor accepts any responsibility, to any third party who may rely upon or use this document. This document has been prepared based on the Client's description of its requirements and Molino Stewart's experience, having regard to assumptions that Molino Stewart can reasonably be expected to make in accordance with sound professional principles. Molino Stewart may also have relied upon information provided by the Client and other third parties to prepare this document, some of which may not have been verified. Subject to the above conditions, this document may be transmitted, reproduced or disseminated only in its entirety.



Document Control

Document Reference	23050018_R01v04_Sue Savage Reserve Ref Final
Project	Sue Savage Reserve
Document Type	Review of Environmental Factors
Author	Petra Arola

Revision History

Date	Version	Name	Comments
17/01/2023	1.0	Petra Arola	Draft for internal review
12/08/2024	3.0	Petra Arola	Draft for client
28/08/2024	4.0	Petra Arola	Final

Document Approval

For Molino Stewart	Saguley.
Name	Shireen Baguley
Position	Principal Engineer
For City of Parramatta Council	Gol
Name	Terry Johnson
Position	Group Manager Parks and Open Space





Contents

1 Introduction	1
1.1 Project Description and Background	1
1.2 Project Location and Context	1
1.3 Detailed Scope of Works	5
1.4 Project Justification and Options	6
2 Statutory Framework and Planning Context	8
2.1 Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979	8
2.2 State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport & Infrastructu	re) 2021 8
2.3 Water Management Act 2000	9
2.4 Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016	9
2.5 Fisheries Management Act 1994	9
2.6 Heritage Act 1977	10
2.7 National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974	10
2.8 Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1	.999 10
2.9 Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997	11
2.10 Parramatta Local Environmental Plan 2023	11
2.11 Parramatta Development Control Plan 2023	11
2.12 Local Government Act 1993	12
2.13 Disability Discrimination Act 1992	14
2.14 Local Planning Instruments	14
3 Consultation	15
3.1 Community consultation	15
3.2 TISEPP consultation	15
4 Environmental Assessment	17
4.1 Topography, Geology and Soils	17
4.1.1 Impact Assessment	17
4.1.2 Mitigation Measures	19
4.2 Water Quality and Hydrology	20
4.2.1 Impact Assessment	20
4.2.2 Mitigation Measures	23
4.3 Biodiversity/Flora and Fauna	25
4.3.1 Existing Environment	25
4.3.2 Impact Assessment	26
4.3.3 Mitigation Measures	30
4.4 Heritage	31
4.4.1 Impact Assessment	31
4.4.2 Mitigation Measures	33
4.5 Noise and Vibration	33





4.5.1 Impact Assessment	33
4.5.2 Mitigation Measures	34
4.6 Air Quality	35
4.6.1 Impact Assessment	35
4.6.2 Mitigation Measures	36
4.7 Waste and Chemical Management/Hazardous Materials	36
4.7.1 Impact Assessment	36
4.7.2 Mitigation Measures	38
4.8 Traffic, Access and Services	39
4.8.1 Impact Assessment	39
4.8.2 Mitigation Measures	41
4.9 Lighting and Visual Amenity	41
4.9.1 Impact Assessment	41
4.9.2 Mitigation Measures	42
4.10 Socio-economic	43
4.10.1 Impact Assessment	43
4.10.2 Mitigation Measures	44
5 Assessment of Environmental Factors	45
6 Conclusion and Recommendations	49
6.1 Summary of Findings	49
6.2 Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)	50
6.3 Concluding Statement	50
7 Certification & Determination	52
8 References	53

Appendices

Appendix A	Photos from the site
Appendix B	Sue Savage Reserve Plans and Drawings
Appendix C	Geotechnical Investigation
Appendix D	Flood Impact Assessment
Appendix E	Likelihood of Occurrence Table
Appendix F	EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

List of Tables

Table 1 – Impact assessment checklist for landform, geology and soils	18
Table 2 – Mitigation measures for landform, geology and soils.	19
Table 3 – Impact assessment checklist for water quality and hydrology	23
Table 4 – Mitigation measures for water quality and hydrology	23
Table 5 – Existing environment of biodiversity	25
Table 6 – Impact assessment checklist for biodiversity	28
Table 7 – Mitigation measures associated with biodiversity	30
Table 8 – Impact assessment checklist for heritage	32
Table 9 – Mitigation measures associated with heritage	33
Table 10 – Impact assessment checklist for noise and vibration	34
Table 11 – Mitigation measures associated with noise and vibration.	34
Table 12 – Impact assessment checklist for air quality	35
Table 13 – Mitigation measures for air quality	36
Table 14 – Impact assessment checklist for waste and chemical management	37
Table 15 – Mitigation measure for waste and chemical management	38
Table 16 – Impact assessment checklist for traffic	40
Table 17 – Mitigation measures for traffic, access and services management	41
Table 18 – Impact assessment checklist for any visual amenity or landscape impacts.	42
Table 19 – Mitigation measures for visual amenity/landscape	42
Table 20 – Impact assessment checklist for socio-economic factors	43
Table 21 – Mitigation measures for socio-economic factors	44
Table 22: Assessment of section 171 (EP&A Regulation) environmental factors	45

List of Figures

Figure 1: Subject site	3
Figure 2: Land zoning	4
Figure 3: Land categorisation map	13
Figure 4: Water depth map for 1% AEP storm event under proposed conditions. Figure courtesy to SMEC, 2020 (Appendix D).	22
Figure 5 : Easements in Sue Savage Reserve. Figure courtesy to City of Parramatta, 2017 (Sue Savage and Reynolds Parks Master Plan Report 2017, p.11).	40
Figure 6: Constraints within the development site. The registered Aboriginal site is not mapped herein as the exact extent is unknown.	50

1 | Introduction

1.1 Project Description and Background

City of Parramatta Council (Council) has been successful in a grant application to the NSW Government Western Sydney Infrastructure Grants Program for various projects, of which one is an upgrade to Sue Savage Reserve in Toongabbie. The upgrade would aim to activate the space and improve youth recreation opportunities by introducing a new skate park and BMX pump track along with amenities, improved picnic facilities and a car park. This Review of Environmental Factors (REF) is prepared for the proposed development as per Part 5 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

Currently this public reserve is largely undeveloped except for a basketball court and a paved pathway leading through the area along Chanel Street. The development site has large grass fields and is backed by bushland associated with Toongabbie Creek riparian corridor with mature canopy trees that provide shade and potential habitat for wildlife. Adjacent to the site is Reynolds Park which has a playground and some barbecue shelters. All existing facilities in the reserve will be retained. The proposed development is based on the *Sue Savage and Reynolds Park Master Plan Report* adopted by Council in 2017.

The main trigger for the proposed development was the public request for an amenities block to be built next to the well-used playground. This amenities block would be placed between the existing playground and basketball court and would be accompanied by some landscape planting. A new skate park and BMX pump track would be built west of the existing basketball court, along with 90-degree parking along Chanel Street. This would improve the recreational useability to complement the otherwise more rarely used grass fields.

1.2 Project Location and Context

The development site is located in Toongabbie on Lots 89/-/DP237372, 13/-/DP246608 and 155/-/DP259861 within Sue Savage Reserve in the City of Parramatta Local Government Area (LGA). The reserve is located north of Chanel Street and west of Old Windsor Road and is backed by a bushland riparian corridor associated with Toongabbie Creek that runs from west to east just north of the site.

The reserve is a public reserve zoned RE1 – Public Recreation, W1 – Natural waterways and C2 – Environmental Conservation in the Parramatta Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2023. Based on supplied plans the proposed development would only affect the RE1 zone of the reserve. The land surrounding the reserve is zoned R2 – Low Density Residential and IN1 – General Industrial. Old Windsor Road is zoned SP2 – Classified Road. The land zoning of the site and surrounding area is shown in Figure 2.

The development site is approximately 5 ha and is located on a grass field next to a street with detached residential houses and is backed by a bushland riparian corridor traversed by Toongabbie Creek. Currently the development site is covered by grass and some trees, with a paved pathway traversing the site on top of a flood levee. There are also overhead high voltage transmission lines on the southern side of the site which may limit the permissible height of any development and activities on the site. A map of the site can be seen in Figure 1, and a general overview of the site can be seen in the photographs in Appendix A. The detailed drawings for the pump track are not yet finalised and its footprint in Figure 1 is approximate. The surrounding park area consist of grass fields and bushland, a basketball court, and a playground.

Native vegetation communities present in the area include Cumberland Shale Plain Woodland, Sydney Turpentine-Ironbark Forest and Cumberland Riverflat Forest vegetation communities, all of which are

Sue Savage Reserve







listed as threatened under the *Biodiversity Conservation Act* 2016. An integral part of the project is to maintain the ecological network and corridors in the area, of which the Sue Savage forms part. Toongabbie Creek is also an important part of the Sydney Metropolitan Green Grid and a core part of the 'Mountains To The Sea' link. The vegetation in the reserve also supports a high diversity of bird life and is highly valued by the local community for birdwatching activities.

The development site slopes north and drains into Toongabbie Creek. The area is relatively flat apart from the flood levee (Photo 4, Appendix A) along Chanel Street, starting roughly by the basketball court and running west. Large areas of the park itself are prone to flooding and the local residents have previously had issues with flooding on their properties. In case of flooding, the levee stops water rising from the creek and flooding the street and residential area and is therefore an important safety feature. This development aims to improve the drainage on Chanel Street in conjunction with building the new streetside parking lot.

An Aboriginal due diligence assessment has been undertaken as part of this REF, in accordance with the Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in NSW (DECCW 2010) and the Guide to investigating, assessing and reporting on Aboriginal cultural heritage in New South Wales (Office of Environment & Heritage 2011). Based on the outcomes of the due diligence assessment, an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) was undertaken as detailed in Section 4.4.

A Historical Archaeological Impact Assessment (HAIA) was also prepared (see Section 4.4).

The proposal must be assessed under Part 5 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act). This REF has been prepared by Molino Stewart, currently Water technology, to address potential environmental impacts and meet Council's statutory requirements under Division 5.1 of the EP&A Act.













Project: Sue Savage Reserve REF Projection: EPSG:3857 Produced by: Petra Arola

Pump track
 Existing utilities line
 Skatepark
 Amenities and parking
 Topography

Figure 1: Subject site













Project: Sue Savage Reserve REF Projection: EPSG:3857 Produced by: Petra Arola	





Figure 2: Land zoning

Sue Savage Reserve





Detailed Scope of Works 1.3

Plans and designs have been drawn up for the different parts of the project and can be viewed in Appendix B of this report. The project includes the following parts:

- Amenities building including provision for 1 accessible cubicle/change room and 3 ambulant cubicles;
- Park shelters with picnic settings and feature planting surrounding the amenities building; •
- New skate park to provide physical recreation opportunities for older children adjacent to • existing multi-purpose court;
- Pump track adjacent to the proposed skate park; and •
- 90-degree off-street car parking bays off Chanel Street for approximately 45 cars, inclusive of three accessible parking bays.

The location of each proposed structure is shown in the photographs in Appendix A.

Existing trees in the park would be retained and protected during the works. Eleven small trees (2-3 years old) that have been planted along Chanel Street would have to be removed for the construction of the parking lot, along with the garden beds they are planted in.

Works for the construction of the amenities building includes:

- Vegetation clearing: stripping existing turf and grass roots; •
- Demolition: existing paved walkway for digging the trench for the sewerage line (narrow cross-section);
- Earthworks: digging trench that connects to existing sewerage, water and electrical utilities, • regrading and levelling area for amenities block and barbecue facilities;
- Construction: amenities building, barbecue facilities, seats, and bike racks as per plans; and
- Landscape planting and returfing.

All proposed works in the vicinity of the new amenities building are to be finished flush with the existing surfaces and with sufficient crossfall as per construction drawings.

Work for the construction of the skatepark includes:

- Demolition: narrow section of the paved walkway;
- Earthworks: significant excavation of up to 2.5 m below existing levels into the main body, • pushing out the northern slope of the flood levee making it wider, grading and shaping soil for the surfaces of the skatepark, excavating trench for new drainage line;
- Construction: installation of new drainage pits and line, laying of surface materials and • paving, seating; and
- Landscape planting and returfing

Works for the construction of the pump track include:

- Removal of existing turf; •
- Earthworks and regrading of the ground;
- Laying of asphalt as surface material; and •
- Returfing.

Due to flood risks the pump track will have to be located on top of the flood levee which means that the available space is narrow. It is also subject to height restrictions due to the overhead powerlines.

Works for the construction of the car park includes:

Vegetation clearing: 11 planted street trees to be removed along with an over 100 m section of garden bed, turf stripped from the new parking area and grass roots removed;

Sue Savage Reserve







- Demolition: existing fence, kerb, gutters, pavement, stormwater drainage pipes and pits;
- Earthmoving: excavation for new drainage structures, regrading and levelling entire site for parking as required (excavation to be minimised where possible);
- Construction: installation of new drainage pipes and pits, road base, concrete and asphalt for the carpark; and
- Landscape planting and returfing.

Construction works were originally expected to commence in winter (June-August) 2023, however the timeline has now been revised to after the completion of this REF scheduled for late 2024. It is expected to take 2-3 months to complete the works, during which time the area would be fenced off and surrounding areas protected from dust and visual disturbance by dust screen fencing. All stockpiles and material will be stored on site in designated areas. Standard work hours for the construction work will be followed.

The works would not detract from the existing recreational use of the area as all existing facilities will be retained and there will still be plenty of space on the grass fields for ball games, picnics and nature exploration on the informal bush trails in the reserve.

1.4 Project Justification and Options

The development aims to activate and improve the appeal of the area, provide new services that cater for many age groups, and increase visitation and recreation in the area. In conjunction with the proposed development, the drainage of the otherwise flood prone Chanel Street would be improved, and further landscape planting would be done to improve shading and add to the existing ecological features of the area.

The skatepark and pump track would improve the recreational opportunities in the area and expand the user age group to include older children and teenagers. As it stands, the area only offers limited recreational options for these age groups as the playground mainly caters for younger children and their parents. The amenities would offer a necessary facility to reflect the higher level of use and longer stays associated with the district scale of new recreational facilities to be provided in the park. A new parking area would facilitate increased visitation from locations further away, and for those local residents that do not live within walking distance of the park.

In general, the development would complement the existing recreational facilities in the area. The park has both the space and the capacity for this scale of development.

Option 1 (Do Nothing) – not upgrading the area will maintain the area as it currently is. This would mean the space is potentially underutilised and the growing demand from local residents for public amenities and recreational options for older children and teenagers in the area is not met. However, this option incurs no additional cost and would keep the space available for other future development purposes.

Option 2 (Upgrade as Proposed) – the development would promote recreational use of the area and allow for more visitors from the surrounding areas to use the park. By adding a skatepark to the site it would provide more physical recreational options for children, especially for those of older age groups, where an existing gap in provision currently exists. The development would likely increase the use of Sue Savage Reserve and the adjoining Reynolds Park due to the availability of amenities, expanded barbecue and picnic facilities, and improved parking. The park upgrade would require a financial investment from Council, and it would cause temporary disturbance for local residents and park visitors for the duration of the construction and demolition works. Once the work is complete, the visitation rates would likely be higher, meaning there could be more noise and traffic in the area during day time, particularly weekends and afternoon/ evening peak use periods.

Sue Savage Reserve





2 | Statutory Framework and Planning Context

2.1 Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

The Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) and the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021 (EP&A Regulation) provide the framework for development and environmental assessment in NSW.

The proposed works are permitted without consent under the *State Environmental Planning Policy* (*Transport and Infrastructure*) 2021 (TISEPP), as discussed further in Section 2.2. Where works do not require development consent but require approval by a public authority under any legislation then they are defined as an activity under Part 5 of the EP&A Act. Division 5.1 and Section 5.7 of the EP&A Act requires any such public authority to determine whether or not the impacts of the activity are likely to be significant. A review of environmental factors (REF) is used to document that determination.

Council is defined as a public authority under the EP&A Act, and is both a proponent and determining authority for works permitted without consent. This REF is intended to address Council's compliance with the EP&A Act including Division 5.1 and Section 5.7, and the requirements of Clause 171 of the EP&A Regulation.

2.2 State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport & Infrastructure) 2021

The TISEPP aims to facilitate the delivery of infrastructure across NSW by identifying whether certain types of infrastructure require consent, can be carried out without consent or are exempt development.

Under Division 12, Section 2.73 of the TISEPP, the following developments may be carried out by or on behalf of a public authority without consent on public reserve land:

- roads, pedestrian pathways, cycleways, single storey car parks, ticketing facilities, viewing platforms and pedestrian bridges;
- recreation areas and recreation facilities (outdoor);
- visitor information centres, information boards and other information facilities;
- lighting;
- landscaping;
- amenities for people using the reserve, including toilets and change rooms; and
- food preparation and related facilities for people using the reserve.

Construction of seats, picnic tables, barbecues, bins, shelters and shade structures, along with installation of play equipment, is exempt under the same circumstances (Section 2.74). The proposed works are to be carried out on public reserve land by Council and therefore can be undertaken without consent under the TISEPP. However, as explained in Section 2.1 they must be assessed under Part 5 of the EP&A Act.





2.3 Water Management Act 2000

The *Water Management Act 2000* (WM Act) provides for the sustainable and integrated management of the water sources of the State for the benefit of both present and future generations. The WM Act defines principles of water management, sets out water licensing laws and environmental water provisions.

Section 91 (2) states that: A controlled activity approval confers a right on its holder to carry out a specified controlled activity at a specified location in, on or under waterfront land. In accordance with Clause 41 of the Water Management (General) Regulation 2018, this project is being carried out by or on behalf of Council and therefore exempt from requiring a Controlled Activity Approval in accordance with the Act.

As detailed in section 4.2, a Water Supply Work Approval (WSWA) is required under Section 90(2) of the WM Act for activities that involve dewatering groundwater (e.g. dewatering an excavation such as a trench or wet well, or HDD), irrespective of volume. Therefore, it is anticipated that a WSWA from WaterNSW will be required to carry out the work.

2.4 Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016

The *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act) includes the Biodiversity Offsets Scheme (BOS) that governs how biodiversity offsets will be used to ensure they offset the loss due to development and deliver conservation outcomes. The Act and Regulations also govern the Biodiversity Assessment Method (BAM) as a scientific method that assesses biodiversity losses from impacts at development sites and gains from conserving land at stewardship sites.

Authorities such as Council seeking to undertake an activity under Part 5 of the EP&A Act can voluntarily opt-in to the BOS and BAM scheme, or alternatively can elect to undertake an Assessment of Significance and proceed with a Part 5 approval. It will be required to:

- determine if the activity will be likely to significantly affect threatened species or ecological communities, or their habitats;
- take serious and irreversible impacts into consideration; and
- determine if there are any additional and appropriate measures that will minimise the impact if the activity is to be carried out or approved.

The potential ecological impacts of the proposal are discussed in section 4.3 of this REF. It is concluded that the proposal is not likely to have a significant impact on any threatened species, populations, or communities. Therefore, a Species Impact Statement or Biodiversity Development Assessment Report is not required.

2.5 Fisheries Management Act 1994

The provisions of the *Fisheries Management Act* 1994 relating to project development and approval processes operate similarly to the BC Act. The Act identifies threatened aquatic species, populations and ecological communities and requires a test of significance.

Toongabbie Creek is mapped as Key Fish Habitat and any direct or indirect impacts on the creek would trigger the need for a species impact statement for Part 5 projects. The potential ecological impacts of the proposal are discussed in Section 4.3 of this REF report. It is concluded that the proposal is not likely to have a significant impact on any threatened aquatic species, populations or communities.

Sue Savage Reserve





2.6 Heritage Act 1977

The Heritage Act 1977 provides for the protection of non-Aboriginal heritage in NSW.

Items listed on the State Heritage Register, including archaeological heritage, require consent of the Heritage Council to undertake work or development which alters, moves, despoils or damages any part of the heritage item, place, precinct, land, its relics or any vegetation.

The potential heritage impacts of the proposal are discussed in Section 4.4 of this REF. It is concluded that the proposal is not likely to have a significant impact on any heritage features or archaeology.

2.7 National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974

This Act provides, amongst other things, for the protection of Aboriginal heritage. All Aboriginal objects are protected under Section 90 of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* (NPW Act).

Under Section 90, it is an offence to destroy, deface, damage or desecrate an Aboriginal object or Aboriginal place without the prior issue of an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) by NSW Heritage. The amended Act requires that reasonable precautions and due diligence must be taken to avoid impacts on Aboriginal objects which includes:

- identifying whether there are, or likely to be any listed Aboriginal objects present in the area;
- determining whether the proposed activities are likely to harm Aboriginal objects (if present);
- determining whether an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) is required.

If an AHIP is required, then consultation must be undertaken with Aboriginal stakeholder groups in accordance with the requirements in cl.80C of the NPW Regulation and the Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales, Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water NSW, 2010.

The potential Aboriginal heritage impacts of the proposal are discussed in Section 4.4 of this REF. A registered Aboriginal site located within Sue Savage Reserve extends across much of Sue Savage Reserve, however the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) and test excavations for the project indicated that the Aboriginal site does not extend into the development site.

2.8 Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999

Under the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act), Commonwealth approval is required for certain actions. Actions which have or may have or are likely to have a significant impact on a Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES). MNES include nationally threatened species or endangered ecological communities. Under the EPBC Act an assessment of the impact of a proposal on a MNES must be undertaken to determine whether there is likely to be a significant impact. If the assessment concludes there is a significant impact, then it will become a controlled action under the EPBC Act and the proposal must be referred to the Commonwealth. Approval from the relevant Federal Minister is also required for any actions that may have a significant impact on MNES, except in circumstances which are set out in the EPBC Act.

Approval from the Commonwealth is in addition to any approvals under NSW legislation.

The potential ecological impacts of the proposed works are discussed in Section 4.3 of this REF. It is concluded that the proposal is not likely to have a significant impact on any EPBC Act listed threatened

Sue Savage Reserve







species, populations or communities and does not require referral to the Commonwealth under the EPBC Act.

2.9 Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997

The *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* (PoEO Act) is the primary piece of legislation regulating pollution control and waste disposal in NSW and is administered by the Environment Protection Authority (EPA).

The proposed works will be carried out with appropriate mitigation measures in place so that air, water, and noise pollution will be minimal.

Scheduled activities (as defined in Schedule 1 of the Act) require an Environment Protection License (EPL), as does development which is proposed to be carried out which would enable scheduled activities to occur. The proposed works are not considered a scheduled activity and therefore do not require an EPL.

2.10 Parramatta Local Environmental Plan 2023

Sue Savage Reserve follows the Parramatta Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2023.

The Parramatta LEP aims to make local environmental planning provisions for land in the Parramatta LGA in accordance with the relevant standard environmental planning instrument.

The works are to be conducted in RE1 – Public Recreation zoned land. The objectives of this zone, relevant to this development, include:

- To enable land to be used for public open space or recreational purposes;
- To provide a range of recreational settings and activities and compatible land uses;
- To protect and enhance the natural environment for recreational purposes
- To conserve, enhance and promote the natural and cultural heritage value of parks and open space in the zone; and
- To create opportunities to use riverfront land for public recreation.

The proposed works involves the construction of a skate park and pump track, amenities buildings, park shelters and parking. It also includes minor landscaping works. This is consistent with the objectives outlined in Parramatta LEP as it provides new recreational opportunities in the area.

2.11 Parramatta Development Control Plan 2023

The Parramatta Development Control Plan (DCP) accompanies the Parramatta LEP to prescribe detailed guidelines and environmental standards for new development within the Parramatta LGA. The aim of the DCP is to:

- Ensure that development contributes to the quality of the natural and built environments
- Encourage development that contributes to the quality of the public domain.
- Ensure that development is economically, environmentally and socially sustainable.
- Ensure future development has consideration for the needs of all members of the community.
- Ensure development positively responds to the qualities of the site and its context.
- Ensure development positively responds to the character of the surrounding area.



Sue Savage Reserve





This assessment takes the objectives and design principles of the Parramatta DCP into account.

2.12 Local Government Act 1993

The *Local Government Act* 1993 (LG Act) provides the legal framework for local government and prescribes the responsibilities and powers of Councils.

Under Part 2 of the LG Act all public land is to be classified and categorised based upon its characteristics and intended use. Based upon the applicable land category, all community land is also to be managed under a plan of management in accordance with the core objectives of said land category.

The development areas within Sue Savage Reserve and Reynolds Reserve are categorised as 'Park' and managed under City of Parramatta's *Community and Crown Land Plan of Management* (2023) (see Figure 3). The core objectives for management of land categorised as a park are:

- encourage, promote and facilitate recreational, cultural, social and educational pastimes and activities;
- provide for passive recreational activities or pastimes and for the casual playing of games; and
- improve the land in such a way as to promote and facilitate its use to achieve the other core objectives for its management.

The upgraded proposed park development supports all of the above objectives.







Crown Land (Council Manager)

Natural Areas - Subcategory WATERCOURSE BUSHLAND WETLAND ESCARPMENT Proposed Community Land Categories General Community Use Natural Area Park Sportsground Operational Land Existing Community Land Categories General Community Use Natural Area Park

Sportsground
Operational Land



Figure 3: Land categorisation map





2.13 Disability Discrimination Act 1992

The *Disability Discrimination Act 1992* (DD Act) is a federal Australian Act that makes it unlawful to discriminate against a person because of their disability. The DD Act is applicable in many areas of public life, including employment, education, getting or using services, renting or buying a house or unit, and accessing public places.

The DD Act has been included in the proposed works at Sue Savage Reserve by considering disabilities in the design to the degree possible.

2.14 Local Planning Instruments

This proposal is developed in line with local planning instruments within Parramatta as well as the Greater Sydney area. The relevant local planning instruments are detailed below.

Parramatta Local Strategic Planning Statement – City Plan 2036

The Parramatta Local Strategic Planning Statement provides strategic direction on how the City of Parramatta is planning for the next 20 years. The Statement draws together the needs and aspirations of the community and identifies priorities for jobs, homes and infrastructure. The Statement looks at the role of Parramatta as part of Greater Sydney and seeks to achieve a future which is sustainable, liveable and productive.

The Local Strategic Planning Statement has been prepared in accordance with clause 3.9 of the EP&A Act.

Our Greater Sydney 2056 - Central City District Plan

The Central City District Plan sets out planning priorities and actions for improving the quality of life for residents as the District grows and changes. Areas covered by the plan include Parramatta, The Hills, Blacktown, Merrylands, Granville, Auburn, and the parklands of Bicentennial park and Millennium Parklands.

The Plan considers community and cultural life and the environmental sustainability of the landscape.

Greater Sydney Region Plan

The Greater Sydney Region Plan sets a 40-year vision (to 2056) and establishes a 20-year plan to manage growth and change for Greater Sydney in the context of social, economic and environmental matters. It informs district and local plans and the assessment of planning proposals, and assists infrastructure agencies to plan and deliver for growth and change and to align their infrastructure plans to place-based outcomes. Finally, it informs the private sector and the wider community of the growth management and infrastructure investment intentions of government.

The Plan applies to the Greater Sydney Region and sets the planning framework for the five districts which make up the region.



Sue Savage Reserve



3 Consultation

3.1 Community consultation

Two rounds of community consultation have been carried out for the proposal, the first one during the development of the Sue Savage and Reynolds Park Master Plan in October 2016 and the second one in 2022.

The first round of consultation was undertaken during an open day at Sue Savage Reserve on 6th October 2016. The parties present included Council staff, Group GSA staff, and local residents. The public's opinions were collected through direct discussions, user surveys, and by giving votes to their preferred activities. A total of 48 visitor surveys were completed.

An online survey replicating the on-site survey was developed and was completed by a further 101 respondents. Overall, the attitudes towards the proposed development and improvements of the park were positive. The most popular among the suggested new features were the skate park and BMX track, and the public toilets. The consultation showed that the most popular activities in the park are walking, playground visits and cycling. The time visitors spend in the park could be increased by providing better facilities, for example by improving the existing playground precinct and providing a new youth facility as requested by the respondents.

Comments provided by the respondents included wishes for improved safety in the park (mainly in the playground), more shading, expanded parking area, and preservation of the natural features and bushland in the park. Concerns were also raised about motocross bikes using the park, and the possibility that the construction of skateboard and BMX facilities would encourage further use. Other concerns included parking issues, littering and graffiti, and teenagers loitering at night. The adopted masterplan was based on the results of this consultation in conjunction with the strategic priorities identified in Councils Community Infrastructure Strategy.

The second round of community consultation collected comments on the proposed pump track, which was added to the concept plans at a later stage. The survey was open on 'Participate Parramatta' for two weeks from 12th September until 26th September 2022. A total of 92 submissions were made at this stage. The plans were updated based on the outcomes of the first set of comments and another round of feedback was opened in November 2022. This stage of the consultation yielded 62 responses.

The outcome of the consultation was positive with 95 % of respondents supporting the design in stage two. Those opposing the development design had concerns about safety and attracting more visitors from other suburbs. Comments provided regarding the use and design of the pump track included ensuring the area is safe for riders of all skill levels, provision of amenities such as shade, drinking water and seating, and consideration of flood mitigation to the area.

3.2 TISEPP consultation

Part 2.2, Division 1 of the Transport and Infrastructure SEPP contains provisions for consultation with public authorities prior to the commencement of certain types of development. The relevant TISEPP consultations for this project are outlined below.

The proposed development is located on flood prone land. To ensure that the development would not negatively impact on flood patterns or increase flood hazards, a flood study was undertaken for the site (see Section 4.2). The study showed that the impacts on flooding would be negligible and that the

Sue Savage Reserve







impacts on the integrity of the flood levee would not be significant provided the outlined recommendations were adhered to.

The proposed infrastructure will connect to existing stormwater, sewer and water infrastructure, for which consultation with and approval from relevant authorities is needed.

Traffic on Chanel Street may be disrupted during the work. The road is owned by Council and any impacts on traffic would be handled by Council internally. Residents on the street should be notified of the works ahead of commencement.

The park areas will need to be closed for the duration of the works. Since the land is Council owned, the impacts on area users would be handled by Council internally. Notification to area users of the construction works should be given ahead of commencement e.g. by erecting signage in the park.

Consultation for the easements within the site (Endeavour Energy, Ampol) has been undertaken by Council and the relevant approvals will be sought ahead of the works commencing. Ampol owns a high pressure oil pipeline on the eastern side of the site, and have been notified of the proposed works and, they have provided requirement of works and contact details to engage a spotter to oversee construction works. Endeavour Energy has provided an Approval of Works which the contractor is to adhere to.



Sue Savage Reserve



4 | Environmental Assessment

4.1 Topography, Geology and Soils

4.1.1 Impact Assessment

The site sits within a South Creek Alluvial soil landscape with floodplains, valley flats and drainage depressions of the channels on the Cumberland Plain. The soil of this landscape type is often very deep layered sediments over bedrock or relict soils with Structured Plastic Clays (Uf6.13) or Structured Loams (Um6.1) in and immediately adjacent to drainage lines, and Red and Yellow Podzolic Soils (Dr5.11, Dy2.41, Dr2.21) on low terraces. Limitations for this soil landscape include flood hazard, seasonal waterlogging, localised permanently high water tables, localised water erosion hazard, and localised surface movement potential. (eSpade, 2023)

Acid sulphate soils contain naturally occurring iron sulphates and are common along the coastlines. When the soil is drained or disturbed these sulphates oxidise and turn into sulphuric acid. The NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, Environment and Water (DCCEEWW) Acid Sulphate Soil Risk mapping indicates that the project site has not been assessed for acid sulphate soils (eSpade, 2023). The closest location with acid sulphate soil probability mapping is where Toongabbie Creek meets Parramatta River, which is 3.5 km away (6.5 km downstream). This location is mapped as low probability over 3 m below ground surface. Therefore, the site is deemed to have very low probability of acid sulphate soils.

Construction work can expose contaminated soils and groundwater, potentially leading to environmental, health and safety risks. A search of NSW EPA Contaminated Land Records and NSW EPA List of Contaminated Sites shows no know occurrences of contaminated soils on the site. The site is also not deemed to be in risk of soil or groundwater salinity as per the *Salinity potential in Western Sydney 2002* map.

During the works existing turf will be removed in and around the footprints of all parts of the development, leaving the soil exposed and at risk of erosion. Significant excavation will also be undertaken into the flood levee to make space for the skatepark and pump track. In the event of heavy rains during this period, there is a risk of significant soil erosion and the levee structure may be compromised. The level of erosion would be further augmented by subsequent flooding and ponding especially in the site for the new parking lot. A geotechnical survey (Appendix C) and a flood impact assessment (Appendix D) were undertaken to evaluate the risks involved and recommend mitigation measures to avoid soil erosion and avoid compromising the stability of the flood levee.

The flood levee on site mainly consists of silty sandy clay and has no signs of deterioration or erosion. However, the excavation for the skatepark and pump track could impact on the stability of the levee and any works cutting into the levee would need to follow the guidelines provided in the geotechnical report and flood impact assessment.

Recommendations from the geotechnical survey for ensuring the integrity of the flood levee include the following (Appendix C, Chapter 6.2):

- Minimising any excavation into the flood levee and limit any changes in its configuration to minor reductions in width without reducing its overall height;
- The levee batter slope should not exceed a ratio of 1:3;
- The skatepark should be shifted northward if possible to avoid any deep excavation immediately next to the main body of the levee;



Sue Savage Reserve





- Any excavation into the levee is to be assessed by Council's flood engineers prior to finalisation of designs;
- General earthwork controls such as surface drainage measures (temporary drains, surface grading and sediment control) are to be implemented to prevent ponding and surface scouring during construction;
- Fills and surfaces are to be wet compacted to prevent dispersion; and
- Revegetation should be promoted through use of suitable thickness topsoils and planting.

The recommendations from the flood assessment are outlined in section 4.2 of this report.

The risks associated with the construction works can be managed by using sufficiently compacted materials, adjusting the slope to less than 1:3, and revegetating with plants with a high groundcover ratio and strong soil binding roots. The renewed subsoil drainage routes under the new parking lot should improve drainage along Chanel Street after the work is completed, making the area less prone to ponding.

Once at an operational stage the proposed development is expected to have a negligible impact on soils and erosion risks.

Will the proposed works impact on erosion prone areas?	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
Will the proposed works impact on erosion sensitive structures?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
Will the proposed works impact on areas containing acid sulphate soils?	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
Will the proposed works impact on areas with contaminated soil?	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
Does the project involve the disturbance of large areas (>2ha) for earthworks?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Does the site have constraints for erosion and sedimentation controls such as steep gradients, narrow corridors, flood protection or is located on private property?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Are there any sensitive receiving environments that are located in or nearby the likely project footprint or that would likely receive stormwater discharge from the project?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Sensitive receiving environments include (but are not limited to) wetlands, state forests, national parks, nature reserves, rainforests, drinking water catchments.	
Will there be any ongoing/maintenance/operational impacts associated with the project?	Yes 🗌 No 🖂

Table 1 – Impact assessment checklist for landform, geology and soils







If yes to any of the above, detail impact:

The soil in this soil landscape profile is not usually prone to erosion, but the flood prone nature of the area increases the risk of significant erosion during the construction phase. The main concern is the impacts on the stability of the flood levee, and the effects of flood waters on soil erosion during the time the soil is exposed. The exposed areas will also be large, further increasing erosion risks.

The site backs onto Toongabbie Creek, being mapped as key fish habitat, and an area zoned for environmental conservation, which both are sensitive receivers. There is also ecologically valuable bushland surrounding the creek. Any sediment runoff from the site would end up in the creek, causing sedimentation and potential environmental damage in the waterways further downstream.

Seasonal variation in precipitation should be considered when planning the works and associated Erosion and Sediment Control Plans.

4.1.2 Mitigation Measures

Table 2 – Mitigation measures for landform, geology and soils.

Type of Impact	Safeguard/ Mitigation Measure	Timing
General construction impacts	Prepare a site-specific Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) in accordance with Landcom's Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction (2004), also called the Blue Book, as part of the CEMP.	Pre-Construction
	Site management will incorporate best management erosion and sediment control practices such as those found in Landcom's Blue Book on erosion and sediment control, to minimise soil erosion and water pollution.	Construction
	The site specific ESCP must be included in the site induction.	Pre-Construction
	A copy of the site specific ESCP must be on site during any construction works and must be included in site induction.	Construction
Sedimentation and erosion	Erosion and sediment controls are to be installed prior to commencement of works to stabilise the ground. These are to be established and maintained in accordance with Landcom's Blue Book.	Construction
	Linear silt fencing or other sediment controls (earth/mulch bund etc.) to be installed downhill of all affected areas and stockpiles. These are to be installed before earth works begin.	Construction
	Rock check dams, sandbags, hay bales wrapped in geotextile fabric etc. will be used to slow water flow and trap sediment in runoff water.	Construction

Sue Savage Reserve







	All erosion and silt control devices will be visually inspected weekly to ensure effectiveness as well as after each rainfall event.	Construction
	Design drawings and plans should be adjusted to ensure	Pre-Construction
	the integrity of the flood levee and minimise risks of flooding for the duration of the works and beyond.	Operational
	Erosion and sediment control measures should be	Pre-Construction
	designed and implemented to ensure the integrity of the flood levee.	Construction
	Slopes in the flood levee should not exceed a ratio of 1:3.	Construction
	Works are to be staged to minimise the area of disturbance, given the flood prone nature of the site.	Construction
Stockpile management	Materials are not to be stored below the Flood Planning Level (1% AEP plus 0.5m freeboard) as per Parramatta DCP.	Construction
Soil stabilisation and	Erosion and sediment control measures are not to be removed until the works are completed and areas are stabilised.	Post-Construction
restoration	The structural integrity of the flood levee is not to be	Construction,
	compromised, and in areas of excavation the flood levee is to be re-established using stable compacted materials with a slope not exceeding 1:3 and revegetated with suitable plants.	Post-Construction
	The rehabilitation of disturbed areas will be carried out progressively as construction stages are completed, and in accordance with Landcom's Blue Book and Parramatta DCP.	Post-Construction
Contaminated land	Cease work, securely isolate the affected area and contact Project Supervisor immediately if suspected contaminated soil is uncovered.	Construction
Excavation	All excavation into the flood levee to be minimised and approved by a Council flood engineer prior to commencement of works.	Pre-Construction

4.2 Water Quality and Hydrology

4.2.1 Impact Assessment

a) Surface water

Runoff water from the site runs into Toongabbie Creek approximately 60 m north of the site. Toongabbie Creek then runs into Parramatta River and out into Sydney Harbour. The development site itself is grassy, and there is a vegetation buffer around the creek. The vegetation slows down the flow rates of overland runoff water and filters out some of the sediment and particles in the runoff water before it enters the creek.

Sue Savage Reserve







Large parts of the development site and surrounding areas are subject to flooding from Toongabbie Creek, and high velocity and volume water flows present a major constraint for the proposed development. The main constraints relate to park user safety and soil erosion during flood events as described in section 4.1 of this report. The creek is fed by stormwater from the surrounding areas, and there is minimal warning time for flooding in case of heavy rains. This means that there is potential danger to park users in the more low-laying areas of the park.

However, the subject site itself is located on higher ground and is mainly mapped as low flood risk area, apart from a section of the proposed new parking area along Chanel Street which is mapped as medium flood risk (City of Parramatta, 2023).

This development proposal has been subject to a review by flood engineers to ensure the safety of park users is maximised, erosion risks minimised, and no new maintenance issues are created. A flood impact assessment was carried out in October 2020 to determine whether the proposed development would have any adverse impacts on flooding in the area. The results show that the new amenities area and skatepark are outside the flooding areas of 1% and 5% Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) storm events (Figure 4). Meanwhile, ponding of up to 1.4 m in both flood events is expected in the proposed parking area. Based on these outcomes, a new drainage system will need to be designed to drain from Chanel Street into Toongabbie Creek to keep the ponding to a maximum depth of 200 mm as required by Council.

The pump track was added to the proposal at a later stage and was not part of the flood assessment. In the design stages for the pump track, the information and mapping data from the flood assessment was utilised to ensure that the pump track would be located above the 1% AEP flood level and therefore not be subject to flooding. Council's internal stormwater catchment engineers have also reviewed the proposed drainage infrastructure. The drainage pits and pipes for the pump track will connect to the skate park and proposed headwall, mitigating flooding. Council has also advised that the pump track will be built up and proposed levels will be higher than existing levels.

Special focus also needs to be placed on constructing sufficient drainage from the skatepark to avoid ponding in the depressions and to not worsen the flooding on Chanel Street.

b) Groundwater

Furthermore, the presence of groundwater on the site will need to be taken into consideration in the design and construction stages of this development. The geotechnical survey (Appendix C) found groundwater at 3-3.5 m below ground surface at the location of the proposed skatepark. The presence of groundwater could be problematic during the construction stage, and will therefore require the development of a Groundwater Management Plan (GMP). This GMP should also include the use of a dewatering plant.

Further to the above, a Water Supply Work Approval (WSWA) is required under Section 90(2) of the WM Act for activities that involve dewatering groundwater (e.g. dewatering an excavation such as a trench or wet well, or HDD), irrespective of volume. Therefore, it is anticipated that a WSWA from WaterNSW will be required to carry out the work.

The flood impact assessment concluded there was no significant difference in flooding impacts between the proposed development and the current park conditions. Therefore, the impact on hydrology in the park are expected to be low once at an operational stage.



Sue Savage Reserve







Figure 4: Water depth map for 1% AEP storm event under proposed conditions. Figure courtesy to SMEC, 2020 (Appendix D).



Sue Savage Reserve



Table 3 – Impact assessment checklist for water quality and hydrology

Will the proposed works be located within 40 m of any watercourse, lake, lagoon, or wetland. If yes, the NSW DPIE Water should be notified and specific controls and assessments will be required to mitigate any potential impacts.	Yes 🗆 No 🖂
Will the proposed works involve excavating near a gutter, storm water channel, drain or inlet (within 40 m up stream)	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Are the works likely to interfere with groundwater flows?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Will the proposed works be undertaken on a bridge?	Yes 🗌 No 🖾
Is the area mapped as flood prone?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Are the works likely to require the extraction of water from a local watercourse or the groundwater?	Yes 🗆 No 🛛
Will any water discharges be required for the works i.e. from sediment basins, or groundwater dewatering?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
Will there be any ongoing/maintenance/operational impacts associated with the project?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛

If yes to any of the above detail impact:

Due to the area being flood prone there is a significant risk of soil erosion in case of heavy or prolonged rainfall while the soil is exposed. Sediment laden runoff water would end up in the creek impacting water quality and clarity in downstream waterways. Potential pollutants could also affect the waterways.

As a result of the proposed works and improved drainage, the hydrology of the area would be altered in that less water would be ponding on the street, increasing the safety and useability of the area.

Altered landforms and park structures caused by the development has the potential to affect flood impacts in the surrounding area. A flood impact assessment with flood modelling was completed to estimate the risks involved. According to the flood modelling, the amenities building and skatepark would not have any impact on (and would not be impacted by) an 1% AEP flood event. The parking lot would be subject to significant ponding both before and after development, and in case of development new drainage lines would need to be installed to limit the ponding to a depth of 200 mm. The pump track was not part of the flood assessment, but it will be located above the 1% AEP flood level.

Groundwater has been encountered on site, therefore a GMP will be required for the development.

4.2.2 Mitigation Measures

• • •

The proposed work has potential to impact on the surrounding waterways via runoff. This risk can be minimised through implementation of the following safeguards.

Type of Impact	Safeguard/ Mitigation Measure	Timing
Water quality -	Visual monitoring of local water quality (i.e. turbidity, hydrocarbon spills/slicks) is to be undertaken on a regular	Construction

Table 4 – Mitigation measures for water quality and hydrology



Review of Environmental Factors



impacts to surface and groundwater quality	basis to identify any potential spills or deficient erosion and sediment controls.	
	Water quality control measures are to be used to prevent any materials (e.g. concrete, grout, sediment, etc.) entering drain inlets or waterways.	Construction
	Natural flow paths within the site and the discharge points from the site should be retained and directed to their natural catchments.	Construction
	Vehicle wash down and/or cement truck washout is to occur in a designated area or offsite.	Construction
	If water discharges are required, an assessment will be required to identify water treatment requirements, water quality discharge criteria and discharge locations to ensure compliance with Section 120 of the POEO Act.	Construction
	Excavated spoil is to be stockpiled in a designated location on site, outside of the flood prone areas with erosion controls in accordance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan	Construction
Water pollution - fuel, chemical spills and hazardous materials	Store fuels, chemicals and hazardous materials in secure, bunded areas within temporary construction ancillary facilities, outside flood prone areas and at least 40 m from all waterways.	Construction
	Capture and dispose of spill and contaminated materials from temporary construction ancillary facilities at a licensed facility.	Construction
	Appropriate spill kits will be on located on site and deployed if required. Works personnel associated with the use and maintenance of plant should be trained with regard to the use and application of spill kits.	Construction
	Any spill will be reported to the supervisor immediately.	Construction
	Refuelling of plant and equipment is to occur in an area a minimum of 40 m away from watercourses, poorly drained areas and slopes above 10%.	Construction
	Plant and equipment will be inspected regularly to ensure there are no leakages of fuel, oil, or hydraulic fluid.	Construction
Flooding	Ensure the project has been designed to not increase flooding impacts or divert significant water away from natural flows.	Pre-construction
	As the works are within a flood prone area with little warning time, a flood management/evacuation plan must be implemented before construction commences. This should include flood preparedness and evacuation procedures.	Pre-construction

Sue Savage Reserve



PAGE | 24 City of Parramatta Council Final



	Designs are to be made following the design principles and controls for flood management under Parramatta DCP section 2.4.2.1.	Pre-construction
	Plant and equipment to be stored on-site, as well as any materials and stockpiles should be located outside flood prone areas.	Construction
Groundwater	A Groundwater Management Plan (GMP) is to be developed and implemented for the works, including the use of a dewatering plant.	Pre-construction
	A WSWA from Water NSW is expected to be required to carry out the work.	Pre-Construction
Drainage	A new drainage system for the parking area will need to be designed to ameliorate significant ponding in Chanel Street and keep it within acceptable level.	Design

4.3 Biodiversity/Flora and Fauna

4.3.1 Existing Environment

Table 5 details the current biodiversity values/aspects present within the project area.

Table 5 – Existing environment of biodiversity

Vegetation communities	Exotic grass with a few native trees.
	The following PCTs are mapped in close or very close proximity to the site:
	4024 - Cumberland Blue Box Riverflat Forest
	4025 - Cumberland Red Gum Riverflat Forest
	3262 - Sydney Turpentine Ironbark Forest
	3320 - Cumberland Shale Plains Woodland
Is the vegetation on the site a Threatened Ecological Community (TEC) under the BC Act or EPBC Act	No
Condition	Disturbed and highly manicured with some native trees, the vast majority of which have been planted.
Any threatened vegetation species present	No
Native vegetation present	<i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> (Forest red gum), <i>Eucalyptus crebra</i> (Narrow-leaved ironbark), <i>Corymbia maculata</i> (Spotted gum), <i>Lophostemon confertus</i> (Queensland box), <i>Lomandra longifolia</i> (Spinyhead mat-rush) and <i>Callistemon</i> sp. (Bottlebrush).







	To be confirmed by an arborist: <i>Eucalyptus resinifera</i> (Red mahogany), <i>Eucalyptus melliodora</i> (Yellow box) and <i>Eucalyptus globoidea</i> (White stringybark).	
Noxious weeds present	None identified	
Exotic vegetation present	<i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i> (Kikuyu grass), <i>Agave attenuata</i> (Fox tail agave)	
Describe the surrounding vegetation	Parkland with exotic grasses and planted garden beds and some trees, predominantly native species. Most trees are assumed to be planted as they are young trees in garden beds or appear in a line with even distances between. Four large forest red gums may be non-planted and will be retained.	
	Adjacent to the site is bushland and Toongabbie Creek, which supports a higher level of biodiversity. This bushland will not be disturbed during the construction or operational stages of this development.	
	Toongabble Creek is mapped as Key Fish Habitat.	
Fauna present	Manorina melanocephala (Noisy miner), Manorina melanophrys (Bell miner)	
Are there any threatened fauna species present	No	
Are there any fauna habitat features present e.g. nests, tree hollows, woody debris, bush rock	There is limited fauna habitat present as most of the site is open grass field. The forest red gums to be retained are mature and are likely to be hollow bearing. The other trees on the site are too young to have formed hollows. No rocks or underground habitat is present. No nests were spotted in the trees.	

4.3.2 Impact Assessment

The development site is largely grassy without any tree cover, with the exception of four large forest red gums (*Eucalyptus tereticornis*) potentially classified as remnant vegetation, and four smaller red mahoganies (*Eucalyptus resinifera*) between the playground and basketball court. All of these trees will be retained in the development, and more trees will be planted around the amenities building.

Preliminary desktop assessments indicate that four PCTs are mapped as occurring in the immediate area surrounding the development:

• 4024 - Cumberland Blue Box Riverflat Forest is mapped as occurring immediately next to the development site. This PCT is part fit to River-Flat Eucalypt Forest on Coastal Floodplains of the New South Wales North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner Bioregions listed as Endangered in the BC Act, and part fit with River-flat eucalypt forest on coastal floodplains of southern New South Wales and eastern Victoria listed as Critically Endangered in the EPBC Act.







- 4025 Cumberland Red Gum Riverflat Forest is mapped as occurring in very close proximity to the site. This PCT is associated with the same Threatened Ecological Communities (TECs) as PCT 4024 above.
- 3262 Sydney Turpentine Ironbark Forest is mapped as occurring in very close proximity to the site. This PCT is equivalent to Sydney Turpentine-Ironbark Forest in the Sydney Basin Bioregion listed as Critically Endangered in the BC Act, and part fit with Turpentine-Ironbark Forest of the Sydney Basin Bioregion listed as Critically Endangered in the EPBC Act.
- 3320 Cumberland Shale Plains Woodland is mapped as occurring in very close proximity to the site. This PCT is part fit with Cumberland Plain Woodland in the Sydney Basin Bioregion and Shale Gravel Transition Forest in the Sydney Basin Bioregion listed as Critically Endangered and Endangered respectively in the BC Act, and part fit with Cumberland Plain Shale Woodlands and Shale-Gravel Transition Forest listed as Critically Endangered in the EPBC Act.

Forest red gum is one of the characteristic species of all above mentioned PCTs, and red mahogany is a characteristic species for PCT 3262 and PCT 3320. However, no tree felling or major disturbance of vegetation is planned close to the potential PCTs. Tree Protection Zones (TPZs) will be installed around each tree based on the tree's DBH (Diameter at Breast Height) as per AS4970 (Protection of Trees on Development Sites). No construction related activities will be allowed inside the TPZ.

According to Parramatta DCP, the works are also not to disturb the nearby bushland in any way. This includes root disturbance from vehicles, dust and sedimentation, stockpiling of material, or dumping of waste or material. A buffer 'no-go' zone should be installed in front of the bushland and is not to be entered by any construction related vehicles or workers. The easiest way to accomplish this is by erecting a fence along the buffer line.

Furthermore, there is a row of planted trees along Chanel Street of which 11 will need to be removed for the construction of the streetside parking lot. These trees occur in garden beds and are of different species, including narrow-leaved ironbark (*Eucalyptus crebra*), spotted gum (*Corymbia maculata*), and Queensland box (*Lophostemon confertus*). Other plants in the streetside planted garden beds include spinyhead mat-rush (*Lomandra longifolia*), bottlebrush (*Callistemon* sp.), and fox tail agave (*Agave attenuata*). The removal of any tree with a diameter larger than 10 cm is subject to Council approval.

All trees along Chanel Street, both those to be removed and those to be retained, are within the electricity transmission easement of the overhead high voltage powerlines and are therefore subject to pruning to limit their height to 4 m. This impacts on the general health and longevity of these trees.

Excavation works, grading and grass removal is expected to be limited to the footprints of each proposed structure. Trenches for electricity, water and sewage will be dug out to the closest connecting service line, and will require narrow sections of grass to be removed. These areas will be revegetated once the works are finished, and additional landscape planting will be carried out.

Noisy miners (*Manorina melanocephala*) were observed on site, and bell miners (*Manorina melanophrys*) were heard in the adjacent bushland. No other fauna was noted at the time of the site survey. No threatened fauna or potential habitat for threatened fauna species was observed on the site.

The Key Fish Habitat of Toongabbie Creek is at a sufficient distance from the proposed works that the direct and indirect impacts can be suitably mitigated with the safeguards outlined below. The vegetation buffer around the creek will also reduce runoff impacts into the creek. Erosion and sediment runoff, and pollution in case of heavy rains are the main risks to the creek. It is noted that the creek is flood prone and special mitigation measures are outlined to minimise impacts to the creek in case of flooding.

The grass fields on site have relatively low habitat value due to the high level of disturbance and lack of habitat structure. Native avifauna and small reptiles may use the grassy areas for occasional

Sue Savage Reserve







foraging and refuge, but the proposed development is not expected to significantly impact any native species of fauna.

The main ecological features on site are the mature canopy bearing trees which will be retained, and the adjacent bushland. Neither of these are to be disturbed in the construction process. There are no Biodiversity Values, TECs, threatened species, MNES, Key Fish Habitat or other valuable ecological features mapped as occurring on the site itself, and none of these were identified during the site inspection.

Impacts of the development on biodiversity once at an operational scale are expected to be negligible.

Table 6 – Impact assessment checklist for biodiversity

Will the works require any vegetation clearing, removal and/or trimming?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Have the trees been planted by a community group, Landcare group or by council or is the tree a memorial or part of a memorial group e.g. has a plaque?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Will the works impact any remnant urban vegetation?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Will the works impact any aquatic habitats?	Yes 🗌 No 🖾
Do the trees form part of a heritage listing or have other heritage value?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂
Will the proposed works involve the removal or disturbance to fauna habitat (e.g. tree hollows, logs, timber bridges, bush rock)?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
Are there any known Areas of Outstanding Biodiversity Value or Directory of Important Wetlands in Australia within the vicinity of the proposed works?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Are there any endangered ecological communities, populations, threatened flora and/or threatened or protected fauna within the vicinity of the proposed works?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Are there any significant weeds present?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂
Are the proposed works likely to impact on any vegetation including trees or shrubs?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Will there be any ongoing/maintenance/operational impacts associated with the project?	Yes 🗆 No 🛛

If yes detail impact:

There are four TECs as described above that are mapped adjacent to the proposed development site. TECs in the bushland adjacent to the site will not be directly impacted on. Any indirect impacts can be minimised with the mitigation measures outlined below.

Vegetation clearing for the works includes turf removal from the footprints of the development areas and tree removal along Chanel Street. Any tree removal on public land, irrespective of size, will require separate Council approval. These trees are within garden beds and are likely planted by Council. Eight mature canopy trees in the vicinity of the amenities building are to be retained, including four mature forest red gums which are possible remnant urban vegetation.

Toongabbie Creek is mapped as having both Biodiversity Values and Key Fish Habitat present. However, no impact on the surrounding bushland and the nearby creek is to be expected from the construction works, provided the mitigation measures are followed.

Aquatic habitats may be indirectly impacted by the development through sediment laden runoff water from the site in case of heavy or prolonged rains.



Sue Savage Reserve





Consideration was given to the potential impact of the proposed activity on each threatened flora and fauna species assessed as potentially occurring within and adjacent to the project area. See Appendix E for the full likelihood of occurrence assessment.

The MNES as per the EPBC Act can be found in Appendix F.







4.3.3 Mitigation Measures

Type of Impact	Safeguard/ Mitigation Measure	Timing
Flora and fauna - general	As part of the site induction process, provide all site personnel with information on the biodiversity values of the study area, including valuable ecological features, no-go areas and responsibilities under relevant environmental legislation.	Pre- Construction
	A buffer zone should be installed in front of the bushland and is not to be entered by any construction related vehicles or workers. The easiest way to accomplish this is by erecting a fence along the buffer line.	Pre- Construction
	Trees to be retained are to be fenced off within an appropriately sized Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) to avoid disturbance to the root zone.	Pre- Construction
	Should unexpected threatened fauna or flora be located at any time during construction, cease work immediately in the area to prevent further harm. Contact Council's Natural Areas Officer to determine if further assessment or management plans are required.	Pre- Construction and Construction
	No construction activities or other activity likely to disturb native vegetation and habitat shall occur outside the designated boundaries of the construction zone.	Construction
	Confine vehicle movements and avoid movement on undisturbed areas. Avoid storing or parking equipment and materials under or close to trees.	Construction
	At completion of works block off and rehabilitate any access tracks made during works.	Construction
Clearing of vegetation: pre-clearing	All vegetation to be retained is to be clearly marked out to ensure that no vegetation clearing is taking place within those areas.	Pre- Construction
Clearing of vegetation: general safeguards	Only trees approved by the Council and appropriately marked may be removed.	Construction
	If any damage occurs to vegetation outside of the boundaries of the work site as a result of the implementation of the proposal, the Project Manager will be notified and will establish strategies for mitigation of impacts and site restoration.	Construction
Aquatic habitats and riparian zones	Minimise sediment disturbance and erosion as per section 4.1.2 of this report to minimise impact on downstream riparian areas and aquatic habitats.	Construction
	All declared weeds must be treated according to requirements under the Biosecurity Act 2015 prior to the works commencing.	Construction

Table 7 – Mitigation measures associate	ed with	biodiversity
---	---------	--------------







Type of Impact	Safeguard/ Mitigation Measure	Timing
	Use weed-free topsoil in landscaping and revegetate disturbed sites with locally indigenous species.	Construction
Invasion by exotic	Construction machinery should be washed prior to entering and leaving site to ensure weed material is not transported.	Construction
species	Weed-infested material (e.g. drain spoil containing pasture grasses) should not be stockpiled on land which has native vegetation populations.	Construction
Stockpiling	Only place stockpiles in low value vegetation, where cleared sites are unavailable.	Construction
	Use existing stockpiles before creating new ones.	Pre- Construction and Construction
Site restoration	The rehabilitation of disturbed areas will be carried out progressively as construction stages are completed, and in accordance with Parramatta DCP.	Construction and Post- Construction

4.4 Heritage

4.4.1 Impact Assessment

a) Aboriginal heritage

An Aboriginal due diligence assessment was undertaken as part of this REF, in accordance with the *Due diligence code of practice for the protection of Aboriginal Objects in NSW* (DECCW 2010) and the *Guide to investigating, assessing and reporting on Aboriginal cultural heritage in New South Wales* (Office of Environment & Heritage 2011).

In the assessment a registered Aboriginal site was found to be located in the vicinity of proposed works (AHIMS 45-5-0791). The aboriginal site is within an area that historically was highly utilised by Aboriginal people, close to Toongabbie Creek. The site extends across much of Sue Savage Reserve and artefacts have been previously recorded among other on the top and slopes of the flood levee. The site was recorded prior to the construction of the levee and the site was highly disturbed during the levee construction works.

As per the recommendations in the due diligence assessment, an ACHAR and accompanying Aboriginal Archaeological Technical Report and test excavations were prepared for the proposed works. The outcome shows that AHIMS Site 45-5-0791 does not extend into the study area and therefore no disturbance of objects and/or deposits of Aboriginal archaeological and cultural significance is anticipated. As such, an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) is not required.

Furthermore, the site may hold high cultural significance with intrinsic values to the Aboriginal community. The following recommendations were formulated after consultation with the proponent and Heritage NSW:

• To protect AHIMS registered site 45-5-0791 a heritage curtilage/no-go buffer is to be adopted for the duration of the proposed works.



Sue Savage Reserve




- It is recommended that a steel frame panel fence is installed prior to the commencement of any works. It is to be installed at a minimum of 3 m away from the existing boundary (to be confirmed on site) off the southern part of the heritage item.
- The works can proceed with caution with the implementation of the Unexpected Finds Protocol developed by AMAC Archaeological as part of the ACHAR.
- All staff, contractors and workers on site should be briefed prior to works commencing as to the status of the area and its preservation, and their responsibilities regarding any Aboriginal archaeological deposits and objects located during the works.

b) European heritage

The following heritage database searches have been done:

- NSW Heritage database
- Commonwealth EPBC heritage list
- Australian Heritage Places Inventory
- Local Environmental Plan(s) heritage items

Based on these searches there are no heritage listed items on the site or close to the site.

A Historical Archaeological Impact Assessment (HAIA) was also prepared for the proposal. The site has nil to low potential to contain undocumented archaeological remains relating to the former farm on the site, and the site is not considered of State or local heritage significance. The proposed works will have nil heritage impact to known archaeological relics and can proceed without historical archaeological supervision. No further application is required under Section 139 of the *Heritage Act 1977*, and no permit required from Heritage NSW.

Table 8 – Impact assessment checklist for heritag	Table 8 – Imp	act assessme	ent checklist	for heritage
---	---------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

Does the project pose any potential risk to any identified Aboriginal heritage?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Will the project excavate large areas of undisturbed (virgin) land?	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
Will the works remove large stands of vegetation which could potentially contain scar trees.	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
Will the works be located within or in the vicinity of waterways?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
Has an Aboriginal Due Diligence Assessment been completed?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
Does the project pose any potential risk to any identified Non-Aboriginal heritage?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
Will there be any ongoing/maintenance/operational impacts associated with the project?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
Are there any items of Non-Aboriginal heritage located within the vicinity of the proposed works?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
Does the project pose any potential risk to Non-Aboriginal heritage?	Yes 🗌 No 🖂

If yes detail impact:

A registered site is found to be present in the area, but not within the development site. An Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) was prepared along with undertaking test excavations in accordance with the *Code of Practice for the Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW*. Following the test excavations, it weas determined that an AHIP would not be required.

The recommended measures are listed as mitigation measures below.



Sue Savage Reserve





4.4.2 Mitigation Measures

Type of Impact	Safeguard/ Mitigation Measure	Timing
Aboriginal heritage - management of existing heritage items	To protect AHIMS registered site 45-5-0791 a heritage curtilage/no-go buffer is to be adopted for the duration of the proposed works. A steel frame panel fence should be installed prior to the works commencing at least 3 m away from the existing boundary to the heritage site.	Pre-Construction
	If any impact to AHIMS registered site 45-5-0791 was to occur an AHIP issued by Heritage NSW would be required.	Pre-Construction
Aboriginal heritage - awareness	All staff, contractors and workers on site should be briefed prior to works commencing as to the status of the area and its preservation, and their responsibilities regarding any Aboriginal archaeological deposits and objects located during the works.	Pre-Construction
Heritage - unexpected finds	The works can proceed with caution with the implementation of the Unexpected Finds Protocol developed by AMAC Archaeological as part of the ACHAR.	Construction

Table (ı _ ۱	Mitigation	moscuroc	accoriated	with	horitago
Table :	9 – 1	viitigation	measures	associated	WILLI	nentage

4.5 Noise and Vibration

4.5.1 Impact Assessment

The project is located next to an area zoned Medium Density Residential and there are approximately 35 residential houses within a 100 m radius of the site. In addition, there is an Environmental Conservation zoned area across Toongabbie Creek. The remaining areas surrounding the site are parkland with recreational facilities, and an industrial area.

Noise from the construction works would temporarily impact the local area and would mainly include delivery and work vehicle noise, and construction machinery noise.

Once at an operational scale, the noise impact on the local area is expected to be low. An increase in noise due to higher numbers of visitation and noise carrying from the new skatepark and pump track would be expected, especially during after school hours and on the weekends. More traffic is also to be expected, adding to the noise generated in the area. The park would mainly be used during daylight hours so the potential increase in noise would only extend to these hours. This noise level is not expected to be significantly more than at current levels.







Table 10 – Impact assessment checklist for noise and vibration

Will the works involve use of high noise generating plant/equipment in an urban area.			No 🗆
Are there any noise sensitive ar be affected by the works? (i.e. c	Yes 🛛	No 🗆	
Would operation of the proposal alter the noise environment for sensitive receiver's long term? This might include changing traffic flow, increasing traffic speeds by more than 10km/hr or installing audio-tactile line markings.			No 🗆
Will any vibration intensive plant and equipment be used in the vicinity of structures including bridges, buildings or other structures?			No 🗆
Are the proposed works going to be undertaken outside of standard working hours?			No 🖂
Standard working hours			
Monday – Friday	7:00am to 6:00pm		
Saturday	8:00am to 1:00pm		
Sunday and Public Holidays No work			

If yes detail impact:

High noise generating and vibration intensive plant/equipment may be used during demolition and construction works.

The site is located next to a residential area and local residents would be impacted by the construction noise from the site for the 2-3 months duration of the works.

As a result of the development more traffic is to be expected in the area, contributing to an increase in noise generated. Higher levels of visitation, particularly to the new youth facilities, may also generate a higher level of noise than previously.

NSW EPA Construction Noise Guideline (2020) should be followed to minimise impact of noise on the surrounding area during the construction stage of the project.

4.5.2 Mitigation Measures

Type of Impact	Safeguard/ Mitigation Measure	Timing
Noise and vibration - minimisation	Contractor should include mitigation measures to be applied to minimise construction noise as part of the CEMP.	Pre-Construction
Noise and vibration - notification	Residents and/or occupants within the vicinity of the proposed works are to be notified in advance of the commencement of the construction works.	Pre-Construction
Noise and vibration - hours	Works are to be carried out during standard construction hours with no works on Sundays or public holidays. If out of hours works are required, additional noise assessments and additional mitigation measures may be required in	Construction

Table 11 – Mitigation measures associated with noise and vibration.







	accordance with the NSW EPA Construction Noise Guideline.	
Vibration	Where specific vibration intensive plant is located within minimum working distances of structures, additional vibration controls may be required e.g. vibration monitoring, use different plant/equipment.	Construction
Noise and vibration	Plant operators are to operate equipment in a manner that does not generate unnecessary noise, such as by avoiding excessive revving and minimising impacts with solid objects where possible.	Construction
	Machines/equipment are to be turned off when not in use, or throttle-down to a minimum	Construction
	All plant will be maintained in good condition, with all reasonable and feasible acoustic treatments (i.e. residential mufflers and plant enclosures) installed and maintained.	Construction
	Noise complaints and impacts are to be monitored and to be passed onto the Work Supervisor, and recorded in a complaints register.	Construction

4.6 Air Quality

4.6.1 Impact Assessment

Impacts on air quality are expected to be low and limited to the duration of the works (2-3 months). The main sources of air pollution will be vehicle exhaust fumes and dust from the earth works. As the ground cover will be removed and soil exposed, there could be an increase in airborne sediment and dust in the area on windy days.

Once at an operational stage the impact on air quality from the development is expected to be negligible, and at a similar level as with the current use.

Table 12 – Impact assessment checklist for air quality

Are the proposed works likely to result in large areas (>2ha) of exposed soils?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Are there any dust sensitive receivers located within the vicinity of the proposed works during the construction period (i.e. church, school, hospital, residences)?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Is there likely to be any dust, smoke, steam or vehicle emissions?	Yes 🗵 No 🗆
Will there be any ongoing/maintenance/operational impacts associated with the project?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛







If yes detail impact:

A moderate amount of dust may be generated as part of the works. There will be vehicles and machinery on site for the duration of the works, causing an increase in emissions in the local area.

The turf will be stripped in the footprint area for all three development sections, and the area of soil exposed is considerable. On dry and windy days, the amounts of airborne dust from these areas may be significant.

4.6.2 Mitigation Measures

As the project will require removal of ground cover there is potential for dust impacts on the surrounding residents. This risk can be minimised through implementation of the following safeguards.

Table 13 – Mitigation	measures for	r air quality
-----------------------	--------------	---------------

Type of Impact	Safeguard/ Mitigation Measure	Timing
Air quality	Measures to minimise or prevent air pollution or dust are to be used including watering or covering exposed areas.	Construction
	Works are not to be carried out during strong winds or in weather conditions where high levels of dust or air borne particulates are likely.	Construction
	Vegetation or other materials are not to be burnt on site.	Construction
	Vehicles and vessels transporting waste or other materials that may produce odours or dust are to be covered during transportation.	Construction
	Vehicles and equipment are to be maintained in good working order.	Construction
	Monitor work areas and stockpiles for dust generation	Construction
	and seed/cover/spray to suppress.	Construction
	Do not leave vehicles idling.	Construction
	Trucks travelling over exposed areas will travel at reduced speed.	Construction
	Minimise ground disturbance, and progressively revegetate disturbed areas.	Construction Operation

4.7 Waste and Chemical Management/Hazardous Materials

4.7.1 Impact Assessment

The project may generate various types of waste, the main ones being the removed turf, soil, pavement, excavation materials, and drainage structures. Construction waste associated with the







project would be managed in accordance with the *Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Act* 2001. Other waste generated during construction would include:

- construction waste, including packaging, concrete, bricks, crushed rock, steel, timber, etc;
- general litter from site personnel such as food scraps, plastic and glass containers and packages; and,
- liquid waste such as oils and chemicals from equipment maintenance, in addition to sewage from construction site facilities.

A Waste Management Plan should be prepared in accordance with Council guidelines and submitted with the construction environmental management plan. This plan should include, among other mitigation measures, an estimate of the amount of waste and recyclables to be generated, and a site plan for waste storage and bins, and access paths for collection vehicles.

The incorrect disposal of construction wastes could potentially result in contamination of local soils and water resources. Prior to the disposal of any waste material, it would be classified in accordance with NSW Waste Classification Guidelines (EPA 2014) and taken to an appropriately licensed waste management facility.

A formal waste classification for soils in accordance with the EPA Waste Classification Guidelines has not been completed. Therefore, prior to the removal of any soil material from site, a waste classification will be required. The geotechnical survey completed for the development (Appendix C) indicates that the soils encountered on site would be classified as General Solid Waste (nonputrescible). However, the sampling was not done in accordance with guideline densities and additional sampling and analysis is required prior to removing any material from the site.

Any excess material would be classified and disposed of as above. It is anticipated that construction works would not require the use of or result in the production of any hazardous materials apart from standard machinery fuel and oil.

Once at an operational stage, the number of visitors to the reserve is expected to increase. This will require more rubbish and recycling bins be installed, particularly in the vicinity of the barbecue and picnic shelters, the amenities building, and the new parking, skatepark and pump track.

Table 14 – Impact assessment checklist for waste and chemical management

Are the proposed works likely to generate large (>200 tonnes) amounts of waste material?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
Are the proposed works likely to require a licence from EPA/OEH?	Yes 🗆 No 🗵
Does the project pose any potential risk to the surrounding environment as a result of waste generated?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
Will the works require the removal of spoil/soil from site?	Yes 🗵 No 🗆
Will the works require the importation of material in large quantities e.g. topsoil, roadbase/DGB, sand, general fill?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
Is there likely to be any transport or disposal of contaminated waste?	Yes 🗆 No 🗵
Will there be any ongoing/maintenance/operational impacts associated with the project?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Will the works require the storage of large quantities of chemicals or fuels?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂

Sue Savage Reserve

PAGE | 37

City of Parramatta Council

Final



If yes detail impact:

The waste from the site is expected to mainly consist of removed turf, soil, pavement, excavation materials, and drainage structures, along with some general rubbish such as packaging and containers. Spoil will also likely need to be removed.

Ongoing waste impacts from the development includes larger amount of waste being generated due to the higher number of visitors. Additional rubbish and recycling bins will need to be installed to accommodate for this.

If YES to any of these items, a Waste Management Plan will need to be prepared as part of the CEMP

4.7.2 Mitigation Measures

Type of Impact	Safeguard/ Mitigation Measure	Timing
Site waste management	A Waste Management Plan will need to be prepared as part of the CEMP.	Pre-Construction
	Any waste oils or contaminated soils encountered on site will be properly disposed of, according to NSW EPA Waste Classifications Guidelines (2014).	Construction
	All surplus material, off cuts, and other debris resulting from the work shall be removed from site and disposed of at a licensed waste management facility. All waste is to be covered during transportation.	Construction
	Waste material, other than vegetation and tree mulch, is not to be left on site once the works have been completed.	Construction
	Working areas are to be maintained, kept free of rubbish (including cigarette butts) and cleaned up at the end of each working day.	Construction
	Designated waste collection and storage points are not to be located within 40 metres of a watercourse or within the floodzone.	Construction
	The site compound will have a designated waste storage area on hardstand and a spill kit located nearby.	Construction
	Waste must be stored in appropriate waste receptacles i.e. skip bins.	Construction
	Skip bins must have lids which can be closed during windy or wet periods.	Construction
	No waste storage is permitted in high conservation areas.	Construction
	Liquid waste must be stored in suitable waste receptacles which cannot leak and within a bunded and enclosed area.	Construction

Table 15 – Mitigation measure for waste and chemical management









	Work areas must be kept generally clean and tidy and free of litter.	Construction
	Excavated spoil is to be stockpiled in a designated location on site, outside of the drainage swale with erosion controls in accordance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan	Construction
	Waste must be classified in accordance with the NSW	Construction
	EPA Waste Classification Guidelines.	Operation
	Waste must only be disposed at suitably licensed	Construction
Waste disposal	waste facilities.	Operation
	Waste disposal records, such as weighbridge dockets must be kept and recorded.	Construction
	Prior to transporting off site or disposal of any spoil, it must be classified in accordance with the NSW EPA Waste Classification Guidelines.	Construction

4.8 Traffic, Access and Services

4.8.1 Impact Assessment

Access for construction vehicles to the site will be via Chanel Street and may impact those local residents whose driveways enter onto Chanel Street. The development site stretches for over 200 m along the street so access will not be restricted, making it easier to manage traffic flow to and from the site. At times of high numbers of deliveries or material removal from the site, there may be a queue of trucks waiting to enter or exit the site, potentially blocking residential traffic on the street. A detailed Traffic Management Plan with active traffic control will be needed to manage residential and construction traffic flows.

It is likely that on-street parking on Chanel Steet in the vicinity of the development will need to be prohibited for the duration of construction works for there to be enough space for vehicles to pass the parking construction. If there are times during the construction works when no trucks or other large vehicles are accessing the site needing sufficient space, on-street parking next to the site can be allowed. The street section does not seem to be a popular parking area based on satellite imagery and on the day of the site survey, around 2:00 pm in the afternoon, there was only one car parked along this section of the street. However, this may be different in the evening and night time and on the weekends. All surrounding residential streets offer plentiful on street parking.

The work site is located in park land and is traversed by a popular walking and cycling track. This track and all other pedestrian, bicycle and other recreation traffic in the area would be cut off and light traffic redirected for the duration of the works. Particular focus needs to be placed on safety and signage during the time of the works to ensure all pedestrians are vigilant and aware of the safety risks of moving in close proximity to a construction site.

Site services in the area include overhead high voltage electrical transmission lines and electricity lines, an underground high pressure oil pipe, and two underground easements for transmission of which only one has visible transmission structures built (Figure 5). Other services within the park but not within the development site include underground power mains and sewerage. As per State

Sue Savage Reserve





Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021, Chapter 2, Division 1, Endeavour Energy and Ampol as the easement asset owners have been consulted and they have approved of the work and provided conditions for the contractor to adhere to.

Once the development is at an operational stage, the effects on both pedestrian and vehicle traffic flows is expected to be negligible. The new parking lot will ensure parking pressure on the surrounding streets is kept low.



Figure 5: Easements in Sue Savage Reserve. Figure courtesy to City of Parramatta, 2017 (Sue Savage and Reynolds Parks Master Plan Report 2017, p.11).

Table 16 – Impact assessment checklist for traffic

Are the works located in on or near major transport corridors (e.g. highways, railways, airports)?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂
Are the works located in on or near major pedestrian routes (e.g. walking tracks, near sporting venues etc.)?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Are the proposed works likely to result in detours, disruptions or delays to traffic flow (vehicular, cycle and pedestrian)?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Are the proposed works likely to impact access to properties or businesses?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Are the proposed works likely to alter any on-street parking arrangements (either temporarily or permanently)?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆

Sue Savage Reserve





If yes detail impact:

For the duration of the works, access to and parking in Chanel Steet is expected to be disrupted. Heavy vehicle access to the site is expected to cause some waiting time for other passing traffic, and on-street parking is likely to be prohibited for the duration of the works. A detailed Traffic Management Plan with active traffic control will be required to mitigate the impacts on traffic and parking.

The works are expected to disrupt the local pedestrian and bicycle routes, which would have to be redirected to keep everyone at a safe distance from the construction works.

4.8.2 Mitigation Measures

Type of Impact	Safeguard/ Mitigation Measure Timing	
Traffic and transport	A detailed Traffic Management Plan will be prepared with details of active and passive measures to control pedestrian and vehicle traffic and on and off-street parking.	Construction
	Where possible, current traffic movements and property access are to be maintained during the works. Any disturbance is to be minimised to prevent unnecessary traffic delays.	Construction
	Signage will be used to advise pedestrians and motorists of the worksite. Appropriate measures would be implemented to direct people around and through the work site.	Pre-Construction
	Comply with Council requirements regarding traffic control, access and road/ pedestrian access (Parramatta DCP).	Construction
	Erect signs regarding proposed works, temporary road closures, diversions etc.	Construction
	All work vehicles and machinery when not in actual use for the proposed activity will be stored off road.	Construction
	Operators to drive to conditions.	Construction
	The contractor is to adhere to the conditions provided by the easement asset owners (Endeavour Energy, Ampol) during construction.	Construction

Table 17 – Mitigation measures for traffic, access and services management

4.9 Lighting and Visual Amenity

4.9.1 Impact Assessment

The visual amenity would be altered by the development, both during construction and in the long term. While the construction is underway, there would be fences erected, construction machinery



Sue Savage Reserve





and excavation works disrupting the landscape views. Once the works are completed, the new skatepark and pump track would take up part of what used to be a relatively undisturbed grass field, and the amenities block and barbecue shelters will disrupt the currently unobstructed views of the bushland.

After development the landscape would be in a less natural state, and some might consider the new structures an eyesore. To ameliorate this, landscape plantings will be done around the new structures so that in time they will be less visible and soften the interface.

No light installations have been proposed and as such there will be no impact of increased lighting on either local residents or native wildlife.

As the proposed development sits within a parkland with a small recreation field, play area, picnic shelters and manicured gardens, the new development is expected to fit in with the existing infrastructure.

Table 18 – Impact assessment checklist for any visual amenity or landscape impacts.

Are the works located in an area of high aesthetic or scenic value?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Do the proposed works have the potential to permanently impact or change visual amenity of the area?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆
Will the works involve the removal of large trees in a public place?	Yes 🗌 No 🖾
Will the works involve the erection or installation of structures in a public place?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆

If yes detail impact:

The work includes construction of an amenities building, car park, skatepark and pump track, and will be completed with landscape planting. These will all impact on the local landscape, particularly the new skatepark and pump track which will be the biggest new addition. The new structures should be designed in a way that minimises negative impact on the visual amenity of the area and complements the existing features and topography.

To maintain a natural look and landscape, the landscape planting should be done in a way that helps the new structures blend in with the bushland backdrop. Natural colour tones are preferable over bright colours.

4.9.2 Mitigation Measures

Type of Impact	Safeguard/ Mitigation Measure	Timing
Social and visual	Contain all work within the boundaries designated on the site plan.	Construction
	Restore work sites to as close to their original condition as possible.	Post-Construction
	Minimise spread of stockpiles, waste and parking.	Construction
	Complaints and impacts are to be monitored and to be passed on to the Works Supervisor, and recorded in a complaints register.	Construction

Table 19 – Mitigation measures for visual amenity/landscape







	The works area is to be kept free from rubbish and the site managed to reduce dust.	Construction
	Minimise disturbance or removal of trees, particularly if they are providing visual screening for sensitive receivers.	Construction
Design	Ensure the works are designed to complement the existing landscape by using appropriate materials, finishes, paint colours and other urban design elements.	Pre-Construction Design

4.10 Socio-economic

4.10.1 Impact Assessment

The site is located in a parkland with existing recreational infrastructure, and long-term disturbance to this area is expected to be minimal. In the long-term the useability of the space for general recreational outdoor activities will increase. Considering the surrounding park areas offer plenty of opportunities for these activities the intensification of use will not retract from the recreational useability of Sue savage Reserve.

In the short-term the recreational use of the local area will be temporarily affected by disturbance from construction work, mainly in the form of noise and traffic.

Based on community consultation the proposed development will add enhanced features to the reserve and increase the socio-economic value of the area to the local residents and other park users.

Table 20 – Impact assessment checklist for socio-economic factors

Are the proposed works likely to impact on local business?	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
Are the proposed works likely to require any property acquisition?	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
Are the proposed works likely to impact on any items or places of social value to the community (either temporarily or permanently)?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆

If yes detail impact:

The use of the subject site as passive recreational fields will halt as the long-term use for the area changes. However, the local park area provides sufficient opportunity to relocate these activities to compensate for that loss. Once operational, the park will provide recreation for a broader age group, adding value to the local area as a social place for all ages.

In the short-term, the area surrounding the site will suffer some temporary disturbance from the construction work (noise, traffic safety, parking, air pollution) and the site will be closed off temporarily to accommodate for construction related needs.







4.10.2 Mitigation Measures

Type of Impact	Safeguard/ Mitigation Measure	Timing
Social and visual	Notify the local community 2-4 weeks ahead of the works commencing.	Pre-Construction
	All personnel will exercise courtesy in dealing with the community.	Construction
	Onsite signage to be used, incorporating project details attached to temporary fencing (including site supervisor and/or project manager details).	Construction

Table 21 – Mitigation	measures for	or socio-ecor	nomic factors
Table Ex Intigation	incasares is	01 00010 0001	



Sue Savage Reserve



5 | Assessment of Environmental Factors

Section 171 of the EP&A Regulation lists the environmental factors to be investigated when consideration is being given to the likely impact of an activity on the environment under Part 5 of the EP&A Act. An assessment of the proposed activity against these environmental factors has been undertaken and is included in

In accordance with Section 171(2) of the EP&A Regulation, Council has considered the following environmental factors:	Assessment of impact	Reason
a. the environmental impact on the community	Negative/Positive	The subject site is located within a park and is frequently used by the public for social and recreational activities. The long term impacts on the recreational use of the site will be improved. Temporary negative impact is expected while the works are undertaken in the form of noise, dust and visual disturbances. Chapter 4.10 provides a full assessment of potential socio-economic impacts.
b. the transformation of the locality	Negative	The subject site consists of parkland adjacent to bushland. Part of the open grassy areas will be used to construct a pump track, amenities and barbecue facilities. A roadside parking lot will also be added. The impact on the natural appearance of the landscape will be negatively impacted with increased developed areas. However, the site is located adjacent to a residential area and will fit in with the local landscape.
c. the environmental impact on the ecosystems of the locality	Negative	The biodiversity of the development site itself consists of grasses and some planted park trees. The grass will be removed where features are being constructed, and some small street trees will be removed where the parking is constructed.
		The impacts on biodiversity within the development footprint will be moderate, however on a landscape scale the impacts are small as the impacted sections are manicured parkland. There will be temporary disturbance during the construction works.
		No impacts are expected in the adjacent bushland or any remnant vegetation.
		No threatened species are known to occur on the site.
		Refer to Section 4.3 for further information on this analysis.

Table 22: Assessment of section 171 (EP&A Regulation) environmental factors







In accordance with Section 171(2) of the EP&A Regulation, Council has considered the following environmental factors:		Assessment of impact	Reason
d.	reduction of the aesthetic, recreational, scientific or other environmental quality or value of the locality	Negative/Positive	There would be negative impacts on the aesthetics of the site from machinery and plant (noise, access restrictions etc.) while the works are undertaken. These impacts are temporary. Once completed the aesthetic values will have changed from natural grassy area to built surfaces.
			use of the site.
e.	the effects on any locality, place or building that has –	Negligible	The subject site has no significant aesthetic, architectural, cultural, historical, scientific or social values likely to be impacted on by this activity.
f.	aesthetic, anthropological, archaeological, architectural, cultural, historical, scientific or social significance, or		An ACHAR report with test excavations was prepared for the project, showing that while there are Aboriginal sites within Sue Savage Reserve, no artefacts or other features of cultural significance were recorded within the development footprint.
g.	other special value for present or future generations?		
h.	the impact on the habitat of protected animals, within the	Negligible	Impacts on habitat will be limited to removal of some grass and small street trees. None of these habitat impacts are expected to affect threatened species.
	meaning of the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016		No threatened species are likely to be dependant on the site for habitat. No habitat of protected species that occur in the vicinity of the site are expected to be significantly impacted on by the proposed activity.
		Negligible	Refer to Section 4.3 and Appendix E for details.
i.	the endangering of a species of animal. plant	ואפצווצוטופ	considered in association with the proposed activity.
or of whe in w	or other form of life, whether living on land, in water or in the air?		No threatened species are known to occur on the site. While threaten species may use the site for foraging or passing through, they are unlikely to be dependant on the site. Therefore, the proposal's impact on endangering of animals and plants is negligible.
			Refer to Section 4.3 for details.







In accordance with Section 171(2) of the EP&A Regulation, Council has considered the following environmental factors:		Assessment of impact	Reason
j.	long term effects on the environment	Negative/Negligible	The long term impacts on the environment may be negative within the immediate footprint of the development. However, the site is already heavily disturbed recreational land so the total impacts would be minimal.
			The impacts in the park outside the development footprint would be limited to increased visitation to the area and resulting impacts (noise, litter, grass trampling etc.)
k.	degradation of the quality of the environment	Negative/Positive	Aspects of environmental degradation include construction work impacts, the changed land use, and potential impacts from increased visitation to the site.
			The positive impacts include improved recreational facilities.
I.	risk to the safety of the environment	Negligible	While the proposed future recreational use of the site brings some safety risks, these are well within the acceptable limits and will be suitably mitigated as part of the site design.
m.	reduction in the range of beneficial uses of the environment	Positive	The existing uses of the area will be maintained, and new recreational uses will be added. Therefore, the proposal will result in an increase in beneficial uses of the environment.
n.	pollution of the environment	Negative/Negligible	The proposed activity is not expected to result in pollution of the environment. The increased visitation may increase noise in the area during daytime, however this would not impact significantly on nearby residential properties.
			Rubbish bins would be provided to prevent littering.
			The proposed activity is not expected to result in the oxidation of acid sulfate soils and subsequent leaching back into the waterways.
0.	environmental problems associated with the disposal of waste	Negligible	The proposed activity would not result in the generation of trackable waste, hazardous waste, liquid waste, or restricted solid waste as described in the POEO Act.
p.	increased demands on resources (natural or otherwise) which are, or are likely to become, in short supply	Negligible	No natural or other resources that are, or are likely to become, in short supply will have increasing demands in response to the proposed activity.







In a 171 Reg con env	ccordance with Section (2) of the EP&A ulation, Council has sidered the following ironmental factors:	Assessment of impact	Reason
q.	the cumulative environmental effect with other existing or likely future activities	Negligible	The proposed works are located in a parkland for which no other future plans are known. The adjacent areas are conservation areas and residential areas, neither of which are likely to undergo development. Therefore, the cumulative impacts in the close area are unlikely to be significant.
r.	Any impact on coastal processes and coastal hazards, including those under projected climate change conditions	Negligible	The site is not located close to the coast and so there will be no impacts on coastal aspects.
s.	Applicable local strategic planning statements, regional strategic plans or district strategic plans made under the Act, Division 3.1	Negligible	 The proposed activity is consistent with: Parramatta Local Strategic Planning Statement; Central City District Plan; and Greater Sydney Region Plan
t.	other relevant environmental factors	Negligible	There are no other relevant environmental factors pertaining to the proposed activity.



Sue Savage Reserve





6 | Conclusion and Recommendations

6.1 Summary of Findings

The REF has been prepared in accordance with all the relevant legislative requirements and regulations. The REF has ensured that Council has examined and considered to the fullest extent possible, all matters that have the potential to affect or are likely to affect the existing environment as a result of the project.

Earthworks will be required during the project and for this reason the groundcover will be removed from the footprints of each of the new structures. This will potentially result in erosion and sediment impacts, including the generation of sediment laden water, particularly if there is heavy rain during the construction phase. This risk is augmented by the site being mapped as flood prone. Sediment from the site may be washed away by rain and flood waters and taken downstream into Toongabbie Creek and Parramatta River. To manage soil and water related risks, an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan will be developed and implemented throughout the project.

Due to the risk of flooding, there are restrictions for excavation and soil disturbance in the flood levee. The height of the levee is not to be altered, and it is recommended any changes in its width are minor. The parking lot is the only part of the development that is subject to flooding, and due to significant ponding will require an improved drainage system be installed. The development itself is not likely to impact flooding in the area.

Groundwater was also found on the site during the geotechnical survey and will need to be considered in the work planning and budgeting for the proposed works. A Groundwater Management Plan (GMP) will need to be developed, including the use of a dewatering plant.

Four PCTs have been mapped in close proximity to the development site, and the nearby Toongabbie Creek is mapped as Biodiversity Values area and Key Fish Habitat. These will not affect the development per se, but there will need to be sufficient mitigation measures in place to protect the bushland and associated creek riparian corridor next to the site. The four mature canopy trees on site will be retained and protected with a sufficient TPZ to avoid root zone disturbance.

Traffic and parking on Chanel Street will be affected for the duration of the works, with parking potentially overflowing onto nearby streets. Delays are to be expected for passing traffic at times when there is a line-up of vehicles waiting to access the site. Parking on Chanel Street along the section of the works is expected to be prohibited on both sides of the road for the duration of the works. A Traffic Management Plan with active traffic control will be required to mitigate the impacts on traffic and parking.

A registered Aboriginal site located within Sue Savage Reserve extends across much of Sue Savage Reserve, however the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) and test excavations for the project indicated that the Aboriginal site does not extend into the development site. No other heritage features have been noted or is expected to be encountered on the site. Therefore, there are no expected significant impacts on heritage.

All remaining impacts of the project are expected to be low or negligible and can be managed with the mitigation measures outlined within this document.

The constraints found to be present on site, apart from the Aboriginal site, are shown in the map below (Figure 6).

Sue Savage Reserve









Figure 6: Constraints within the development site. The registered Aboriginal site is not mapped herein as the exact extent is unknown.

6.2 Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)

A CEMP is to be prepared by the contractor and reviewed by Council prior to works commencing. The CEMP will include relevant sub-plans and mitigation measures as detailed throughout this REF and for high-risk area aspects. The CEMP will describe how activities undertaken during the project will be managed. This should be implemented prior to the commencement of works and adhered to for the duration of works.

It is recommended that this REF be incorporated into the contract conditions for the undertaking of the proposed works.

6.3 Concluding Statement

The REF has examined and considered the available information on matters likely to affect the environment by reason of the activity. It has established that the proposal described will have low environmental impact, provided all recommendations and safety measures are followed. By implementing the above listed mitigation measures most of these impacts are expected to be negligible and the others will be kept within reasonable and safe limits.

Sue Savage Reserve







The REF has concluded that with appropriate mitigation measures implemented, there will be no significant impacts on the local biodiversity, including Biodiversity Values, threatened species, TECs, PCTs, fauna habitats or MNES.

The proposal would be unlikely to cause a significant impact on the environment. Therefore, it is not necessary for an environmental impact statement to be prepared and approval to be sought from the Minister for Planning under the EP&A Act.



Sue Savage Reserve



7 | Certification & Determination

As per DPE Division 5.1 Assessment Guidelines (2022), this REF is certified and determined as follows:

I certify that I have reviewed and endorsed the contents of this REF document and, to the best of my knowledge, it is in accordance with the EP&A Act, the EP&A Regulation and the Guidelines approved under clause 170 of the EP&A Regulation, and the information it contains is neither false nor misleading.

Certification

Troy Holbrook

Troy Holbrook Senior Open Space Planner

Determination

Terry Johnson Group Manager Parks and Open Spaces





8 References

- City of Parramatta (2023). Parramatta Development Control Plan. URL: https://www.cityofparramatta.nsw.gov.au/development/planning/development-control-plans (viewed on 21/08/2024)
- City of Parramatta (2023). Parramatta Local Environmental Plan. URL: https://legislation.nsw.gov.au/view/html/inforce/current/epi-2023-0117 (viewed on 12/08/2024)
- City of Parramatta (2017). Sue Savage and Reynold Parks Master Plan Report. URL: https://www.cityofparramatta.nsw.gov.au/sites/council/files/inlinefiles/Sue%20Savage%20Park%20Masterplan%20Report_FINAL_low%20res.pdf (viewed on 27/01/2023)
- City of Parramatta (2023). Flood Warning Areas. URL: https://www.cityofparramatta.nsw.gov.au/environment/floodsmart-parramatta/know-yourflood-risk (viewed on 18/01/2023)
- Landcom (2004). Managing urban stormwater: Soils and Construction (Blue Book). 4th edition. URL: https://www.landcom.com.au/assets/Uploads/managing-urban-stormwater-soils-constructionvolume-1-fourth-edition-compressed.pdf (viewed on 27/01/2023)
- NSW Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (2010). Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in NSW. URL: https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/research-and-publications/publications-search/duediligence-code-of-practice-for-the-protection-of-aboriginal-objects-in-new-south-wales (viewed on 27/01/2023)
- NSW Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources (2003). Salinity potential in Western Sydney 2002. URL: https://geo.seed.nsw.gov.au/Public_Viewer/index.html?viewer=Public_Viewer&locale=en-AU&runWorkflow=AppendLayerCatalog&CatalogLayer=SEED_Catalog.271.Salinity%20Potential%

AU&runWorkflow=AppendLayerCatalog&CatalogLayer=SEED_Catalog.271.Salinity%20Potential% 20Western%20Sydney (viewed on 30/01/2023)

- NSW Environment Protection Authority (2014). NSW Waste Classification Guidelines, Part 1 to 4. URL: https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/your-environment/waste/classifying-waste/waste-classification-guidelines (viewed on 30/01/2023)
- NSW Environment Protection Authority (2020). Draft Construction Noise Guideline. URL: https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/-/media/epa/corporate-site/resources/noise/20p2281-draftconstruction-noise-guideline.pdf?la=en&hash=08B7AFCA1EABA290F78D720722E14F1F239FE6F8 (viewed on 30/01/2023)



Sue Savage Reserve



Appendix A | Photos from the site



Photo 1: Subject site facing northeast



Photo 2: Subject site facing northeast.



Photo 3: Subject site facing northwest. Basketball court to be retained.



Photo 4: Subject site including the flood levee, facing west. Proposed site for the skatepark and beyond that the pump track.



Photo 5: The proposed site for the amenities building and barbecue and picnic facilities in between the tree in the lawn area.



Photo 6: The proposed site for the parking lot.

Appendix B | Sue Savage Reserve Plans and Drawings



<u>CITY OF PARRAMATTA</u> CHANEL STREET, TOONGABBIE SUE SAVAGE RESERVE PARK IMPROVEMENT WORKS Plan No.17809

NOTE

EFER TO SPECIFICATION NOTES

LEASE REFER TO ENDEAVOUR ENERGY'S "CONDITION OF WORKS"

KEY PLAN



GENERAL NOTES

- DO NOT SCALE FROM THE DRAWINGS. LARGER SCALE DETAIL DRAWINGS AND WRITTEN DIMENSIONS TAKE PREFERENCE. DRAWINGS SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH SCHEDULE OF RATES.

- DO NOT SCALE FROM THE DRAWINGS. LARGER SCALE DETAIL DRAWINGS AND WRITTEN DIMENSIONS TAKE PREFERENCE. DRAWINGS SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH SCHEDULE OF RATES.
 ANY DISCREPANCIES OR OMISSIONS SHALL BE REFERRED TO COUNCILS SUPERINTENDENT FOR A DECISION BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORKS.
 SURVEY WARKS SHOWN THUS SHALL BE RETAINED AT ALL THES. WHERE RETENTION IS NOT POSSIBLE THE SUPERINTENDENT.
 FRAFIC AND PEDESTRIAN MANAGEMENT, SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL AND TEMPORARY TREE PROTECTION MEASURES SHALL BE UNDERTAKEND GOTORN. UTULITY FEATURES AND EXISTING TREES FROM ALL DEMOLITION AND LANDSCAPE WORKS UNLESS DIRECTED OTHERWISE, BY THE SUPERINTENDENT.
 TRAFFIC AND PEDESTRIAN MANAGEMENT, SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL AND TEMPORARY TREE PROTECTION MEASURES SHALL BE UNDERTAKEN BY THE CONTRACTOR TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE SUPERINTENDENT.
 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN SERVICES AND ALL WEATHER ACCESS AT ALL TIMES TO THE ADJOINING PROPERTIES.
 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN SERVICES, THE AND OTHER LEWENTS TO BE RETAINED SHALL BE RECTIFIED AT THE CONTRACTOR, SHALL MAINTAIN SERVICES, AND ALL WEATHER ACCESS AT ALL TIMES TO THE ADJOINING PROPERTIES.
 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN SERVICES, STOLES AND DALE WEATHER STOLE BERCIFIED AT THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT INTER UPON NOR DO ANY WORK WITHIN ADJACENT LANDS WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE LAND OWNER AND / OR DIRECT INSTRUCTION FROM THE SUPERINTENDENT.
 DAMAGE TO EXISTING PROPERTY SERVICES, FREES, AND OTHER LEWENTS DI BE RETIFIED THE DERON THE DERON THE SUPERINTENDENT IMMEDIATELY.
 THE LOCATIONS OF SERVICES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS HAVE BEEN PLOTED FROM DIAGRAMS PROVIDED BY SERVICE AUTHORITIES. THIS INFORMATION HAS BEEN PREPARED SOLELY FOR THE AUTHORITY'S OWN USE AND MAY NOT NECESSARILY BE UPDATED OR ACCURATE.
 COUNCILS CAPITAL PROJECTS SECTION DOES NOT GUARANTEE THAT THE SERVICES INFORMATION SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS SHOW MORE THAN THE PRESSI

DESIGN DOCUMENTATION

SURVEY: DESIGN BY: DRAWN BY: DESIGN CHECKED/APPROVED: APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION: RELEASE DATE:

CITY OF PARRAMATTA ENGINEERING SURVEY MICHELLE WANG/ KRISHNA MICHELLE WANG/ KRISHNA ADAM COOK/ DARIUSZ PETER LICHAA APRIL 2021

1910 C 1910 CC 1910 C 1910 CC 1910_CC 1910 CC 1910_CC 1910 CD 1910 CD 1910 CC 1910 CD 1910 CD 1910 CD 1910 CD SP_01 AB_01 AB 02 AB 03 AB_04

AB 05

AB_06

AB_07

A - CARPARK WORKS

CP 01

CP 02

CP 03 CP 04

CP_05

CP 06

CP_07

CP_08

CP_09

CP 10

CP_11

CP 12

CP_13

CP_14

CP 15

CP_16

CP_17

CP_18

CP 19

DEMOLITION PLAN - SHEET 01 DEMOLITION PLAN - SHEET 02 EXCAVATION PLAN - SHEET 01 **EXCAVATION PLAN - SHEET 02** HARDWORKS PLAN - SHEET 01 HARDWORKS PLAN - SHEET 02 SOFTWORKS, FURNITURE & LINEMARKING PLAN SHEET 01 SOFTWORKS, FURNITURE & LINEMARKING PLAN SHEET 02 UNDERGROUND SERVICE PLAN PLAN VIEW AND GENERAL NOTES SETOUT PLAN, SURVEY CONTROL MARKS AND CO-ORDINATE TABLES - KR1, KR2 AND FP1 LONGITUDINAL SECTIONS - KR1 AND KR2 LONGITUDINAL SECTIONS - FP1 AND CL1 **CROSS SECTIONS - CL1** DRAINAGE PLAN 1- LAYOUT PLAN, SETOUT TABLE, BENCHING AND SKETCH DETAILS DRAINAGE PLAN 2- PLAN VIEW AND LONGITUDINAL SECTIONS - DRAINAGE LINE 1 AND 2 TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT PLAN STANDARD DRAWINGS -1 STANDARD DRAWINGS -2

B - SKATEPARK WORKS

1910_CD000	DRAWING INDEX
1910_CD001	GENERAL NOTES
1910_CD002	EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN
1910_CD003	DEMOLITION PLAN
1910_CD004	SITE PLAN
1910_CD006a	SURFACE FINISHES PLAN
1910_CD006b	SURFACE PAINTING PLAN
1910_CD007	LEVELS PLAN
1910_CD008	DRAINAGE PLAN
1910_CD009	SETOUT PLAN
1910_CD010	DIMENSION PLAN
1910_CD011	STEEL PLAN
1910_CD012	CONCRETE JOINTS PLAN
1910_CD100	SECTION KEY PLAN
1910_CD101	SECTIONS 01
1910_CD102	SECTIONS 02
1910_CD200	ENGINEERING DETAILS 01
1910_CD201	ENGINEERING DETAILS 02
1910_CD210	DRAINAGE DETAILS
1910_CD300	SEATING DETAILS 01
1910_CD301	SEATING DETAILS 02
1910_CD310	BALUSTRADE DETAILS 01
1910_CD320	HANDRAIL DETAILS 01

C - AMENITIES BUILDING & LANDSCAPE WORKS

SOFTWORKS PLAN DEMOLITION PLAN EXCAVATION PLAN HARDWORKS PLAN SOFTWORKS, FURNITURE & FIXTURES PLAN SETOUT PLAN DETAILS SHEET 01 **DETAILS SHEET 02**

									~		
VIES HARDIE LEGACY SITES:									E	XISTING O	1
NUMBER OF LOCATIONS IN THE CITY OF PARRAMATTA LOCA NVIRONMENT, CLIMATE CHANGE AND WATER AS AREAS THA' IDUSTRIES. THESE 'LEGACY SITES' WERE IDENTIFIED IN REPOR ARDIE ASBESTOS WASTE CONTAMINATION LEGACY' ("JAMES DEL NTD/MANAN ERA NSW (QOV ALICI M/IAMESHARDIE HTM	L GOVERNM T ARE KNOV RTS RELEAS HARDIE LEG	VIENT AREA WERE PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED IN 20 WN, OR SUSPECTED, TO BE SITES WHERE ASBES SED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, CL GACY REPORT"). A COPY OF THAT JAMES HARDI	DOG BY THE NSW GOV STOS WASTE MATER IMATE CHANGE AND E LEGACY REPORT IS	AVAILABLE	SPOSED OF BY J	AMES HARDI 'JAMES	E				Y _
EHE: HTTP://WWW.EPA.NSW.GOV.AO/OLIVIOANLOTPETERATION I SOME INSTANCES, ASBESTOS MATERIALS (BOTH FRIABLE A) ITES – FOR EXAMPLE, NATURE STRIPS, ROADS, & ROAD RELA' VERCISE APPROPRIATE CAUTION AND HAVE PLANS IN PLACE	ND NON-FR TED AREAS	NABLE) HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED IN THE SOILS OF PERSONS CARRYING OUT EXCAVATION OF LAN LY AND PROPERLY DEALING WITH ANY ASBESTC	LANDS ADJACENT T ID ADJACENT TO, OF ID ENCOUNTERED TH	D, OR IN THE V IN THE VICINIT ROUGHOUT W	ICINITY OF, THES TY OF, LEGACY S VORKS IN THE AF	SE LEGACY SITES SHOUL REA.	D				
ONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY COUNCIL IMMEDIATELY IN INSTANCE	S WHERE A	ASBESTOS HAS BEEN ENCOUNTERED.									
IFORMATION SPECIFIC TO THE PARRAMATTA AREA CAN BE FORT TTPS://WWW.EPA.NSW.GOV.AU/-/MEDIA/75F0027999344061B/	0433A9ADF	IMENCING AT PAGE 39 HERE: FB5465.ASHX?LA=EN SE CONTACT COUNCIL ON 9806 5050, OR THE NS	W EPA ON 131 555.								
OR FOR THER INFORMATION ABOUT SAMED TRAILOR ELGADY O							EA	SEMENT FC	R TRANSMIS	SION 30.48	3
		Y Y Y	V.								
			× × × × × ×	* * * * * *	¥ ¥ ¥ ¥ ¥ ¥	v v v	× × ×	* * *	¥ ¥ ¥ ¥ ¥ ¥	V V V	
	SVI	A + + war way + + +	× × × × × × ×	* * * * * *	× × × × × ×	× × × × × ×	* * * * * *	× × × × × × × ×	× × × × × × × × × × × ×	* * * *	×
	2	5	¥ ¥	<u> </u>	¥ ¥ ¥	× ×	v v v	we way a w	× × × ×	× × × ×	6
2.5	Z1	y y y y y	v V	v	<u> </u>		gran	•		**/	. 4
	- * -		× × × ×	* *	* * *	v v v v	x xxx	V W	v D v		000
	×	× OS × × × × ×	¥ ¥ ¥	¥ ×		¥ ¥	¥ - +		* * * *	× × × × × ×	V
	+ + + +		+ + + + - , -		V V		* *	* * *	¥ ¥ ¥		1
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + +	+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$	+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$	+ + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + +	+ +	+ + + + +			+
$\begin{array}{c} + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + $	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$	+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$	+ + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+
		- + + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	- + + + + + - + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$	+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$	+
			-						+ + + + +	+ + + +	±
			C	HAN	EL S	Г					
LEGEND				road active	nt to minimum	50mm dont	n for propos	ed			
Retain and protect existing trees		Image: teal teal teal teal teal teal teal teal	M 2.6: Mill existing halt re-sheeting wo	road pavemei rks. Remove	demolition/exca	avation mat	erial from sit	te.			
ITEM 2.1: Demolish and remove existing small rootball. Remove demolition material from site.	trees inclu Refer to S	iding stump and Ref	er to Specification I	Notes. nolish and ren	nove existing o	oncrete stor	mwater dra	inage pipe			
ITEM 2.2: Demolish and remove existing garde and mulch to allow for proposed works. Remov	n bed inclu e demolitic	Liding planting, soil (82: on/excavation exist	5 diameter) in preparing excess site so	aration for nev il as required	w drainage wor for proposed w	ks. Backfill orks. Remo	and compactory demolition	ct with on/excess			
material from site. Refer to Specification Notes.	to allow for	exc or proposed works.	avation material fro	m site. Refer	to Specification	n Notes. oncrete stor	rmwater dra	inage pits in			
Ensure grass roots are removed during demolition/excavation material from site. Refer	to Specific	Remove pre cation Notes. req	paration for new dra uired for proposed	ainage works. works. Remo	. Backfill and co	excess exca	existing exc avation mate	cess site soil as erial from site.			
ITEM 2.4: Demolish and remove existing timbe maintenance gate chain and concrete footings. material/excavation material from site. Refer to	r koppers l Remove o Specificat	log fence including Ref demolition ITE tion Notes.	er to Specification <u>M 2.9:</u> Demolish ar ting. Remove demo	notes. nd remove exi plition materia	isting parking si I from site. Refe	igns, posts a er to Specifi	and fittings i cation Note	ncluding concrete s.			
TTEM 2.5: Sawcut, demolish and remove existing 500mm width road pavement. Remove demolities the Refer to Specification Notes.	ng kerb an ion/excava	d gutter including ation material from									
	e: Date:	Description:	N	0 1 2	5m	Designed:	Date:	Client Accepted:	Date:		P
			- U	Datum		MW Drawn:	Z1/4/21 Date:	lyly	27/4/21	AMRIL 2021 Scale:	ŕ
			MGA	Datum.	AHD	MW	21/4/21	Approved for Construction:	Date:	1:100 @ A1	-
						Design Approved:	Date:	1 12/		Drawing Status:	T,

DIAL 1100 BEFORE YOU I		DIAL 1100 BEFORE YOU D
------------------------	--	------------------------

UNLESS DETAILED ON THIS DRAWING ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO DIG

apital Pr

FINAL

21/4/21

AC

AUS



	JAMES HARDIE LEGACY SITES:								X
					AENT OF			7 /	L
	ENVIRONMENT, CLIMATE CHANGE AND WATER AS AREAS THAT ARE KNOWN, OR SUSPECTED, TO BE	SITES WHERE	ASBESTOS WASTE MATERIALS	S WERE DISPOSED	OF BY JAMES HARDIE			/ /	
	INDUSTRIES. THESE 'LEGACY SITES' WERE IDENTIFIED IN REPORTS RELEASED BY THE DEPARTMENT O HARDIE ASBESTOS WASTE CONTAMINATION LEGACY ("JAMES HARDIE LEGACY REPORT"), A COPY OF	F ENVIRONME	ENT, CLIMATE CHANGE AND W/ HARDIE LEGACY REPORT IS AV	ATER IN 2009/10, E /AILABLE	INTITLED JAMES				
	HERE: HTTP://WWW.EPA.NSW.GOV.AU/CLM/JAMESHARDIE.HTM								
	- IN SOME INSTANCES, ASBESTOS MATERIALS (BOTH FRIABLE AND NON-FRIABLE) HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIE	ED IN THE SOIL	LS OF LANDS ADJACENT TO, O	R IN THE VICINITY	OF, THESE LEGACY		/ dr.		
	SITES - FOR EXAMPLE, NATURE STRIPS, ROADS, & ROAD RELATED AREAS. PERSONS CARRYING OUT E	EXCAVATION C	OF LAND ADJACENT TO, OR IN	THE VICINITY OF, L	EGACY SITES SHOULD	/	24		
	CONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY COUNCIL IMMEDIATELY IN INSTANCES WHERE ASBESTOS HAS BEEN ENCOU	JNTERED.					6/		
1.0	INFORMATION SPECIFIC TO THE PARRAMATTA AREA CAN BE FOUND COMMENCING AT PAGE 39 HERE								
	HTTPS://WWW.EPA.NSW.GOV.AU/-/MEDIA/75F0027999344061B0433A9ADFFB5465.ASHX?LA=EN					14			
	- FOR FORTHER INFORMATION ABOUT JAMES HANDLE LEGACT SITES FLEASE CONTACT COUNCIL ON SC	506 5050, OH 1	THE NOW EFA ON 131 333.						
					/				
				_	/				
	FASEMENT FOR					- (
	2. OLIMENT FOR TRANSMISSION 30 48	m MID							
			E						
							FI		
-					×	V V	EXIS	TIN	/
0	V V V V				/	× × × ×		WG ON	/
B.	* * * * * * * * * * * *					× × × ×	¥ ¥ ¥	CYCLE	14.
0		¥ ¥	Y Y Y	V V	V.	¥ ¥ ×	Y Y Y	¥ ¥	WA
F	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	¥ ¥	x x x x	v v	Y Y Y	Y Y Y	¥ ¥	¥ ¥	/
Ш_	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	¥	x x x x x	x x x	Y Y Y	× × ×	Y Y Y	¥ ¥	¥
H	ty + + server + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	x x	x x x x	× × ×	x x x	× × × ×	¥ ¥	x x x	
Ω.	the way we want the way we	y y y	× × × ×	¥ ¥	¥ ¥ ¥	Y Y Y	¥ ¥	x x x	¥
Z		¥ ¥	+ tone var + +	* sove vin	wy v v v	× × × ×	V V	¥	*
9					Why & w w	she way in a	V Var Ta	× × /	
H n	me + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			·	- <u>-</u>			hy + +	- AT
H		¥ ¥	+ 2 + + + +	- L	200	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IL IV	3	1-
L.	x x x x x x x - x - x - x - x - x - x -	V V	* Lynner + V	+ + the th	st + + Ex	st st of	12	3 5	2
			¥- + + - + + + + + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - +	V V	* * * * * *	Wy MENE + +	× m	XXX X	Ē,
		× ×	× × × ×	¥ ¥			* Windows	× × ×	V
	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			V V	Y Y Y	* * * *			_ ¥
	* + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + +			¥ ¥	× × ×	*
	- + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + +	+ + + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +				Y
	<u>+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + </u>	+ + + ·	+ + + + + + +	+ $+$ $+$ $+$	+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+
		+ + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + + +	+
				+ + + +	+ + + + +	* * * * * *	+ + +	+ + + + -	+
			0			+ _ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+
			CHANFI	CT				<u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u>	T
				51					
		= -							
	LEGEND								
	Retain and protect existing trees	+ + +	ITEM 2.6: Mill existing road	pavement to min	nimum 50mm depth for	proposed			
	ITEM 2.1: Demolish and remove existing small trees including stump and	<u></u>	asphalt re-sheeting works. I	Remove demolitie	on/excavation material	from site.			
	rootball. Remove demolition material from site. Refer to Specification Notes.	To fee	ITEM 0.7: Operation Notes	o.	inting concrete stars	ator drainage pizz			
	ITEM 2.2: Demolish and remove existing garden bed including planting, soil	T	(825 diameter) in preparatic	n and remove exi	Isting concrete stormwa	compact with			
	and mulch to allow for proposed works. Remove demolition/excavation		existing excess site soil as	required for prop	osed works. Remove d	lemolition/excess			
	material from site. Refer to Specification Notes.		excavation material from sit	te. Refer to Speci	ification Notes.				
	ITEM 2.3: Strip and remove existing grass only to allow for proposed works.	51	ITEM 2.8: Sawcut, demolish	h and remove exi	isting concrete stormwa	ater drainage pits in			
	Ensure grass roots are removed during demolition works. Remove		preparation for new drainag	ge works. Backfill	and compact with exis	ting excess site soil as			
	demolition/excavation material from site. Refer to Specification Notes.		required for proposed works	s. Remove dem	olition/excess excavati	on material from site.			
	ITEM 2.4: Demolish and remove existing timber koppers log fence including		Refer to Specification Notes	S.					
	maintenance gate chain and concrete tootings. Kemove demolition material/excavation material from site. Refer to Specification Notes	OS	ITEM 2.9: Demolish and rer	move existing par	rking signs, posts and	fittings including concrete	9		
	ITEM 2 5: Something and the section of the section		footing. Remove demolition	n material from sit	te. Refer to Specification	on Notes.			
	<u>II ENI 2.3:</u> Sawcut, demolish and remove existing kerb and gutter including								
	site. Refer to Specification Notes.								
	Issue: Date: Description:		N 0 1 2	5m	Designed: Date:	Client Accepted:	Date:	Date: F	Project:
					MW 21/4/21	Cerli		APRIL 2021	
ALL S			Co-ords: Datum:		Drawn: Date:	-11	27/4/21	Scale:	
Z			MGA	AHD	MW 21/4/21	Approved for Construction:	Date:	1:100 @ A1	
				G ALLEX	Design Approved: Date:	A	2014(21	Drawing Status: 1	Title:
	DIAL 1100 BEFORE YOU DIG	ذ	ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO	SPEC	AC 21/4/21	Service Manager Capital Projects		FINAL	
1									













CODE	CHEDULE										
	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE SPACING	G QTY							
Ln	Lagerstroemia 'Natchez'	Crepe Myrtle	200L As shown	1 2							
Ls	Liriope muscari 'Silver Star'	Lilyturf	Tubestock 5/m2	244							
			.)								
12	2xLs —1x Ln	EASEMENT FO	R TRANSMISSI	ON 30.48 r	n WIDE					_	
× + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	* * * * * * * * *	¥ 4 ¥ ¥							" " "	Le .	EXISTING
		* * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * *		* * * * *	*		1º h		
- turker						· · ·	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * * *	1.	* * * * * * * *
S1										*1.50m *	
				Сна		· -					
				ONA	NEL 3						
	D										
LEGEN B ITE car, Spe ITE incc mar ITEI garc Refe ITEN Spe ITEN Spe	M 6.1: Supply and install surpark pavement. Refer to Specifications for installation. M 7.1: Cultivate/rotary hoe epoporate 'Terracottem' soil conufacturer. Refer to Details a M 7.2: Supply and install mirden beds and tree pits. Lighter to Details and Specification Notes. M 7.3: Supply and install 100 octification Notes. M 7.3: Supply and install tubedule, Details and Specification M 7.3: Supply and install tubedule, Details and Specification M 7.4: Supply and install 200	rface mounted flexible t ecification Notes and M existing site soil to min.2 onditioner at rates recor and Specification Notes n. 50mm depth organic tly compact to minimise on Notes. 0mm depth leaf litter mu pestock sized plants. Re tition Notes.	oollard to asphalt anufacturer's 200mm depth and nmended by the garden mix soil for new voids and depressions. ulch. Refer to Details and fer to Plant ding additional		ITEM 7.6.1 minimise v grass to fill ITEM 7.6.2 roll turf to r ITEM 9.1: carpark to Linemarkin ITEM 9.2: disabled ca and Linemarkin ITEM 9.3: ITEM 9.3:	Supply and inst bids and depress voids and depress sounds and depress supply and depress supply and apply meet Australian S g Plan, RMS Star Supply and apply urpark spaces to r arking Plan, RMS Supply and apply and apply and apply	all min. 50mm dept ons. Excess turf un sions. Refer to De ill turf (Kikuyu) as i d depressions. Ref 100mm wide white tandards. Refer to iddards and Specific 100mm wide yellow neet Australian Sta Standards and Sp 100mm wide yellow	h turf underlay. hderlay shall be tails and Specifi required to mato er to Details and waterborne line Softworks, Furr cation Notes. v waterborne lin ndards. Refer to ecification Notes v waterborne dia	Lightly compact to spread over existing cation Notes. h existing grass. Lightly d Specification Notes. marking paint to iture and e marking paint to o Softworks, Furniture s. agonal 'shared zone' lin	y <u>6</u> <u>a</u> st	ITEM 9.4: Supply disabled parking Standards and S ITEM 9.5: Supply footing and 'V' lo Standards, Cop ITEM 9.6: Supply Standards, Refer DS11, DS11B ar ITEM 9.7: Supply
LEGEN B [®] ITE car, Spe ITE incc mar ITEI garc Refe ITEN Spe ITEM Spe ITEM Spe	M 6.1: Supply and install surpork pavement. Refer to Specifications for installation. M 7.1: Cultivate/rotary hoe exports of the transformer of transformer of the tr	rface mounted flexible t ecification Notes and M existing site soil to min.2 onditioner at rates recor and Specification Notes n. 50mm depth organic tly compact to minimise on Notes. 0mm depth leaf litter mu pestock sized plants. Re ation Notes. 0L bag sized trees inclu t Schedule, Details and	oollard to asphalt anufacturer's 200mm depth and nmended by the garden mix soil for new voids and depressions. ulch. Refer to Details and fer to Plant ding additional Specification Notes.		ITEM 7.6.1 minimise v grass to fill ITEM 7.6.2 roll turf to r ITEM 9.1: carpark to Linemarkin ITEM 9.2: disabled ca and Linemarking ITEM 9.3: marking pa Linemarking	Supply and inst oids and depress voids and depress Supply and inst Supply and apply meet Australian S g Plan, RMS Star Supply and apply irpark spaces to r arking Plan, RMS Supply and apply int to carpark to r g Plan, RMS Star	all min. 50mm dept ons. Excess turf un sions. Refer to De ull turf (Kikuyu) as u d depressions. Refer 100mm wide white tandards. Refer to dards and Specific 100mm wide yellow neet Australian Sta Standards and Sp 100mm wide yellow neet Australian Sta dards and Specific	h turf underlay. hderlay shall be tails and Specifi equired to mato er to Details and waterborne line Softworks, Furr cation Notes. v waterborne lin ndards. Refer to cation Notes. v waterborne dia ndards. Refer to cation Notes.	Lightly compact to spread over existing cation Notes. h existing grass. Lightly d Specification Notes. e marking paint to iture and e marking paint to o Softworks, Furniture s. agonal 'shared zone' lin o Softworks, Furniture a	y & a s and s s	ITEM 9.4: Supply disabled parking Standards and S ITEM 9.5: Supply footing and 'V' lo Standards, Cop ITEM 9.6: Supply Standards. Refer DS11, DS11B ar ITEM 9.7: Supply Refer to Traffic M and Specification
LEGEN B ITE carı Spe ITE incc mar ITE garc Refe ITE Spe ITE Spe ITE Spe	M 6.1: Supply and install surport park pavement. Refer to Spe- cifications for installation. M 7.1: Cultivate/rotary hoe e proprate 'Terracottem' soil co- nufacturer. Refer to Details a M 7.2: Supply and install mir den beds and tree pits. Light er to Details and Specification M 7.5: Supply and install 100 cification Notes. M 7.3: Supply and install tub edule, Details and Specifica M 7.4: Supply and install 200 orted soil mix. Refer to Plant	rface mounted flexible becification Notes and Meterification Notes and Meterification er at rates record and Specification Notes n. 50mm depth organic tay compact to minimise on Notes. 0mm depth leaf litter meterification Notes. 0mm depth leaf litter meterification Notes. 0L bag sized trees incluit to the size of the	collard to asphalt anufacturer's 200mm depth and nmended by the garden mix soil for new voids and depressions. ulch. Refer to Details and effer to Plant ding additional Specification Notes.		ITEM 7.6.1 minimise v grass to fill ITEM 7.6.2 roll turf to r ITEM 9.1: 3 carpark to Linemarkin ITEM 9.2: 3 disabled ca and Linemarking ITEM 9.3: 3 marking pa Linemarking	Supply and insta bids and depress voids and depress supply and apply meet Australian S g Plan, RMS Star Supply and apply inpark spaces to r arking Plan, RMS Supply and apply int to carpark to r g Plan, RMS Star	All min. 50mm dept ons. Excess turf un sions. Refer to De ill turf (Kikuyu) as u d depressions. Ref 100mm wide white tandards. Refer to udards and Specific 100mm wide yellow neet Australian Sta Standards and Sp 100mm wide yellow neet Australian Sta dards and Specific 	h turf underlay. nderlay shall be tails and Specifi required to mato er to Details and waterborne line Softworks, Furr cation Notes. v waterborne line ndards. Refer to cation Notes. v waterborne dia ndards. Refer to cation Notes. Dete: 21/4/21	Lightly compact to spread over existing cation Notes. h existing grass. Lightly d Specification Notes. e marking paint to iture and e marking paint to o Softworks, Furniture s. agonal 'shared zone' lin o Softworks, Furniture a	y $\boxed{\underline{a}}$ \underline{a} \underline{s}	ITEM 9.4: Suppl. disabled parking Standards and S ITEM 9.5: Suppl. footing and 'V' lo Standards, Cop ITEM 9.6: Suppl. Standards, Refer DS11, DS11B ar ITEM 9.7: Suppl. Refer to Traffic M and Specification Dete: APRIL 2021
LEGEN B ITE carı Spe ITE incc mar ITE garr Refr ITE Spe ITE Spe ITE Spe ITE Spe	M 6.1: Supply and install surport park pavement. Refer to Specifications for installation. M 7.1: Cultivate/rotary hoe e proprate 'Terracottem' soil con bufacturer. Refer to Details a M 7.2: Supply and install mind den beds and tree pits. Light er to Details and Specification M 7.5: Supply and install 100 orification Notes. M 7.3: Supply and install tub edule, Details and Specifica M 7.4: Supply and install tub edule, Details and Specifica M 7.4: Supply and install 200 orted soil mix. Refer to Plant	rface mounted flexible becification Notes and Meterification Notes and Meterification Notes and Specification Notes notes for the second specification notes for Notes. Omm depth leaf litter meterification Notes. Omm depth leaf litter meterification Notes. OL bag sized trees incluing the second size of the second	collard to asphalt anufacturer's 200mm depth and mmended by the garden mix soil for new voids and depressions. ulch. Refer to Details and offer to Plant ding additional Specification Notes.		ITEM 7.6.1 minimise v grass to fill ITEM 7.6.2 roll turf to r ITEM 9.1: carpark to Linemarkin ITEM 9.2: disabled ca and Linemarking ITEM 9.3: marking pa Linemarking Coords: MGA	Supply and insta bids and depress voids and depress supply and insta ninimise voids an Supply and apply meet Australian S g Plan, RMS Star Supply and apply int a carpark to re g Plan, RMS Star Supply and apply int to carpark to re g Plan, RMS Star 0 1 2 Datum: A	All min. 50mm dept ons. Excess turf un sions. Refer to De ill turf (Kikuyu) as n d depressions. Ref 100mm wide white tandards. Refer to iddards and Specifie 100mm wide yellow neet Australian Sta Standards and Sp 100mm wide yellow neet Australian Sta dards and Specifie 	h turf underlay. nderlay shall be tails and Specifi required to mato er to Details and waterborne line Softworks, Furr cation Notes. v waterborne line ndards. Refer to cation Notes. v waterborne dia ndards. Refer to cation Notes. Date: 21/4/21 Date: 21/4/21	Lightly compact to spread over existing cation Notes. h existing grass. Lightly d Specification Notes. marking paint to iture and e marking paint to o Softworks, Furniture agonal 'shared zone' lin o Softworks, Furniture a client Accepted:	y $\boxed{\underline{a}}$ and $\boxed{s_2}$ \boxed{and} $\boxed{s_2}$ \boxed{and} $\boxed{s_2}$ \boxed{and} $\boxed{s_2}$ \boxed{and} $\boxed{s_2}$ \boxed{and} $\boxed{s_2}$	ITEM 9.4: Supplidisabled parking Standards and S ITEM 9.5: Supplification footing and 'V' lostandards, Cop ITEM 9.6: Suppligitation Standards. Referred Standards. Referred DS11, DS11B ar ITEM 9.7: Suppligitation Refer to Traffic M and Specification Date: APRIL 2021 Scale: 1:100 @ A1

HIGH VOLTAGE ELECTRICITY LI LEWAY apply blue and yellow waterborne painted disabled logo to carpark es. Refer to Softworks, Furniture and Linemarking Plan, RMS ication Notes. install black powder coated steel sign post including concrete poorts (in pavement). Refer to Traffic Management Plan, RMS lard Drawing DS11, DS11B and Specification Notes. install traffic signs to sign post to meet Australian and RMS raffic Management Plan, RMS Standards, CoP Standard Drawing ecification Notes. install disabled parking sign to meet Australian and RMS Standards. ement Plan, RMS Standards, Cop Standard Drawing DS11, DS11B es. Plan No: SUE SAVAGE RESERVE 17809 PARK IMPROVEMENT WORKS Sheet No: CHANEL ST, TOONGABBIE CP_08 A - CARPARK WORKS FTWORKS, FURNITURE & LINEMARKING Revision: PLAN - SHEET 02 _


26, April, 2021 10:03:49 a.m. Drawing: SUE SAVAGE PARK_17 KT.DWG

9 10 11	
oover and	A
	H
	в
GARDEN	
PET	с
TELS WER Somma TELSWERT	
29 27	D
	Ε
CATIONS IN THE CITY OF PARRAMATTA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA WERE TIFIED IN 2009 BY THE NSW GOVERNMENT'S DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, AND WATER AS AREAS THAT ARE KNOWN, OR SUSPECTED, TO BE SITES S WASTE MATERIALS WERE DISPOSED OF BY JAMES HARDIE INDUSTRIES. TES' WERE IDENTIFIED IN REPORTS RELEASED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF IMATE CHANGE AND WATER IN 2009/10, ENTITLED 'JAMES HARDIE ASBESTOS MINATION LEGACY' ('JAMES HARDIE LEGACY REPORT') A COPY OF THAT JAMES SPORT IS AVAILABLE HERE: http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/ofm/jameshardie.htm	F
ES, ASBESTOS MATERIALS (BOTH FRIABLE AND NON FRIABLE) HAVE BEEN E SOILS OF LANDS ADJACENT TO, OR IN THE VICINITY OF, LEGACY SITES - FOR E STRIPS, ROADS AND ROAD RELATED AREAS. PERSONS CARRYING OUT AND ADJACENT TO, OR IN THE VICINITY OF, LEGACY SITES SHOULD EXERCISE TION, AND HAVE PI ANS IN PLACE FOR SAFET Y AND PROPERTY DEALING WITH	
NCOUNTERED THROUGHOUT WORKS IN THE AREA.	G
pa.nsw.gov.au/resources/clm/JamesHardie/120919JamesHardieReportAtt3.pdf ORMATION ABOUT JAMES HARDIE LEGACY SITES PLEASE CONTACT COUNCIL THE NSW EPA ON 131 555	
UNLESS DETAILED ON THIS DRAWING ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO ALL	
DRE YOU DIG	
CUE CANTAGE DECEDUTE	
SUE SAVAGE RESERVE 17809 CHANEL STREET, TOONGABBIE 17809	н
AR PARK CONSTRUCTION AND ASSOCIATED WORKS	
UNDERGROUND SERVICE PLAN	



OT LEVELS:

OVERHEAD:

000

lyly 27, 4 121

FINAL

)		10		11	
	* PATH	/			
	CONCRETE				A
1	2	CONSTRUCT 10	Omm KERB ONLY		
MATCH	EXISTING	TYPE "4"	2.0m TRANSIT FROM 150mm TO 100mm	ION	
	SX	****	FP2		
VVVV			150r KER	MATCH FYIC	_
		V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	NOEN	V.	B
		V V V V V	TP 179 40	THP 3	- Zn
GUISS.		STATISTICS OF	-	н ко н ко	
	HEAVY DUTY LAYBAC	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	MATCH EXISTIN	G (TP 138.20)	
	KERB ANI REFER TO DS1	STD DWG.			с
					=
	29				
6			27	1	
					٦l
					D
NEW H	ERB RAMPS. MATCH APPLICABLE. REFER	INTO EXISTING CI TO COUNCIL'S S	CLE PATH TD DWG. DS4		
SAW (CUT ROAD PAVEMEN	t.			
DEEPL IN FR	IFT AC14 WHERE IND ONT OF GUTTER 175	ICATED, nm DEPTH			
REFER	TO COUNCIL'S STD	DWG DST NOTES			E
PAVEN RESHE AT CE	MENT WORKS ET 50mm AC10 INTRE OF ROAD 1.0n	WIDE MILL AND	RESHEET		
NEW I	ROAD PAVEMENT				
120 A 150 D GEOTE	C20 GB 20 XTILE (IF REQUIRED)	, PROOF ROLL			
AS PE	ER GENERAL NOTE:18	1			F
100m TO NE REFER	M DEPTH CONCRETE W LEVELS OR MATC TO COUNCIL'S STD	FOOTPATH TO BE H EXISTING AS SH DWG. DS6 TYPE	CONSTRUCTED OWN ON PLANS 1"		
DEFE					
KELE					
EXIST	NG TREE STUMP AN	D ROOTS FOR REN	IOVAL		
					0
ORE	YOU DI		LESS DETAILED ON WORK SHALL CO	N THIS DRAWING	EC
ITY	OF PARE		COUNC	PLAN NUM	IBER
	SUE SAV	AGE RES		1780)9
AR PA	CHANEL STI	TION AND AS	SOCIATED WOR	RKS CP_1	C
	PLAN VIEW	AND GENERAL NO	TES	Revision :	



SURVEY CONTROL MARKS											
POINT No:	DESCRIPTION	EASTING	NORTHING	R.L.	REMARKS						
402	STN/BOLT	311347.487	6260264.323	25.275	ON KERB OPPOSITE TO HOUSE No 39 (NORTH)						
2780	SRM\DHW	311381.627	6260262.210	24.358	ON KERB OPPOSITE TO HOUSE No 35 (NORTH)						
2781	SRM\DHW	311381.056	6260252.846	24.371	ON KERB FRONT OF HOUSE No 35						
5019	STN/DH	311421.450	6260273.964	26.533	ON CYCLE PATH IN THE RESERVE						
21665	SBM/BOLT	311390.291	6260252.254	24.511	ON KERB FRONT OF HOUSE No 35						

4 3 2 1 0	4	8	12	1
Level and	1	1		
the loss had been been as a second seco		and the second sec	the second s	

							SCALE OF METHES TIEGO		
	DI ANI FEATURES	PUBLIC			AMENDMENTS		DESIGN CHECKED AND APPROVED	DESIGNED	DATUM: A.H.D.
EXISTING/MISCELLANEOUS	PROPOSED	ABOVEGROUND	U/GROUND	No.	DETAIL CHECKED	DATE	26.04 12	anomuse should!	CO-ORDS: M.G.A.
KERB AND GUTTER:	KERB AND GUTTER:	TELSTRA: OTPIL	T				APPROVED	DRAWN	RATIO: 1:200
EDGE OF BITUMEN: E	EDGE OF BITUMEN:	GAS & MISC .: OG 4 OLH	GAS				10000 1000 2000	thanese	
EARTH BATTERS:	EARTH BATTERS:	SEWER:	-ss-			-	Manager Capital Projects	26,04,24	. IRIM No: F2019/02555
PIPE DRAINS:	PIPE DRAINS:	WATER: OH 45	-WW				ACCEPTED	DRAWING REVIEW	STATUS:
DRAINAGE PITS:	SUB-SOIL DRAIN:	OVERHEAD:					lighy 27, + 12	1	FINAL
SPOT LEVELS:	SET-OUT LINE:	SURVEY: ASTN OF DEC	Buse octors				Client / // #44		



9.9	S.S.	Q.	22			Sog Ch 7 Ru 24.7		2	9	0
. 21 1.P. 22	-0.2%	-0.2%	<u>VC(m)=5.</u>	20 -2.92	-3 1%	-2.8%	0.0 2.8%	3.3X	342	← VC(m)=1
R.L. 21.600	*		0.1% ×	2.0/0	-3.1% ×	-2.0% ×	2.0%	< 0.0% ×	J.4%	
CUT / FILL	-0.262	-0.275	-0.260	-0.460	-0.677	-0.771	-0.866	-0.647	-0.492	-0.464
FOOTPATH LEVELS BS: DESIGN	25.548	25.527	25.499 25.473 25.475	25.197	24.890	24.751	24.751	25.218	25.553	25.726
EXISTING LEVELS	25.810	25.802	25.758 25.738	25.657	25.567	25.522 25.549	25.617 25.684	25.865	26.045	26.190
CHAINAGE 929-11	20.000	30.000	37.500	50.000	60.000	65.000	75.000	0000.06	100.000	105.000

LONGITUDINAL SECTION - FP1 FROM CH 11.676 TO CH 126.888 SCALES: H 1 in 200 V 1 in 50



LONGITUDINAL SECTION - FP2 FROM CH 126.976 TO CH 135.222 SCALES: H 1 in 200 V 1 in 50



						1 0.5 0	1	2	1
1					\bigcap			SCALE OF METRES	1:50
	AMENDMENTS			DESIGN CHECKED AND APPROVED	DESIGNED	1	DATUM:	A.H.D.	
No.	DETAIL	CHECKED	DATE	St-	1	1104			
				26042		26 04/21	CO-ORDS	: M.G.A.	
				APPROVED	DRAWN		RATIO:	AS SHOWN	
				Manager Capital Projects 26, 4, 21	-	26 04, 21	TRIM No:	F2019/02533	1
				ACCEPTED /	DRAWING REVIEW		STATUS:	1	70
				Client 27/4/2		//	F	INAL	







						5	4 3 2		20	DIAL 1100 BEF
					SCALE OF METRES 1:50			SCALE OF METRES 1:200		
	PLAN FEATURES	PUBLIC	UTILITIES		AMENDMENTS			DESIGN CHECKED AND APPROVED	DESIGNED	DATUM: A.H.D.
EXISTING/MISCELLANEOUS	PROPOSED	ABOVEGROUND	U/GROUND	No.	DETAIL	CHECKED	DATE		V/DV	20 0421
KERB AND GUTTER:	KERB AND GUTTER:	TELSTRA: CTPIL	T					26,04,21	201	CO-ORDS: M.G.A.
EDGE OF BITUMEN:	EDGE OF BITUMEN:	ELECTRICITY: SUB.						APPROVED	DRAWN	
ROAD @/CROWN:	ROAD @/CROWN:	GAS & MISC .: DG 4N OLH	GAS						OB aL	RAIIO: 1:200
EARTH BATTERS:	EARTH BATTERS:	SEWER: DWH	_ss_					1 26.4.21	Ann -	26,04,202 TRIM No: F2019/02533
PIPE DRAINS:	PIPE DRAINS:	WATER: OH 454	-WW					Manager Capital Projects	. 0 1	/
DRAINAGE PITS:	DRAINAGE PITS:	POLES:		1.1.1				ACCEPTED	DRAWING REVIEW	STATUS:
TREES & SHRUBS: *** C>***	SUB-SOIL DRAIN:	OVERHEAD:						legly 22 1	VIV	26 0421 EINAL
SPOT LEVELS: 200 2000 2000	SET-OUT LINE:	SURVEY: ASTN ON DE	Our Date					Client / 21,4,2		
26	1 1 2001 1 25 07			and the second second					A	

Drawing: SUE SAVAGE PARK_17 KT.DWG



26, April, 2021 1:05:07 p.m. Drawing: SUE SAVAGE PARK_17 KT.DWG









LEGEND ITEM 2.12: Strip and remove existing grass only to allow for proposed works. Ensure grass roots are removed during demolition works. Remove demolition/excavation material from site. Refer to Specification Notes. ITEM 2.13: Sawcut, demolish and remove existing concrete pavement including base course material for proposed trenching works. Remove demolition/excavation material from site. Refer to Specification Notes. ITEM 2.14: Demolish and remove existing tree guards around existing playground trees. Remove demolition/excavation material from site. Refer to Specification Notes. EXISTING BASKETBALL HALF COURT -EPt K K Fre Ein E HIGH VOLTAGE ELECTRICITY LINE OVERHEAD EXISTING CYCLEWAY Date Date: Description: Issue: 21/4/21 \oplus MW APRIL 2021 CITY OF PARRAMATTA 27/4/21 21/4/21 MW 1:150 @ A1 MGA AHD Drawing Status 28/4/21 esign Approved: Date: UNLESS DETAILED ON THIS DRAWING ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO AUS DIAL 1100 BEFORE YOU DIG 21/4/21 AC FINAL

in bil as

ite.



SHEET JOIN- REFER TO CP_04			TING GROUND		HIGH VOLTAGE ELECTRICITY LINE OVER	RHEAD
LEGEND ITEM 3.1: Excavate, regrade, cut, fill, berr proposed levels and works. Salvage and required for benching works. Confirm on a Engineers Drawings and Specification No ITEM 3.2: Excavate and trim (including sh structures. Excavation to suit proposed levels and works. Colored levels and works. Confirm on a ITEM 3.2: Excavate and trim (including sh structures. Excavation to suit proposed levels and works. Colored levels and works. Colored levels and the stockpile on site for reuse excess clean find site with Superintendent. Refer to Civil Endet CITTY OF PARRRAMATTA	Ach and compact over entire site to suit stockpile on site for reuse excess clean fill not site with Superintendent. Refer to Civil otes. horing) for proposed drainage pipe, pits and evels and car park civil works. Salvage and ill not required for backfilling works. Confirm on ngineers Drawings and Specification Notes.	Co-ords: MGA UNLESS DETAILED ON THIS DRAWING ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO	Designed: Date: MW 21/4/21 Drawn: Date: MW 21/4/21 Design Approved: Date: AC 21/4/21	Date: 27 / 4 / 21 Date: 28 /4 /2 / Date: 1:150 @ A1 Drewing Status: FINAL Title:	SUE SAVAGE RESERVE PARK IMPROVEMENT WORKS CHANEL ST, TOONGABBIE - AMENITIES BUILDING & LANDSCAPE WORKS EXCAVATION PLAN- SHEET 03	Plan No: 17809 Sheet No: AB_02 Revision:

LEGEND

SE

ITEM 4.23: Supply and install 100mm depth reinforced plain concrete pavement. Includes compaction, subgrade preparation, base course, concrete, reinforcement, formwork, joints and finishes. Refer to CoP Standard Drawings DS6 'Type 1' and Specification Notes.

ITEM 4.24: Supply and install 3mm wide x 150mm depth duragal steel edge. Refer to Details and Specification Notes.

ITEM 4.25: Connect, supply and install 100mm diameter uPVC private sewer service line from existing Sydney Water sewer line to proposed amenities building service duct. Cap underground service 600mm depth from finished pavement levels and in location of proposed amenities building service duct for future connection by amenities building installer. Includes Sydney Water approvals as required, excavation, connections, backfilling works and end commissioning of sewer supply. Refer to Specification Notes.

ITEM 4.26: Connect, supply and install 25mm diameter cold water hydraulic water service line from existing tap to proposed amenities building service duct. Cap underground service 600mm depth from finished pavement levels and in location of proposed amenities building service duct for future connection by amenities building installer. Includes Sydney Water approvals as required, excavation, connections, backfilling works and end commissioning of water supply. Refer to Specification Notes.

St.

ITEM 4.27: The Contractor shall engage the nominated supplier and subcontractor for amenities building and required shop drawings. Please refer to Endeavour Energy's 'Condition of Works' requirements. Shop drawings to be approved by CoP prior to construction works commencing. Refer to Specification Notes. ITEM 4.28: The Contractor shall manage the nominated supplier and subcontractor to supply and install amenities building in full accordance with CoP approved shop drawings. Includes but not limited to any required service utility provider approvals / consultation, concrete footings, plain reinforced concrete slab, building, internal/external fit out, external water maintenance tap, roof water drainage and connection to all capped service utilities (sewer, drainage, mains power and water) to ensure amenities building is fully operational in full accordance with approved shop drawings. Refer to Specification Notes.

ITEM 4.29: The nominated firm under the coordination of the Contractor shall supply and install auto-locks to amenities building doors. Refer to Specification Notes (BY OTHERS).

ITEM 8.1: Connect, supply and install underground electrical conduit/cabling from property pole to proposed amenities building service duct. Cap underground service 600mm depth from finished pavement levels and in location of proposed amenities building service duct for future connections by amenities building installer. Includes Endeavour Energy approvals as required, ASP connection and all associated electrical works, excavation, underground conduit, cabling, connections and backfilling works to ensure all electrical works are fully operational. Refer to Specification Notes.

HIGH VOLTA	GE FI FCT	PICITY	-
		NICHTY LINE	= OVERHEAF

		-)											
		Issue:	Date:	Description:	N	0 1 2	2 5m	Designed:	Date:	Client Accepted:	Date:	Date:	Project:
	CITY OF				$ \oplus$			MW	21/4/21	Dale		APRIL 2021	
ALL TIM	CITTOF				Co-ords:	Datum:		Drawn:	Date:	right	27/4/21	Scale:	
E.	ΔΑΔΔΑΜΑΤΤΑ				MGA	-	AHD	MW	21/4/21	Approved for Construction;	Date:	1:100 @ A1	С
	FARRAMAN		(7)		UNLESS DETAILE	D ON THIS DRAWI		Design Approved:	Date:	n	28/4/21	Drawing Status:	Title:
			10	DIAL 1100 BEFORE YOU DIG	ALL WORK SHALL	CONFORM TO	SPEC	AC	21/4/21	Control Designed		FINAL	





AME	COMMON NAME	SIZE	SPACING	QTY
aurina 'Luscious'	Water Gum	100L	As shown	8
aniculata	Golden Rain Tree	100L	As shown	4
m 'Osakazuki'	Japanese Maple	100L	As shown	10
ri 'Eskdale'	Tussock Grass	140mm	4/m2	161
nson Villea'	Crimson Villea	140mm	1/lin.m	51
nika'	Lomandra	140mm	4/m2	296

		- 1-
SUE SAVAGE RESERVE PARK IMPROVEMENT WORKS	Plan No: 17809	
CHANEL ST, TOONGABBIE AMENITIES BUILDING & LANDSCAPE WORKS	Sheet No: AB_04	
TWORKS, FURNITURE & FIXTURES PLAN	Revision:	



nager Capital P

							1
		_					
INC							
FTDAL		Th					
COUD	-	0					
COUR							
							\wedge
						/	$ \land \land \land$
					/	/ //	11/
					\langle	$\langle \langle \rangle$	11.
	_				/	1/1	///
				20		1.1	//
1				A	V V 2	16	
1	ATV			5	. *	3/	/
Z	V V V	3		Z	1	21	10
5	1	2		1	N	/ /	
2	1.1	2	nI	7.~	1		
	40	1	SV	VZ		/	ſ
			5	. 4	5/	/	
VE			SX	~	5		
E.			C	P-			
.00	la		- N				
	Nr.		Z.V	VZ	1		
		70.	5	. 2	ZC	//	
		nu	ZI	N	/	11,	
1		- P	On L	N		A	1
1			N' (ND		×	18
	-16		2 -		-2		/ /
	-/						
	1	-	2	1	M	/	
		1		~~	/	//	$\overline{1}$
			-		5.	1	
			-		/	//	
				× .	,	112	
			Point T	able			
	Point #	Eastings	Northings	Levels	Codes		
POINT	61	311481.29	6260289.28		DESIGN	POINT	
POINT	401	311522.28	6260253.44	26.86	STATE SU	RVEY MARK	
POINT	421	311380.49 3114.21.45	6260340.54 6260273.94	24.02	SURVEY	STATION	
POINT	5069	311523.07	6260277.24	26.29	SURVEY	STATION	
POINT	20190	311466.87	6260330.60	24.32	SURVEY	STATION	
POINT							
POINT							
POINT							
POINT							
PUINT							
SU	E SAV	AGE R	ESERVI	Ε		Plan No: 17	809
PARK	IMPRO	VEME	NT WO	RKS		Sheet No:	- 3.2
		T, TOOM			RKS	AE	3_05
	5 DOILD		a DOOAr	- **01		Revision:	
	SETC	OUT PLA	N				_





SUE SAVAGE TOONGABBIE SKATEPARK FOR CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS //

// Sue Savage Reserve, Chanel Street, Toongabbie, NSW 2146



FOR CONSTRUCTION For Construction Issu 19.04.21 100% Issue 01.12.20 enlocus Title Page & Drawing Index 1910_CD000 General Notes 1910_CD001 CONSTRUCTION PLANS Existing Conditions P 1910_CD002 1910_CD003 Demolition Pla Site Plan 1910_CD004 Surface Finishes Plar 1910_CD006a Surface Painting Pl 1910_CD006 1910_CD007 Levels Plan 1910_CD008 Drainage Plar Setout Plan 1910_CD009 1910_CD010 **Dimensions Pla** Steel Plan 1910_CD011 Concrete Joints Pl 1910_CD012

SECTIONS 1910_CD100 Section Key Pla 1910 CD101 Sections 01 Sections 02 1910 CD102 DETAILS 1910 CD200 Engineering Details 0 Engineering Details 0 1910 CD201 1910 CD210 Drainage Details FABRICATION Seat Details 01 1910 CD300 1910 CD301 Seat Details 02 Balustrade Detai 1910 CD310 Handrail Details 1910 CD320

CITY OF PARRAMATTA 126 Church Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

SUE SAVAGE TOONGABBIE SKATEPARK Sue Savage Reserve, Chanel St, Toongab NSW 2146

Drawing Index



DRAWING NUMBER

DATE OF ISSUE: 19.04.2021 A1 REFERENCE NO: 1910 CM APPROVAL .IM

> REVISION: С

1910 CD 000

GENERAL NOTES // ON SITE WORKS CM1 ALL WORKS TO BE PERFORMED TO COMPLY WITH THE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT 2004 AND THE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY REGULATIONS

- G02 TRAFFIC / PEDESTRIAN MANAGEMENT PLANS AS REQUIRED FOR WORKS ON SITE ONLY TO BE
- SUBMITTED TO SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS REFER TO G32 G03 AU SERVICE AUTHORITIES TO BE NOTIFIED AND RELEVANT PERMITS RECEIVED PRIOR TO THE

COMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORKS.

G04 SETOUT AS PER DETAILS SHOWN ON SET OUT DRAWINGS.

ABSENCE OF THE OTHER INSTRUCTION.

- G05 ALL CO-ORDINATES ARE TO A LOCAL GRID SET OUT USING THE TRIANGULATION OF 3 KNOWN MGA GRID POINTS. REFER TO SITE PLAN CD004. ALL LEVELS TO AHD.
- . SIDE ENTRY & JUNCTION PIT LIDS, VALVE COVERS, ETC WITHIN THE WORK AREA ARE TO BE JUSTED TO SUIT NEW DESIGN LEVELS.
- G08 ROAD CONSTRUCTION AND LANDSCAPING MATERIALS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATION
- G09
 ALL SOFT LANDSCAPING MATERIALS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATION.

 CONSULTANTS DRAWINGS, THE SPECIFICATION AND WITH SUCH OTHER WRITTEN INSTRUCTION

 G10
 MARY INSURATION FOR ADDRESS TRANSPOOL

 G10
 MARY INSURATION ADD WITH SUCH OTHER WRITTEN INSTRUCTION

 G10
 SUPERINTENDENT FOR APPROVAL.
- ENT FOR APPROVAL. INCIES BETWEEN THE DRAWINGS OR THE DRAWINGS AND/OR SPECIFICATION AND/OR THE STEP BRAVAN SS AND A BRE BEAD BY BORD BY COMPANY AND A WHICH SAVE THE SAVE THE SAVE AND A WHICH SAVE AND A SAVE AND A WHICH SAVE AND A SAVE INSTRUCTION RECEIVED PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH THE WORKS. DURING THE TENDERING PROCESS TENDERS SHALL ASSUME THE LARGER OR GREATER CRITERIA IN TERMS OF COST IN THE
- G13 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT TO THE SUPERINTENDENT ANY DIFFERENCE IN SITE CONDITIONS TO THOSE INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.
- G14 THE DRAWINGS DO NOT SHOW ALL DETAILS OF FIXTURES. INSERTS, SI FEVES, OPENING FTC REQUIRED BY THE VARIOUS TRADES. ALL SUCH DETAILS, INCLUDING OPENINGS FOR CONS' RUCTION PURPOSES, MUST BE APPROVED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT AND A WRITTEN INSTRUCTION RECEIVED PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH THE WORKS.
- G15 ALL WORKMANSHIP AND MATERIALS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RELEVANT CURRENT AUSTRALIAN STANDARD INCLUDING ALL AMENDMENTS, AND THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE LOCAL STATUTORY AUTHORITY, EXCEPT WHERE VARIED BY THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. ANY DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN THE DRAWINGS/SPECIFICATION AND THE SPECIFIED STANDARDS MUST BE REPORTED TO THE SUPERINTENDENT AND A WRITTEN INSTRUCTION RECEIVED PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH THE WORKS.
- G16 ALL DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. ALL LEVELS ARE IN METERS
- G17 ALL DIMENSIONS RELEVANT TO SETTING OUT AND OFF-SITE WORKS SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR BEFORE CONSTRUCTION OR FABRICATION IS COMMENCED. THE ENGINEER'S DRAWINGS SHALL NOT BE SCALED.
- G18 THE CONTRACTOR MUST MAINTAIN THE CONSTRUCTION AREA IN A SAFE CONDITION AND MUST ENSURE THAT ADEQUATE BARRIERS, LIGHT AND SIGNS ARE INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED WHER NECESSARY IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS1742 3-1996
- G19 TRENCHES THROUGH NATURE STRIPS AND SOFT LANDSCAPED AREAS TO BE FINISHED WITH MIN 100MM TOPSOIL AND GRASSED TO MATCH ADJACENT SURFACE LEVELS
- THE FULL WIDTH AND DEPTH OF TRENCH ABOVE OVERLAY ZONE AS DEFINED BY AS 3725 TO BE BACKFILLED AND COMPACTED TO 98% MODIFIED DRY DENSITY (SMDD).
- G21 ALL CONCRETE PIPES TO BE STEEL REINFORCED CLASS 2. RRJ UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE
- G22 ALL EXISTING AREAS OF PAVEMENT, PAVING, K&C, FOOTPATH MUST BE SAW-CUT NEATLY BEFORE EXCAVATION
- G23 LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND SERVICES SHOWN ON PLAN ARE INDICATIVE ONLY. NO GUARANTEE IS GIVEN THAT ALL EXISTING SERVICES ARE SHOWN. CONSTRUCTION IS TO CONFIRM DEPTH OF ALL SERVICES CROSSING PROPOSED UNDERGROUND DRAINS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTIFY COUNCIL'S SUPERVISING OFFICER OF ANY CONFLICT IMMEDIATELY. CONTRACTOR IS TO ALLOW ADEQUATE TIME IN THEIR WORK PROGRAM FOR COUNCIL TO MODIFY THE DESIGN / ARRANGE MODIFICATIONS OF SERVICE.

FOR ON SITE WORKS (EXCLUDING SUPPLY ONLY CONTRACTS) THE LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING PITS, VALVE COVERS, ETC SHOWN ON DRAWINGS HAVE BEEN REPRODUCED FROM SURVEY. THE LOCATIONS OF UNDERGROUND SERVICES HAVE BEEN NOTED FROM EXISTING RECORDS.

- G24 TACTILE PAVER TO BE 300MMX300MM POLYURETHANE WARNING TACTILE INDICATOR TILE. COLOUR GREY TO MATCH PREVIOUS PRAM CROSSING TACTILE PAVER, BY GUARDIAN TACTILE SYSTEMS (08) 9240 1888 OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT
- G25 ANY VEGETATION TO BE REMOVED OR TRIMMED SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH PLANNING PERMI' REQUIREMENTS AND SUBJECT TO SUPERINTENDENTS APPROVAL.
- G26 EXISTING TREES TO BE RETAINED. UNLESS WHERE SPECIFIED OTHERWISE, CONTRACTOR TO TAKE ENSING IRCES TO BE RELIANDED, UNLESS WITHER SPECIFIED UTHERWISE, CONTRACTOR TO TARE CARE TO ENSURE THAT NO DAMAGES OCCUR TO TREES TO BE RETAINED AS PER TREE PROTECTION STANDARD AS 4970 2009, CONTRACTOR NOT TO PLACE SPOL FROM EXCANATIONS, BULK MATERIALS, HARMFUL MATERIALS OR PARKING/STORAGE MACHINERY WITHIN TREE DRIP LINES.
- G27 TREE REMOVAL: CONTRACTOR TO CONFIRM AND MARK WITH SPRAY PAINT ALL TREES TO BE REMOVED WITH DESIGNATED COUNCIL SUPERVISING OFFICER ON SITE PRIOR TO COMMENSION WORK, STUMP GRINDING ALL TREES TO A DEPTH OF 500MM, REMOVE ALL DEBRIS OFF SITE AND ADDRESS TO A DEPTH OF 500MM, REMOVE ALL DEBRIS OFF SITE AND ADDRESS TO ADDRESS TO A DEPTH OF 500MM, REMOVE ALL DEBRIS OFF SITE AND ADDRESS TO ADDRESS TO A DEPTH OF 500MM, REMOVE ALL DEBRIS OFF SITE AND ADDRESS TO ADDRESS TO A DEPTH OF 500MM, REMOVE ALL DEBRIS OFF SITE AND ADDRESS TO ADDRESS TO A DEPTH OF 500MM, REMOVE ALL DEBRIS OFF SITE AND ADDRESS TO ADDRESS TO A DEPTH OF 500MM, REMOVE ALL DEBRIS OFF SITE AND ADDRESS TO ADDRESS TO A DEPTH OF 500MM, REMOVE ALL DEBRIS OFF SITE AND ADDRESS TO ADDRESS TO A DEPTH OF 500MM, REMOVE ALL DEBRIS OFF SITE AND ADDRESS A . ING ANY REINSTATE NATURE STRIP. CONTRACTOR TO TAKE EXTREME CARE NOT TO DAMAGE OTHER RETAINED TREES, SERVICES OR OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE DURING TREE REMOVAL WORKS.
- WORKS NEAR TREES: WITHIN THE TREE DRIP LINE OR 3 METERS FROM THE TREE TRUNK OR WHICHEVER IS GREATER. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SEEK APPROVAL FROM THE COUNCIL SUPERVISING OFFICER FOR WORKS INCLUDING CUT AND FILL OPERATIONS. PRIOR TO MACHINE EXCAVATION, USE HAND EXCAVATION TO LOCATE ROOTS IN THE VICINITY OF TREES TO BE RETAINED. TOR TO SUBMIT A WORKS METHODS STATEMENT TO COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION.
- G29 ALL AREA OF PAVEMENTS, K&C AND FOOTPATHS DISTURBED BY CONTRACTOR DURING CONSTRUCTION OF DRAIN MUST BE REINSTATED TO THE ORIGINAL CONDITION.
- G30 ALL ADJACENT DISTURBED VALVES, PITS, MANHOLES, SERVICES COVERS ETC TO MATCH NEW ED SURFACE I EVEL
- G31 ALL SERVICES ARE TO BE PROTECTED DURING CONSTRUCTION. ATTENTION IS DRAWN TO MINIMUM COVER REQUIREMENTS OVER EXISTING SERVICES. FOR ON SITE WORKS (EXCLUDING SUPPLY ONLY CONTRACTS)
- G32 WHERE INTERRUPTION OR DIVERSION OF LOCAL TRAFFIC IS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE WORKS CONTRACTOR TO SUBMIT TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FLAN TO SUPERINTENDED FOR WRITTEN AP BY ROAD AUTHORITY MSI OR RTA- PRIOR TO PROCEEDING. TTEN APPROVAL

CONCRETE NOTES ALL WORKMANSHIP AND MATERIALS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS3600-2009 CONCRETE STRUCTURES EXCEPT WHERE VARIED BY THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

- C02 BEAM DEPTHS ARE WRITTEN FIRST AND INCLUDED SLAB THICKNESS. BEAMS AND SLABS ARE TO BE POURED TOGETHER UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE
- C03 SIZE OF CONCRETE ELEMENTS DO NOT INCLUDE THICKNESS OF APPLIED FINISHES.
- C04 NO HOLES CHASES OR EMBEDMENT OF PIPES OTHER THAN THOSE SHOWN ON THE STRUCTURAL S SHALL BE MADE IN CONCRETE MEMBERS WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
- C05 CONSTRUCTION JOINTS SHALL BE PROPERLY FORMED AND USED ONLY WHERE SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS OR SPECIFICALLY APPROVED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT.
- C06 ALL EXPOSED CONCRETE CORNERS TO HAVE 15MM CHAMFER U.N.C
- C07 CAMBER OF SUSPENDED SLABS SHALL BE POSITIVE UPWARD CAMBER OF 3MM PER 1000MM SPAN UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED. BEAMS SHALL BE CAMBERED AS SHOWN ON DRAWINGS. NO CAMBER IS REQUIRED TO POST-TENSIONED BEAMS AND SLAB.

- C08 FORMWORK AND BACK PROPPING SHALL BE DESIGNED, CONSTRUCTED AND STRIPPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS3610, REFER TO DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATION FOR CLASS OF SURFACE
- C09 UNO CONCRETE COMPONENTS AND QUALITY SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS RECAST CROSSING UNITS PRECAST PAVERS CONCRETE KERB AND CHANNEL CONCRETE SLAB NOMINATED FOR SPORTS FACILITY 32MPa CONNECTING ROADSIDE PATHWAYS (MAXIMUM AGGREGATE SIZE SHALL BE 20MM) 25MPa

OR AS NOTED.

C17 REINFORCEMENT SYMBOLS

- C10 CONCRETE DESIGN STRENGTH (fc AT 28 DAYS) AND BASIC MIX DETAILS UNO SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS GRADE N32 SLUMP 100MM AGGREGATE 20MM FOR FOOTINGS. GRADE N32 SLUMP 80MM AGGREGATE 20MM FOR PAVEMENT SLABS/WALLS.
- C11 ALL CEMENT IS TO BE GENERAL PURPOSE "PORTLAND GREY CEMENT" UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN THE DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATION
- C12 CONCRETE SLUMP TO BE A MAXIMUM OF 80MM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED ON THE DRA
- C13 FREE DROPPING OF CONCRETE FROM & HEIGHT GREATER THAN 1000MM IS NOT PERMITTED
- C14 SURFACES RECEIVING GROUT SHALL BE LEFT ROUGH AND FREE OF LAITANCE.
- C15 CONCRETE MUST BE CURED BY AN APPROVED METHOD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATION OR SEVEN DAYS AFTER POURING
- C16 REINFORCEMENT IS REPRESENTED DIAGRAMMATICALLY AND NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN IN TRUE PROJECTION OF SCALE
- HEINFURCEMENT SYMBOLS: N = NORMAL DUCTILITY CLASS HOT ROLLED DEFORMED BARS 500N GRADE OR MESH TO AS1302 & ASINZS 4671 WITH FSY EQUAL TO 500MPA. R = NORMAL DUCTILITY CLASS 250N PLAIN ROUND BAR TO ASINZS 4671 WITH FSY EQUAL TO 250MPA. L = LOW DUCTILITY CLASS 500L REINFORCING MESH OR BAR TO ASINZS 4671 WITH FSY EQUAL TO 200400
- C18 WELDING OF REINFORCEMENT SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
- C19 MINIMUM CONCRETE COVER TO ALL REINFORCEMENT (FINISHES NOT INCLUDED) LABS (TOP) LABS (BOTTOM) WALLS AND FOOTINGS 50MM
- C20 ALL REINFORCEMENT SHALL BE SECURELY SUPPORTED IN ITS CORRECT POSITION DURING
- CONCRETING BY APPROVED BAR CHAIRS, SPACERS OR SUPPORT BARS AT 1000MM MAXIMUM CENTRES. THE CHAIR MATERIAL SHALL SUIT THE EXPOSURE CONDITIONS.
- C21 REINFORCEMENT FOR ANY ONE POUR SHALL BE PLACED AND TIED PRIOR TO INSPECTION BY SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH POURING OF SLAB OR WALL. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY SUPERINTENDENT 24HOURS PRIOR TO POURING OF SLAB OF PLANNED OR LIKEL REQUIREMENT FOR INSPECTION. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY SUPERINTENDENT 3HRS PRIOR TO POURING OF SLAB CONFIRMATION OF INSPECTION
- C22 2 X N12 DIAGONAL CORNER BARS 1200MM LONG ARE REQUIRED AT ALL RE-ENTRANT CORNERS OF OPENINGS IN SLABS AND WALLS.
- C23 REINFORCEMENT LENGTHS ARE IN MILLIMETRES AND ARE PLAN LENGTH ONLY. TURN DOWNS AND CRANKS ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE DIMENSION
- C24 BARS SHOWN STAGGERED ON PLAN SHALL BE PLACED ALTERNATELY.
- C25 BARS SHALL BE EVENLY DISTRIBUTED OVER THE WIDTH OF THE STRIP INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS
- C26 ALL EMBEDMENT'S SHALL BE HOT DIP GALVANISED.
- C27 DURING THE CONSTRUCTION OF SUSPENDED OR CANTI-LEVERED CONCRETE ELEMENTS TH CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING THE STRUCTURE IN A STABLE CONDITION AND ENSURING NO PART SHALL BE OVERSTRESSED UNDER CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.
- C28 THE STRUCTURAL WORK SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS HAVE BEEN DESIGNED FOR MINIMUM LIVE OADS AS FOLLOWS PAVEMEN
- SHADE STRUCTURES/SHELTERS 0.25KPa
- C29 CONCRETE SKATEPARK SURFACE TOLERANCES : MAX. +/- 4 MM DEVIATION OVER A 2 M LONG MACHINE ROLLED STEEL RADIUS FLAT SKATE ELEMENTS: MAX. +/- 4MM DEVIATION OVER A 2 M STRAIGHT EDGE AS PER CLASS A.

SITE DRAINAGE

- SITES SHOULD BE DRAINED SO THAT WATER CANNOT POND AGAINST OR NEAR PAVED AREAS. THE STIELD STOLED BY TWATCH COMMON FOR DARREST FOR COMPARIST FOR DARREST FOR COMPARIST AND A COMMON FOR DARREST FOR COMPARIST FOR CO THE PAVEMENT OR STRUCTURE
- SD2 DISCHARGE FROM ANY DRAINAGE MUST BE DIRECTED AWAY FROM THE CONSTRUCTION AREAS DURING CONSTRUCTION PROCESS TO ENSURE WATER DOES POND ADJACENT TO AREA OF EXCAVATION OR BUILDING
- SD3_FOR ALL SITES THE NATURAL GROUND IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO THE CONSTRUCTION AREA SHALL BE GRADED TO A UNIFORM FALL OF 50MM MIN. AWAY FROM THE PAVEMENT AND STRUCTURE OVER THE FIRST METRE. THE SURFACE WATER AND SUB-SURFACE WATER IS TO BE DRAINED TO AN ROPRIATE LEGAL POINT OF DISCHARGE. REFER TO THE ATTACHED SKETCH
- WATERING AND LANDSCAPE BEDS ARE NOT PERMITTED ADJACENT TO BUILDING(S) AND ADJACENT TO THE PERIMETER CONCRETE PAVING SLAB. SHALLOW (300MM MAX.) AGRICULTURAL DRAIN IS TO BE INSTALLED BELOW GROUND LEVEL AT THE OUTER PERIMETER OF THE CONCRETE PAVING SLAB CONNECTED TO A GRAVITY FEED LEGAL POINT OF DISCHARGE. SUB-SUBRACE WATER IN THE FORM OF PERCHED WATER TABLE MAY OCCIR WHERE SILTY CLAYS AND SANDS OVERLY IMPERMEABLE CLAY, WHERE THIS OCCURS AND AGRICULTURAL DRAIN MUST BE PROVIDED TO DIVERT THE FLOW OF WATER AWAY FROM THE CONCRETE PAVING SLAB & STRUCTURES. THIS DRAIN SHOULD BE EMBEDDED INTO IMPERMEABLE CLAY. SPECIAL ATTENTION SHOULD BE MADE NOT TO UNDERMINE THE FOOTINGSSTRUCTURES
- SD5 PROVIDE SITE DRAINAGE TO PREVENT SURFACE SOIL SATURATION OR WATER PONDING NEAR AVEMENT & STRUCTURE
- SD6 CARE SHALL BE TAKEN WITH SURFACE DRAINAGE OF THE ALLOTMENT FROM THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. THE DRAINAGE SYSTEM SHALL BE COMPLETED BY THE FINISH OF CONSTRUCTION
- SD7 PLUMBING TRENCHES SHALL BE SLOPED AWAY FROM THE BUILDING AND SHALL BE BACKFILLED WITH CLAY IN THE TOP 300MM WITHIN 1.5M OF THE BUILDING. THE CLAY USED FOR BACKFILLING SHALL BE COMPACTED. WHERE PIPES PASS UNDER THE FOOTING & PAVEMENT SYSTEM, THE TRENCH SHALL BE BACKFILLED WITH CLAY OR CONCRETE TO RESTRICT THE INGRESS OF WATER BENEATH
- SD8 SUBSURFACE DRAINS SHALL BE FREE DRAINING AND SHALL BE ABLE TO BE INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED. SUBSURFACE DRAINS SHALL BE PROTECTED BY FILTERS AND GEOTEXTILE.NOTE WHEREVER PRACTICABLE, SUBSURFACE DRAINS SHOULD BE AVOIDED NEAR ANY CONCRETE STRUCTURE OR PAVEMENT
- SD9 REFER TO SECTION 5 AND 6 AS2870-1996 AND AS2870-2011 FOR FULL SET OF DETAILING AND
- SD10 REFER TO SOIL TEST AND DETAIL SHEETS FOR ADDITIONAL CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS WHICH FORM PART OF THIS DESIGN
- SD11 THE FOOTING & CONCRETE PAVEMENT DESIGN IS BASED ON A SITE HAVING PROPER SITE DRAINAGE VAGE REQUIREMENTS CANNOT BE ACHIEVED CONTACT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT FOR FURTHER ADVICE.

- PREPARATION OF SUB-BASE FOR SUBS ON GROUND P1 ALL PAVEMENT SLABS TO BE POURED OVER AN APPROPRIATE SUBGRADE MATERIAL IN COMPLIA WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT SUPPLIED BY REPC NO. EARTHWORKS SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS OF AS 3796 -"GUIDELINES ON EARTHWORKS FOR COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS"
- P2 PROVIDE FILL UNDER SLAB WHERE REQUIRED TO PRODUCE FINISHED LEVELS AS SHOWN ON PLANS L07
- P3 CLEAR AREA UNDER SLAB OF ALL TOP SOIL CONTAINING HUMUS AND VEGETABLE MATTER 100MM MIN
- PIPELINES, PREVIOUS CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER MATERIALS LINSUITABLE FOR INCORPORATION IN THE WORKS. TOP SOIL MAY BE STOCKPILED FOR LATER USE. ALL OTHER MATERIAL TO BE REMOVED ROM SITE OTHER THAN BEST OF FILL. SUFFICIENT OF WHICH SHALL BE RETAINED TO BALANCE
- PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF ANY FILL MATERIAL, THE EXPOSED SURFACE IS TO BE PROOF ROLLED WITH FULLY LOADED TANDEM TIPPER WITH TYRES INFLATED TO APPROX. 550 KPA ALL 'SOFT SPOTS' OR AREAS SHOWING MOVEMENT TO BE EXCAVATED AND REPLACED WITH CONTROLLED FILL IN LAYERS NOT EXCEEDING 150MM FOR LEVEL PAVEMENT AND 200MM FOR BUILT UP AREAS - FOR RETESTING
- RELATIVE COMPACTION OF COMPLETED WORKS SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS P6 ROAD, DRIVENAY AND PAVEMENT AREAS > 0.3 M BELOW PAVEMENT SUBGRADE COMPACTED IN 150MM MAX LAYERS TO 98% OF THE MAXIMUM MODIFIED DRV DENSITY (M.M.D.D.) WHEN TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS 1288 - 0.3 BELOW PAVEMENT SUBFRACE SHALL BE WITHIN 65% TO 115% OF OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT AND TO BE PROPERLY COMPACTED TO 98% M.M.D.D
- P7 FILL MATERIAL SHALL BE IMPORTED GRANULAR MATERIAL. SANDSTONE, MUDSTONE OR STABLE SILURIAN CLAY, SAMPLES AND SOURCE SHALL BE PROVIDED FOR APPROVAL OF CONSULTING ENGINEER. MATERIAL IN STOCKPILES MAY BE USED
- P8 ALLOW FOR THREE COMPACTION TESTS ON COMPLETED WORKS
- P9 ENSURE THAT WORKS ARE KEPT FREE DRAINING ALL TIMES. CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY SURFACE DRAINS AS REQUIRE
- P10 UNLESS NOTED ON PLAN. NO TREES TO BE REMOVED WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE SUPERINTENDEN"
- P11 EXTERNAL PAVEMENT AREA ARE SUBJECTED TO VARYING MOISTURE CONDITIONS, MOVEMENT OF THE PAVEMENT IS TO BE EXPECTED OVER TIME. IN AREAS WHERE MOVEMENT IS BEYOND EXPECTABLE SERVICEABLE LEVELS, ADJACENT PORTION OF THE CONCRETE PAVEMENT IS EITHER TO IRED OR REMOVED AND REPLACE TO RETURN THE AFFECTED AREA TO AN EXPECTABLE CONDITION.
- TREE NOTES T1 CAREFUL AND CONTINUOUS ATTENTION SHOULD BE PAID IN THE PLACEMENT OF TREES, GARDENING AND RELATED ACTIVITIES WITHIN A BUILDING LOT AS WELL AS ITS IMMEDIATE ADJOINING PROPERTIES. THE OWNER'S ATTENTION IS DRAWN TO APPENDIX B OF AS 2870 (PERFORMANCE WARDEN AND RELATED ACTIVITIES AND ADDRESS ATTENTION IS DRAWN TO APPENDIX B OF AS 2870 (PERFORMANCE) REQUIREMENTS AND FOUNDATION MAINTENANCE)
- BULK EXCAVATION AND PAVEMENT NOTES TOPSOIL (NOMINAL DEPTH 150MM) SHALL BE STRIPPED WITHIN THE LIMITS OF EARTHWORKS. QUANTITIES OF SELECTED TOPSOIL MATERIAL FOR FUTURE LANDSCAPE WORKS SHALL BE STOCKPILED AS DIRECTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT.
- B02 AFTER BULK EXCAVATION HAS BEEN COMPLETED THE FORMED SURFACE SHALL BE PROOF ROLLED AND TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATION.
- 803 ALL FILLING IS TO BE CARRIED OUT IN 150MM LAYERS UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED & COMPACTED TO 98% OF MAXIMUM MODIFIED DRY DENSITY, FILLING IN ROAD RESERVES IS TO BE CARRIED OUT USING APPROVED CLAY FILL. TOPSOIL & ALL VEGETATION MATTER TO BE STRIPPED FROM SITE PRIOR TO FILLING. ALL FILLING TO COMPLY WITH AS3798
- 804 EARTHWORKS WITHIN ROAD RESERVES AND LOTS SHALL BE TESTED BY A NATA REGISTERED GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS3798 AND AS1289 AND MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF COUNCIL.
- B05 COMPACTION TESTING AND PROOF ROLLING OF EACH PAVEMENT LAYER TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS 3798 AND AS 1289 AND SHALL MEET THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED I - SUB GRADE 98% MODIFIED DRY DENSITY
- II BASE COURSE LAYERS 98% MODIFIED DRY DENSITY III - ASPHALT 100% MODIFIED DRY DENSITY

OF THE SUPERINTENDENT

REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS

SIGNAGE AND ROAD LINEMARKING NOTES

SUPERINTENDENT REPRESENTATIVE

CONTROL DEVICES"

WORKS

- B06 SOFT SPOTS OR HEAVE AREAS IN SUB GRADE SHALL BE EXCAVATED TO A SUITABLE FOUNDATION APPROVED BY SUPERINTENDENT, BACKFILLED WITH APPROVED GRANULAR MATERIAL OR CONTROLLED FILL IN 150MM LAYERS FOR LEVEL SLAB AND 200MM FOR BUILT UP AREAS AND COMPACTED TO 98% M.M.D.D.
- 807 REFER TO RC WALLS AND MINOR STRUCTURE TYPICAL DETAILS FOR MINIMUM BEARING CAPACITY. OUNDATION MATERIAL FOR RC FOOTINGS TO BE 90KPa UNO
- 808 ON COMPLETION OF WORKS THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL OF EXCESS SPOIL FROM THE SITE, AS DIRECTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT
- B09 ANY SOFT WET OR LINSUITABLE SUB GRADE MATERIALS AS DEFINED IN THE SPECIFICATION SHALL BE REMOVED AND REPLACED WITH AN APPROVED MATERIAL AND PROPERLY COMPACTED TO COUNCIL REQUIREMENTS.
- B10 APPROVED FILL MATERIALS SHALL BE PLACED IN UNIFORMED LAYERS. COMPACTED. TESTED AND PROOF ROLLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATION. THE FINISHED FARTHWORKS | EVELS SHALL BE PROOF ROLLED AND TESTED AS SPECIFIED PRIOR TO PAVEMENT CONSTRUCTION DURING CONSTRUCTION THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CONSTRUCTING AND MAINTAINING A TEMPORARY SITE DRAINAGE SYSTEM AND TO MAINTAIN THE SITE IN A DRY AND

STABLE CONDITION. DETAILS OF THE DRAINAGE SYSTEM SHALL BE SUBMITTED FOR THE APPROVAL

B12 UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED ALL BATTERS SHAPED TO FINAL PROFILE SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AT A

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED ALL BATTERS SHAPED TO TINUE HROFILE SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AT A MAXIMUM BATTER/SLOPE OF 1 IN 4 IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL STANDARDS. TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION BATTER TO BE LIMITED TO A MAXIMUM OF 1 IN 1.5. STEEPER BATTERS/SLOPES SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUCTED UNLESS APPROVED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT. STABILIZATION AND EROSION

PROTECTION SHALL BE PROVIDED AS DIRECTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, AT THE CONTRACTOR'S

EXPENSES, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE STABILITY OF HIS TEMPORARY

B14 ALL TRENCHES UNDER PAVED SURFACES ARE TO BE BACKFILLED WITH 20MM NOMINAL SIZE CLASS 2

CRUSHED ROCK & COMPACTED TO 98% MAXIMUM MODIFIED DRY DENSITY. REFER TO GEOTECHNICAL

ALL SIGNAGE TO BE MANUFACTURED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS1742 "MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC

L02 UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN, ALL SIGNS AND LINEMARKINGS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH

L04 ALL REDUNDANT LINEMARKING TO BE REMOVED BY GRINDING PRIOR TO THE INSTALLATION ON NEW

B13 ALL UNDERGROUND SERVICES SHALL BE LAID PRIOR TO FINAL SEALING OF ANY PAVEMENTS

B15 DRAINAGE BEDDING TYPE IS TO BE 'HS2' IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS 3725 UNLESS OTHERWISE

RAFFIC ENGINEERING MANUAL VOLUME 2 "SIGN AND LINEMA

L03 ALL LINEMARKINGS TO BE CARRIED OUT BY A CONTRACTOR APPROVED BY COUNCIL

L05 ALL LINEMARKING MATERIALS TO BE THERMOPLASTIC IN ACCORDANCE WITH RMS STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR ROADWORKS AND BRIDGEWORKS SECTION 722 PART D - LONGLIFE PAVEMENT

L06 ALL EXISTING LINEMARKING PARTIALLY AFFECTED BY THE WORKS SHALL BE FULLY REFRESHED.

BOTTOM OF THE SIGN BEFORE REINSTATEMENT.

S2 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE, ALL STEEL SHALL BE:

GRADE 300 PLUS FOR HOT ROLLED SECTIONS GRADE 300 PLUS FOR WELDED SECTIONS GRADE 300 PLUS FOR WERCHANT BAR GRADE 250 PLUS FOR PLATE

GRADE C350 FOR RHS. CHS AND SHS

S3

THE MEMBERS

SHALL COMPLY WITH AS 1554 AND AS 4100

POSITIONS FOR DOWN HAND WEI DING

Project // Drawing Number

1910 CD001

DRAWING

NUMBER

W4

PROJECT

NUMBER

THE EXISTING SIGN POSTS ARE TO BE USED FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF THE SIGNS IN LOCATIONS AS SHOWN ALL POSTS ARE TO BE CUT TO A STANDARD LENGTH TO MAINTAIN 2040MM BELOW THE

TING NOTES THE ASSUMED FOUNDING LEVELS OF THE FOOTINGS IS TO BE AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWING. BEFORE ANY REINFORCEMENT OR CONCRETE IS PLACED. THE SAFE BEARING CAPACITY OF THE GROUND IS TO BE VERIFIED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. EXCAVATION SHALL CONTINUE UNTIL THE REQUIRED BEARING CAPACITY IS FOUND. THE OVER- EXCAVATION SHALL BE BACK FILLED WITH BLINDING CONCRETE TO THE ASSUMED FOUNDING LEVEL.

F02 THE CONTRACTOR IS TO ALLOW FOR THE ENGAGEMENT OF THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER TO

F03 OVER-EXCAVATION WITHIN THE INFLUENCE ZONE (45DEGREE LINE DOWN FROM BASE OF THE WALL) OF ANY RETAINING WALL IS NOT ALLOWED WITHOUT THE PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE APPROVAL OF THE EXCAVATION SEQUENCE BY THE SUPERINTENDENT.

PRECAST CONCRETE PC1 IF CRANES ARE TO BE LOCATED ON SLAB DURING ERECTION, DETAILS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO POURING GROUND FLOOR SLAB, PRIOR TO LIFTING OF ANY WALL PANELS TH ENGINEER FRUR TO FOURING GROUND FLOOR SLAB. PRICK TO LIFTING OF ANT WALL PARELS THE MANUFACTURER OR CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE TO THE ENGINEER ALL RELEVANT CRANE WHEEL AND OUTRIGGER LOADING TO BE APPLIED ON THE FLOOR SLAB AND SHALL PROVIDE LOAD SPREADING AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.

PC2 LEVEL AND POSITION PANELS IN THEIR FINAL POSITION USING PATENTED PLASTIC SHIMS AND 20mm THICK CONTINUOUS GROUT BED.

PC3 APPLY STIFF NON-SHRINK GROUT TO ALL HORIZONTAL JOINTS AND FERRULE HOLES AS REQUIRED. GROUT TO BE USED SHALL BE NON SHRINK, NON-STAINING, HAVE A 28 DAY CHARACTERISTIC STRENGTH OF 40 MPa. DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED GROUT USED SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL.

PC5 ALL SEALANTS SHALL BE AS SPECIFIED BY THE ARCHITECT.

PC6 ALL PANELS TO ACHIEVE CONCRETE GRADE N25 MINIMUM AT TIME OF LIFTING. REFER ALSO TO NOTE

STEEL FABRICATION ST ALL WORKMANSHIP AND MATERIALS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS4100, AS 4600, AS1554 AND AS HB63 EXCEPT WHERE VARIED BY THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE PREFERRED METHOD OF FABRICATION AND ASSEMBLY, MATERIALS DIMENSIONS, LIFTING POINTS, FIXINGS, PENETRATIONS ETC FOR SUPERINTENDENT APPROVAL. ALLOWANCE TO PREPARE SHOP DRAWINGS AS REQUIRED BY FABRICATOR TO COMPLETE WORKS AS

S4 ALL DIMENSIONS SHALL BE VERIFIED ON SITE PRIOR TO FABRICATION

S5 ALL BOLTS, NUTS AND WASHERS SHALL BE HOT DIP GALVANISED.

ALL STEELWORK [STRUCTURAL] BELOW GROUND SHALL BE IN CASED IN 50MM MINIMUM CONCRETE ALL ROUND. REFER CD200 FOR MINIMUM COVER FOR REINFORCEMENT STEEL.

S7 THE ENDS OF ALL TUBULAR MEMBERS ARE TO BE SEALED WITH THE MEMBER'S NOMINAL THICKNESS PLATES AND CONTINUOUS FILLET WELDED UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

S8 ALL HOT DIPPED GALVANISED MEMBERS SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH VENT AND DRAINAGE HOLES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GALVANISER'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND TO THE ACCEPTANCE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT.

S9 WHERE MEMBER OR STEEL WORKS SHOWN ON THE STRUCTURAL OR ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS ARE REQUIRED TO BE CURVED, BENT OR ROLLED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE METHODS REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE THE REQUIRED SHAPE WITHOUT LOCALISED DISTORTION OF

S10 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE AND LEAVE IN PLACE, UNTIL PERMANENT BRAC ARE CONSTRUCTED, SUCH TEMPORARY BRACING AS IS NECESSARY TO STABILISE DURING ERECTION.

S11 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL CLEATS AND DRILL ALL HOLES NECESSARY FOR FIXING STEEL, TIMBER AND OTHER ELEMENTS TO STEEL WHETHER OR NOT DETAILED ON THE STRUCTURAL

THE FABRICATION AND ERECTION OF THE STRUCTURAL STEELWORK SHALL BE SUPERVISED BY QUALIFIED PERSONNEL EXPERIENCED IN SUCH SUPERVISION TO ENSURE THAT ALL REQUIREM OF OH& S AND THE DESIGN ARE MET. DETAILS OF ERECTION SEQUENCE SHALL BE SUBMITTED THE SUPERINTENDENT FOR REVIEW PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ERECTION. THE APPRR ERECTION SEQUENCE SHALL NOT BE VARIED DURING THE ERECTION PROCESS WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE SUPERINTENDENT.

S13 STRUCTURAL STEELWORK SHALL HAVE THE FOLLOWING SURFACE TREATMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATION AND SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS. ANY DISCREPANCIES IN THE DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATION" SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE SUPERINTENDENT.

S14 ALL STEEL WORK (EXPECT PAINTED STEEL WORK AS SPECIFIED BY THE ARCHITECTS) TO BE HOT PED GALVANISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATION, INCLUDING ALL BOLTS AND FIXINGS.

WELDING NOTES W1 ALL SHOP WELDS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS 1554 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. ALL WELDING

W2 BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF FABRICATION, CONTRACTOR TO SUBMIT DETAILS OF PROPOSED WELDING PROCEDURES TO THE SUPERINTENDENT. FABRICATOR TO PROCEED AT OWN RISK PRIOF TO ACCEPTANCE OF WELDING PROCEDURE.

W3 OTHER THAN SITE WELDS IF ANY SHOWN ON THE SHOP DRAWINGS DO NOT WELD ON SITE WITHOUT AL FROM THE SUPERINTENDENT WHEREVER POSSIBLE, LOCATE SITE WELDS I

WELDING SHALL BE CARRIED OUT UNDER THE IMMEDIATE AND CONTINUOUS SUPERVISION OF A SUPERVISIOR EMPLOYED BY THE FABRICATOR. THIS PERSON SHALL HAVE QUALIFICATION AS DESCRIBED IN AS1584 AND THESE QUALIFICATION SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE SUPERINTENDENT ON REQUEST.

REVISION

NUMBER

A

W5 TESTING OF WELDS 100% OF WELD TO BE VISUALLY INSPECTED.

CITY OF PARRAMATTA

126 Church Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

SUE SAVAGE TOONGABBIE SKATEPARK Sue Savage Reserve, Chanel St, Toongabbie NSW 2146

General Notes

SCALE: N/A FORMAT / SIZE: DESIGN REVIEW: CM APPROVAL:

DATE OF ISSUE: 19.04.2021 A1 REFERENCE NO: 1910 JM

REVISION:

С

DRAWING TITLE

CLIENT NAME:

DRAWING NUMBER: 1910 CD 001

OTES / LEGEND:

ENLOCUS RETAINS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RICHT IN RELATION T CONTRACT MATERIAL THE DESIGN AS REPRESENTED BY THE DRAWING AND ALL DETAILS. INGENUITY AND INNOVATION REMAIN THE PROPERTY RULOCUS. REFER TO CONTRACT FOR IRREVOCABLE LICENSE GRAVIED THE CLIENT AND COPYRIGHT ARRANGEMENT. © ENLOCUS 2021 DRAWING STATUS:

FOR CONSTRUCTION

BETWEEN DRAWINGS AND SITE CONDITIONS CONTRACTOR SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS

- COPYRIGHT AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS -

For Construction Issue 19.04.21 100% Issue 01.12.20 Preliminary Issue 11 11 2 LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT CONSULTANT: eniocus Level 1, 151 St Georges R Fitzroy North, Victoria 3066 T : 03 9482 2202 CONSULTANTS

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER MATRIX ENGINEERING

SITE SPECIFIC NOTES:

TECHNICAL REPORT SUPPLIED BY [REPORT NO:









DRAWING STATUS: 19.04.21 01.12.20 11.11.20 CT CONSULTANT: ENLOCUS Level 1, 151 St Georges Rd, Fitzroy North, Victoria 3066 T : 03 9482 2202 W : www.enlocus.com



- WARNING - CONTRACTOR 1 OVERHEAD AND CURRENT AND DRAWINGS AND INCLUDING CLE PRIOR TO COMI SERVICES INDIC LOCATIONS HAV	TO VERIFY LOCATION; D D UNDERGROUND SERV RELEVANT 'DIAL BEFOR D ADHERE TO ALL REQU ARANCES, EASEMENTS WENCEMENT OF WORK'S CATED IN THIS SET OF D VE BEEN SURVEYED BY	EPTH/CLEARAN ICES. CONTRAC E YOU DIG/ONE IREMENTS FOR AND INFORMIN 3. THE LOCATIO IRAWINGS ARE A LICENSED SU	ICE AND ALIGN CTOR TO ACQU CALL' SERVIC WORKS NEAF IG SERVICE AL INS OF UNDER INDICATIVE OI JRVEYOR.	IMENT OF JIRE ES SERVICES JTHORITIES GROUND NLY. PIT
- SITE DIMENSIO CONTRACTOR 1 LEVEL AND DIM PRIOR TO ORDE BETWEEN DRAV SUPERINTENDE	DNS - FO SATISFY THEMSELVE ENSIONS PRIOR TO FAB ERING/PURCHASING MA WINGS AND SITE CONDI INT PRIOR TO COMMEN	S OF SITE CON RICATION OF S TERIALS. WHEF TIONS CONTRA CEMENT OF WO	IDITIONS, CHA ITE SPECIFIC RE DISCREPAN CTOR TO NOTI DRKS.	NGES IN ITEMS OR CIES EXIST IFY
- COPYRIGHT A ENLOCUS RETA CONTRACT MAT AND ALL DETAIL ENLOCUS. REFI THE CLIENT AN	ND INTELLECTUAL PRO INS THE INTELLECTUAL FERIAL. THE DESIGN AS LS, INGENUITY AND INN ER TO CONTRACT FOR I D COPYRIGHT ARRANGE	PERTY RIGHTS PROPERTY RIG REPRESENTED DVATION REMA RREVOCABLE L EMENT. © EI	BHT IN RELATION BY THE DRAW IN THE PROPE ICENSE GRAN NLOCUS 2021	ON TO THE VING SET RTY OF TED TO
		DR	AWING 51	N N
	L STRII(стіо	N ⁽	$\hat{+}$
		RE	VISION ST	
				A100.
C For (Construction loour			0.04.04
B 1009	6 Issue	;	1	19.04.21 11 12 20
A Preli	minary Issue		1	1.11.20
	LANDSCAPE A	RCHITEC	T CONSUL	TANT:
	1	_	ENLOCUS	
en	IOCL	\mathbf{S}	_evel 1, 151 St 0 Fitzroy North, Vi	Georges Rd, ctoria 3066
			T : 03 9482 220 W : www.enlocu	02 s.com
			CONSULT	ANTS:
STRUCTURAL E				
GROUP P/L				
Keilor Park, Vict	oria 3042			
www.matrixgrou	p.net.au			
		N	OTES / LE	GEND:
		IOTES)
01. CONTRACTO AND UNDERGRI DIGIONE CALL'S RECORDS FROI EXIST ON SITE (IR TO VERIFY LOCATION; DEPTH DUND SERVICES INDICATED ON SERVICES DRAWINGS.CONTRAC & COUNCIL. WHERE SERVICES & CONTRACTOR TO ASSI IME GEN	ICLEARANCE AND A CURRENT AND REL TOR TO REQUEST A CCESS PITS, LIGHT FRAL ALIGNMENT OF	LIGNMENT OF ALL EVANT 'DIAL BEFOR IDDITIONAL SERVIC POLES, METRES/VI F UNDERGROUND F	OVERHEAD RE YOU ES ALVES ETC NPES
CONDUITS, CAB OF WORKS.	LES ETC AND VERIFY ALIGNME	NT AND MARK ON SI	TE PRIOR TO COM	IENCEMENT
02. LICENSED S ON DRAWINGS	URVEYOR TO BE USED TO LOC/ PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF	WORKS. CONTRAC	& SET OUT POINT A TOR TO INFORM	S SHOWN
03. 150MM (MINI	MUM) SITE SCRAPE TO AREA O	F SKATEPARK. EXCA	VATED TOP SOIL T	0 BE
CLEARED OF VE EMBANKMENTS	EGETATIVE MATTER AND STOCK PRIOR TO LANDSCAPE TREATN	PILED ON SITE FOR IENT.	PLACEMENT ON	
04. RETAIN & PF	OTECT EXISTING TREES WHER	E NOMINATED AS PE	ER SPECIFICATIONS	ŝ.
				_
DEM	OLITION L	EGEN	D:)
	HATCH INDICATES OUTLINE O	F PROPOSED SKATE	PARK. EXCAVATE 1	ю
		DED HOIMD. DEE A	200 11012 02.	
	EXISTING BASKETBALL COURT SURFACE AND FIXTURES ARE	. ENSURE EXISTING PROTECTED FOR TI	BASKETBALL COU	RT IORKS ON
	SITE. NO STOCKPILING OR ST	DRAGE OF MATERIA	LS ON EXISTING SL	IRFACE.
	EXISTING CONCRETE PATH/PA	VEMENT TO BE DEM	IOLISHED AND REN	IOVED
	EXISTING CONCRETE PATH/PA	VEMENT TO BE RET	AINED AND PROTE	CTED.
$ \cap$	PROTECT AND RETAIN ALL NO	MINATED VEGETATI	ON (TREES AND SH	RUBS)
$ \bigcirc$	METHOD STATEMENT FOR TH MARKED ON SITE PLAN AS 'SI	E PROTECTION OF T SNIFICANT'.	REES AND ROOT Z	DNES
62.150	EXISTING SPOT LEVEL	⊖ — 74.000	PROPOSED SPOT	LEVEL
411.00	EXISTING CONTOUR - MAJOR	74.00	PROPOSED CONT	OUR - MAJOR
	EXISTING CONTOUR - MINOR	74.20	PROPOSED CONT	OUR - MINOR
	EXTENT OF WORKS.		OUTLINE OF PRO	POSED
	- UNDERGROUND TELECOM	v	SKATEPARK WATER MAIN	
_	- POWER LINE		OVERHEAD DOWN	RINE
	2700404750 - 11-5	-	PEWER W.	and the
s	- STORMWATER LINE	Sever	TELECOM DIT	
G C	- GAS LINE	TPIL	TELECOM PILLAR	
	FENCE LINE	EPI	POWER POLE	
05000	- LOT BOUNDARY	SW	STORMWATER M	NHOLE
6 <u>35000</u>	SET OUT OF GRID POINT 182 I PERMANENT INFRASTRUCTUR	FROM KNOWN B.M C RE.	R CORNER OF NOM	IINATED
L				

CITY OF PARRAMATTA 126 Church Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

PROJECT NAME: SUE SAVAGE TOONGABBIE SKATEPARK Sue Savage Reserve, Chanel St, Toongabbie NSW 2146

CLIENT NAME:

DRAWING TITLE Demolition Plan SCALE: 1:200 DATE OF ISSUE: 19.04.2021 FORMAT / SIZE: A1 REFERENCE NO: 1910 DESIGN REVIEW: CM APPROVAL: JM DRAWING NUMBER: REVISION: 1910 CD 003 C



CURRENT AND DRAWINGS ANI INCLUDING CLE PRIOR TO COM SERVICES INDI LOCATIONS HA	RELEVANT DIAL BEFOR D ADHERE TO ALL REQUI EARANCES, EASEMENTS IMENCEMENT OF WORKS ICATED IN THIS SET OF D IVE BEEN SURVEYED BY	E YOU DIG/ONE REMENTS FOR AND INFORMIN I. THE LOCATIO RAWINGS ARE A LICENSED SU	CALL' SERVICES WORKS NEAR SERVICES G SERVICE AUTHORITIES NS OF UNDERGROUND INDICATIVE ONLY. PIT IRVEYOR.
- SITE DIMENSI CONTRACTOR LEVEL AND DIM PRIOR TO ORD BETWEEN DRA SUPERINTEND	ONS - TO SATISFY THEMSELVE MENSIONS PRIOR TO FAB ERING/PURCHASING MAT WINGS AND SITE CONDIT ENT PRIOR TO COMMENC	S OF SITE CON RICATION OF S FERIALS. WHEF TIONS CONTRA CEMENT OF WC	DITIONS, CHANGES IN ITE SPECIFIC ITEMS OR E DISCREPANCIES EXIST CTOR TO NOTIFY IRKS.
- COPYRIGHT A ENLOCUS RET/ CONTRACT MA AND ALL DETAI ENLOCUS. REF THE CLIENT AN	AND INTELLECTUAL PROD AINS THE INTELLECTUAL TERIAL. THE DESIGN AS ILS, INGENUITY AND INNO FER TO CONTRACT FOR IF ID COPYRIGHT ARRANGE	PERTY RIGHTS PROPERTY RIC REPRESENTED OVATION REMA RREVOCABLE L MENT. © EI	SHT IN RELATION TO THE BY THE DRAWING SET IN THE PROPERTY OF ICENSE GRANTED TO NLOCUS 2021
		DR/	AWING STATUS:
FOF	λ		Ä
core		חודי	
	191KUU	5110	
		RE	VISION STATUS:
B 100	Construction Issue	•	19.04.21
A Prel	iminary Issue		11.11.20
	LANDSCAPE A	RCHITECT	CONSULTANT:
en	locu	S	ENLOCUS .evel 1, 151 St Georges Rd, Titzroy North, Victoria 3066 F : 03 9482 2202 N : www.enlocus.com
			CONSULTANTS:
STRUCTURAL	ENGINEER NEERING		
GROUP P/L 24/37 Keilor Pa	rk Drive		
Tel : +613 9331	7522		
	priocad		
		N	OTES / LEGEND:
SITE	NOTES		
AND UNDERGR DIGIONE CALL' FROM COUNCIL SITE CONTRAC CABLES ETC A	OR TO VERIEY LOCATION, DEPTIN KOUND SERVICES INDICATED ON SERVICES DRAWINGS.CONTRAC L, WHERE SERVICES ACCESS PIT TOR TO ASSUME GENERAL ALIG TOR TO ASSUME GENERAL ALIG NU VERIEY ALIGNMENT AND MAR	CURRENT AND RELI TOR TO REQUEST A S, LIGHT POLES, ME NMENT OF UNDERG K ON SITE PRIOR TO	LIGNMENT OF ALL OVERHEAD EVANT 'DIAL BEFORE YOU DDITIONAL SERVICES RECORDS TRESVALVES ETC EXIST ON ROUND PIPES, CONDUITS, O COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS.
02. LICENSED S	SURVEYOR TO BE USED TO LOCA	TE HEIGHT DATUM	SET OUT POINT AS SHOWN ON TO INFORM SUPERINTENDENT
OF ANY DISCR 03. 150MM (MIN	EPANCIES PRIOR TO COMMENCI	NG WORKS. SKATEPARK, EXCA	VATED TOP SOIL TO BE CLEARED
OF VEGETATIV LANDSCAPE TF	E MATTER AND STOCKPILED ON REATMENT.	SITE FOR PLACEMEI	NT ON EMBANKMENTS PRIOR TO
	_		
SITE	LEGEND:)
	PROPOSED AREA OF SKATEPA	RK.	
	1:4 BATTERS INDICATED BY HA FINISH WITH 50MM MIN. APPRO LANDSCAPE TREATMENT. STO APPROVED BY SUPERINTENDE	TCH TO BE CONSIS DVED TOP SOIL IN PI CK PILED SITE TOPS INT. REFER TO CD4	TENT AND FREE OF DEBRIS. REPARATION FOR SOIL TO BE USED WHERE 00.
	REPRESENTS CONCRETE BLEI ALL BLEND AREAS TO BE APPP TO INCLUDE AND NOT LIMITED REINFORCEMENT AND PROPO BLENDS NEED TO BE CONSIST AD IONING TRANSITIONS	ND BETWEEN VARYI ROVED BY ENLOCUS TO SHAPE OF REQI SED SHAPE AND ME ENT AND TO HAVE S	NG TRANSITIONS. PRIOR TO CONCRETE POUR. JIRED EARTHWORKS, THOD OF CONSTRUCTION. SEAMLESS CONNECTION TO OUTPEIPE OF THEFE
	TOLERANCES WILL BE DEMOLI EXPENSE.	ISHED AND REPOUR	ED AT CONTRACTORS
	PROPOSED SKATEPARK - FLAT	BANK.	
	PROPOSED SKATEPARK - TRA	VSITION (QUARTER	PIPE).
	PROPOSED SKATEPARK - ROLI PROTECT AND RETAIN ALL NO	L OVER. MINATED VEGETATI	ON (TREES AND SHRUBS)
	FOR THE DURATION OF WORK METHOD STATEMENT FOR THE MARKED ON SITE PLAN AS 'SIG	S ON SITE. CONTRA PROTECTION OF T INIFICANT'.	CTOR TO SUBMIT A WORKS REES AND ROOT ZONES
+ 62.150	EXISTING SPOT LEVEL	G 74.000	PROPOSED SPOT LEVEL
411.00	EXISTING CONTOUR - MAJOR	74.00	PROPOSED CONTOUR - MAJOR
	EXISTING CONTOUR - MINOR	74.20	PROPOSED CONTOUR - MINOR
	EXTENT OF WORKS.		OUTLINE OF PROPOSED SKATEPARK
T	UNDERGROUND TELECOM	v	WATER MAIN
-·-·-	- POWER LINE		OVERHEAD POWER LINE
s	STORMWATER LINE	Sewer	SEWER MAIN
۵ —	GAS LINE	TPIT TPIL	TELECOM PIT TELECOM PILLAR
	FENCE LINE	EPIT EP	ELECTRICAL PIT POWER POLE
0.75	LOT BOUNDARY	EL SW	LIGHT POLE STORMWATER MANHOLE
6 <u>35000</u>	SET OUT OF GRID POINT 182 F PERMANENT INFRASTRUCTUR	ROM KNOWN B.M O	R CORNER OF NOMINATED
L			
			CLIENT NAME:







	NOTES / LE
LEVI	EL NOTES:
01. ALL LEVEL DESIGNER (EI CLARITY FOR	LS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH SITE & GRADING PLANS AND SEC NLOCUS PTY LTD TO BE CONTACTED WITH ANY DISCREPANCIES OR REG APPROVAL IN WRITING PRIOR TO WORKS COMMENCING/CONTINUING.
LEVI	ELS LEGEND:
	75X125 X 4MM M/S RHS 'DURAGAL' COPING.
	50MM N.B. GALVANISED CHS COPING. ALL DIMENSIONS TO FRONT FACE OF COPING.
	50MM ROLL-OVER RADIUS TO TOP OF TRANSITION OR BANK.
	200MM ROLL-OVER RADIUS TO TOP OF BANK.
	RC TRANSITION (QUARTER PIPE). REFER TO SECTIONS CD100.
	RC FLAT BANK. REFER TO SECTIONS CD100.
- 74.000	BOTTOM / TOP OF WALL (8) BOTTOM / TOP OF LEDGE (1) BOTTOM / TOP OF LEDGE (1) BOTTOM / TOP OF STEP (8) ENTRE POINT OF LEVEL OF UPRIGHT (CP).
	SKATE DRAINAGE PIT. REFER TO CD008
	DRAINAGE PIT. REFER TO CD008



- WARNING -CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION: DEPTHICLEARANCE AND ALIGNMENT OF OVERHEAD AND UNDERGROUND SERVICES, CONTRACTOR TO ACQUIRE CURRENT AND RELEVANT TOLL BEFORE YOU DIGONE CALL'SERVICES BRAVINGS AND ADHERE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS FOR WORKS NEAR SERVICES INCLUDING CLEARANCES, EASEMENTS AND INFORMING SERVICE AUTHORITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS. THE LOCATIONS OF UNDERGROUND SERVICES INDICATION THIS SET OF DRAWINGS ARE INDICATIVE UNDERGROUND SERVICES MOLICATION THIS SET OF DRAWINGS ARE INDICATIVE ONLY. PIT LOCATIONS HAVE BEEN SURVEYED BY A LICENEED SURVEYOR.

EXPEDIMENSIONS -CONTRACTOR TO SATISFY THEMSELVES OF SITE CONDITIONS, CHANGES IN LEVEL AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION OF SITE SPECIFIC ITEMS OR PRIOR TO ORDERING/PURCHASING MATERIALS, WHERE DISCREPANCIES EXIS' BETWEEN DRAWINGS AND SITE CONDITIONS CONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS.

SOPENNIERLENT I NORTH SUBJECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS. ENLOCUS RETAINS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT IN RELATION TO TI CONTRACT MATERIAL. THE DESIGN AS REPRESENTED BY THE DRAWING SET AND ALL DETAILS. INGENUITY AND INNOVATION REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF ENLOCUS. REFER TO CONTRACT FOR IRREVOCALE LICENSE GRAVITED TO THE CLIENT AND COPYRIGHT ARRANGEMENT. © ENLOCUS 2021



CITY OF PARRAMATTA 126 Church Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

SUE SAVAGE TOONGABBIE SKATEPARK

Sue Savage Reserve, Chanel St, Toongabbie NSW 2146

Drainage Plan SCALE: 1:100 DATE OF ISSUE: 19.04.2021 FORMAT / SIZE: A1 REFERENCE NO:

1910 CD 008

DESIGN REVIEW: CM APPROVAL: DRAWING NUMBER:

1910 JM

REVISION: С

CLIENT NAM



DATE OF ISSUE: 19.04.2021 1910 JM

CLIENT NAMI

REVISION:

T IN RELATION TO TH

19.04.21

01.12.20 11.11.20

NSULTANT:

STILTANTS

С



DATE OF ISSUE: 19.04.2021 1910 JM

REVISION: С

IT IN RELATION TO THE

211TAT2 L

19.04.21

01.12.20 11.11.20

ONSULTANT:

STILTANTS



DATE OF ISSUE: 19.04.2021 1910 JM

REVISION:

С







2381

L_____

2579

-WARNING -CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION; DEPTH/CLEARANCE AND ALIGNMENT OF OVERHEAD AND UNDERGROUND SERVICES, CONTRACTOR TO ACQUIRE CURRENT AND RELEVANT TOLL BEFORE YOU DIGONE CALL'SERVICES DRAWINGS AND ADHERE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS FOR WORKS NEAR SERVICES INCLUDING CLEARANCES, EASEMENTS AND INFORMING SERVICE AUTHORITIES BERVICES INDICATED IN THIS SET OF DRAWINGS ARE NOTATIVE ONLY. DI SERVICES AUTORATED IN THIS SET OF DRAWINGS ARE NOTATIVE ONLY. PIT LOCATIONS HAVE BEEN SURVEYED BY A LICENSED SURVEYOR.

- SITE DIMENSIONS -CONTRACTOR TO SATISFY THEMSELVES OF SITE CONDITIONS, CHANGES IN LEVEL AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION OF SITE SPECIFIC ITEMS OR PRIOR TO ORDERING/PORCHASING MATERIALS. WHERE DISOREPANCIES EXIS PRIOR TO ORDERING/PORCHASING MATERIALS. MENCEMENT OF WO

OPYRIGHT AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS -ITRACT MATERIAL. THE DESIGN AS REPRESENTED BY THE DR ALL DETALS, INGENUITY AND INNOVATION REMAIN THE PRO CONTRACT FOR IRE REVOCABLE LICENSE GRANTED TO IENT. © ENLOCUS 2021

DRAWING STATUS: FOR CONSTRUCTION



STRUCTURAL ENGINEER MATRIX ENGINEERING GROUP P/L 24/37 Keilor Park Drive Keilor Park, Victoria 3042 Tel : +613 9331 7522 www.matrixgroup.net.au

NOTES / LEGEND

REVISION STATUS:

SECTION NOTES:

TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH DIMENSION PLAN, DETAILS, IETAILS AND LEVELS PLANS AS APPROPRIATE. DESIGNER (ENLOCUS CONTACTED WITH ANY DISCREPANCIES OR REQUIRED CLARITY FOR RITING PRIOR TO WORKS COMMENCING/CONTINUING. ENGINEER PTY LTD) 1 2. CLASS 1 FINISH TO ALL VERTICAL SURFACES. STEEL TROWEL FINISH TO PLATFORMS, FLAT BANKS, TRANSITIONS ETC. REFER TO SPECIFICATIONS FOR FIRTHER INFORMATION

CONCRETE 32MPa THROUGHOUT, LIMIT MOISTURE CONTENT. SAW CUT <u>ALL</u> SLABS MIN 24 - MAX 48 HRS AFTER POUR. SAW CUTS TO BE ACCURATE, STRAIGHT AND TRUE.

... ENSURE ADEQUATE VIBRATION OF CONCRETE IS ACHIEVED. REFER TO SPECIFICATIONS FOR FURTHER INFORMATION.

SLUMP OF CONCRETE 90MM -100MM. REFER TO SPECIFICATIONS FOR FURTHER INFORMATION.

6. ALL HANDRALS, RAILS & STEEL LEDGES IN SKATEPARK TO BE CHEC SITE FRIOR TO FABRICATION (AFTER CAST IN PLATE INSTALLATION) DISCREPANCIES OR LACK OF CLARITY SHALL BE INDICATED BY CON DESIGNER (ENLOCUS PTY LTD) FOR CLARIFICATION IN WRITING PRI-DISCREPANCIES O DESIGNER (ENLO COMMENCING/CO

EFER TO ENGINEERING DETAILS FOR FURTHER INFOR



SCALE 1:50



SCALE 1:50

2309

986 1795 15



DETAIL M_200 TRANSITION FOOTING

SCALE 1:50

CITY OF PARRAMATTA

126 Church Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

PROJECT NAME: SUE SAVAGE TOONGABBIE SKATEPARK Sue Savage Reserve, Chanel St, Toongabbie NSW 2146

Sections 01

SCALE: 1:50

DATE OF ISSUE: 19.04.2021 FORMAT / SIZE:A1REFERENCE NO:DESIGN REVIEW:CMAPPROVAL: 1910

REVISION:

С

JM

DRAWING TITLE:

CLIENT NAME:

DRAWING NUMBER: 1910_CD101









F CONCRETE SLAB MARRYING INTO EXISTING SLAB

-WARNING -CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION: DEPTH/CLEARANCE AND ALIGNMENT OF OVERHEAD AND UNDERGROUND SERVICES. CONTRACTOR TO ACQUIRE CURRENT AND RELEVANT TOLA DEFORE YOU DIGNO CALL'SERVICES BRAVINGS AND ADHERE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS FOR WORKS NEAR SERVICES INCLUDING CLEARANCES, EASEMENTS AND INFORMING SERVICE AUTHORITIES REVICES MICHAED AND THE SET OF DRAVINGS ARE NOTATERGROUND SERVICES MICHAED AND SET OF DRAVINGS ARE NOTATERGROUND SERVICES MICHAED AND SET OF DRAVINGS ARE NOTATERGROUND. SERVICES MICHAED AND THE SET OF DRAVINGS ARE NOTATERGROUND. MICHAED AND THE SET OF DRAVINGS ARE NOTATERGROUND.

-SITE DIMENSIONS -CONTRACTOR TO SATISFY THEMSELVES OF SITE CONDITIONS, CHANGES IN LEVEL AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION OF SITE SPECIFIC ITEMS OR PRIOR TO ORDERINGIPURCHASING MATERIALS, WHERE DISCREPANCIES EXIST BETWEEN DRAWINGS AND SITE CONDITIONS CONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS.

IT IN RELATION TO THE

- COPYRIGHT AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS -ENLOCUS RETAINS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT IN RELATION TO TH CONTRACT MATERIAL. THE DESIGN AS REPRESENTED BY THE DRAWING SET AND ALL DETAILS, INGENUITY AND INNOVATION REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF ENLOCUS. REPERT DO CONTRACT FOR IRREVOCABLE LICENSE GRAVITED TO THE CLIENT AND COPYRIGHT ARRANGEMENT. © ENLOCUS 2021



300 300

FOR CONSTRUCTION REVISION STATUS:



NOTES / LEGEND:

SECTION NOTES:

1. ALL SECTIONS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH DIMENSION PLAN, DETAILS, ENGINEERING DETAILS AND LEVELS PLANS AS APPROPRIATE. DESIGNER (ENLOCUS PTY LTD) TO BE CONTACTED WITH AVY DISCREPANCIES OR REQUIRED CLARITY FOR APPROVAL IN WRITING PRIOR TO WORKS COMMENCING/CONTINUING. CLASS 1 FINISH TO ALL VERTICAL SURFACES. STEEL TROWEL FINISH TO PLATFORMS, FLAT BANKS, TRANSITIONS ETC. REFER TO SPECIFICATIONS FOR FURTHER INFORMATION.

CONCRETE 32MPa THROUGHOUT, LIMIT MOISTURE CONTENT. SAW CUT ALL SLABS MIN 24 - MAX 48 HRS AFTER POUR. SAW CUTS TO BE ACCURATE, STRAIGHT AND TRUE.

*. ENSURE ADEQUATE VIBRATION OF CONCRETE IS ACHIEVED. REFER TO SPECIFICATIONS FOR FURTHER INFORMATION.

SLUMP OF CONCRETE 90MM -100MM. REFER TO SPECIFICATIONS FOR FURTHER INFORMATION.

6. ALL HANDRAILS, RAILS & STEEL LEDGES IN SKATEPARK TO BE CHECK MEASURED ON SITE PRIOR TO FABRICATION (AFTER CAST IN PLATE INSTALLATION), ANY DISCREPANCIES OR LACK OF CLAIRTY SHALL BEINGTATED BY CONTRACTOR TO DESIGNER (RINCOLS PTY) ITD) FOR CLARIFICATION IN WRITING PRIOR TO WORKS COMMENCION/CONTINUING.

7. REFER TO ENGINEERING DETAILS FOR FURTHER INFORMATION



126 Church Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

PROJECT NAME: SUE SAVAGE TOONGABBIE SKATEPARK Sue Savage Reserve, Chanel St, Toongabbie NSW 2146

Sections 02

1910 CD 102

SCALE: 1:50 FORMAT / SIZE: DESIGN REVIEW: CM APPROVAL: DRAWING NUMBER:

DATE OF ISSUE: 19.04.2021 A1 REFERENCE NO: 1910

JM **REVISION:**

С

DRAWING TITLE:

CLIENT NAME:





SCALE 1:10

SL82 MESH TOP PLACED 30 MIN

- PRIOR TO CONCRETE POUR CUT EVERY SECOND 8MM WIRE IN LOCATION SHOWN FOR SAW CUT. SUPERINTENDENT TO INSPECT CU WIRE PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH WORKS

- 50MM BEDDING SAND OR FCR 809 FINES 20% 4MM

COMPACTED FILL - REFER TO N AND GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

4MM WIDE SAWCUT. 30MM DEPTH. MACHINE CUT ONLY FOLLOWING CHAI LINE. CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE STRA CUTS. ENSURE JOINTS ARE CHALKED REFER CONCRETE JOINT PLAN CD012

BLACK' SILAFLEX

OCALISED T

SCALE 1:50

DRAWING STATUS: FOR CONSTRUCTION

COPYRIGHT AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS. ENDOCUS REVAINS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS. CONTRACT MATERIAL. THE DESIGN AS REPRESENTED BY THE DRAWING SET AND ALL DETALS. INGENUTY AND INNOVATION REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF ENLOCUS. REFER TO CONTRACT FOR IRREVOCABLE LICENSE GRANTED TO THE CLIENT AND COPYRIGHT ARRANGEMENT. ● ENLOCUS 2021

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT CONSULTANT:

REVISION STATUS:

ENLOCUS Level 1, 151 St Georges Rd Fitzroy North, Victoria 3066 T : 03 9482 2202 W : www.enlocus.com

CONSULTANTS:

19.04.21

01.12.20

11.11.20

STE DIMENSIONS -CONTRACTOR TO SATISFY THEMSELVES OF SITE CONDITIONS, CHANGES IN LEVEL AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION OF SITE SPECIFIC ITEMS OR PRIOR TO ORDERING/PURCHASING MATERIALS, WHERE DISCREPANCIES EXIST BETWEEN DRAWINGS AND SITE CONDITIONS CONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS.

-WARNING -CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION; DEPTH/CLEARANCE AND ALIGNMENT OF OVERHEAD AND UNDERGROUND SERVICES. CONTRACTOR TO ACQUIRE CURRENT AND RELEVANT TOLL BECORE YOU DIGYONE CALL'SERVICES DRAWINGS AND ADHERE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS FOR WORKS NEAR SERVICES INCLUDING CLEARANCES, EASEMENTS AND INFORMING SERVICE AUTHORITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS. THE LOCATIONS OF UNDERGROUND SERVICES INDICATION IN THIS SET OF DRAWINGS ARE INDICATIVE ONLY. PIT LOCATIONS HAVE BEEN SURVEYED BY A LICENSED SURVEYOR.

NOTES / LEGEND: **ENGINEERING NOTES:**

CONCRETE:

1. CONCRETE STRENGTH N32 MPA.

C For Construction Issue

Preliminary Issue

enlocus

B 100% Issue

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER MATRIX ENGINEERING GROUP P/L 24/37 Keilor Park Drive Keilor Park, Victoria 3042 Tel: +613 9331 7522

- 2. CONCRETE THICKNESS 150MM SLOPES, 100MM PLATFORMS AND FLAT
- 3. CONSTRUCTION JOINTS SHALL BE AT CONSTRUCTED MAXIMUM 6.0M OR AS PER DETAIL.
- . SAW CUTS TO BE SAWN AS SHOWN ON PLANS. SAW CUT DEPTH TO BE 30MM DEEP. CHECK REINFORCEMENT DETAIL CD200_P FOR SAW CUT JOINT DETAILS.
- 5. FOR CURING, COVER FOR SEVEN DAYS WITH PLASTIC, OR BY LIQUID MEMBRANE, TO THE APPROPRIATE AUSTRALIAN STANDARD. APPLY WITHIN 1 HOUR OF CONCRETE FINISHING.
- FINISH TO BE CLASS 1 METAL TROWEL TO ALL VERTICAL SURFACES. STEEL TROWEL FINISH TO PLATFORMS, FLAT BANKS, TRANSITIONS, ETC.
- REFER TO A.S.3800 CONCRETE STRUCTURES AND A.S.2870-2011 RESIDENTIAL SLABS AND FOOTINGS.

REINFORCEMEN

- 1. AS SHOWN.
- TRIMMERS 2 OF N12x2000 LONG TO ALL INTERNAL CORNERS.

- 3. BASE SLAB SL82 MESH OR S12 (250 GRADE) @ 250 CRS EW.
- VERTICAL WALLS REFER TO RC WALL REINFORCEMENT DETAILS ON CD201.
- BLOCKS AS SHOWN.
- GRIND RAILS SHALL BE 75X75X5MM 'DURAGAL' RHS (UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED ON PLANS), ENDS MITRED AND ALL WELDS GROUND SMOOTH AND COLD GALVANISED. ALL COLD GALVANISED AREAS TO HAVE 'GALMET' DURAGAL SILVER PAINT APPLIED. APPLICATION TO BE NEAT AND CONSISTENT.
- EARTHWORKS
- STABLE CLEAN FILL COMPACTED TO 98 % (MMDD) PLACED AND COMPACTED IN 200MM LAYERS.
- REFER TO SOIL REPORT FOR FURTHER DETAILS
- ALL SUBGROUND PREPARATION WORKS UNDER ALL CONCRETE PAVEMENTS AREAS AND LOW PROFILE RETAINING WALLS ARE TO BE PROTECTED, ROLLED AND COMPACTED AS PER RECOMMENDATIONS SET CUT IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT SUPPLIED BY (REPORT NO:)
- ALL SOFT SPOTS TO BE EXCAVATED DOWN TO APPROPRIATE DEPTH AND REPLACED WITH COMPACTED FILL TO 98% MMDD IN 200MM LAYERS.
- 4. FURTHER GEOTECHNICAL ADVICE MAY BE REQUIRED.

CLIENT NAME:

CITY OF PARRAMATTA 126 Church Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

1910_CD 200

PROJECT NAME: SUE SAVAGE TOONGABBIE SKATEPARK Sue Savage Reserve, Chanel St, Toongabbie NSW 2146

DRAWING TITLE: Engineering Details 01

SCALE: N/A FORMAT / SIZE: A1 REFERENCE NO: DESIGN REVIEW: CM APPROVAL:

DRAWING NUMBER:

DATE OF ISSUE: 19.04.2021

1910 JM

REVISION: С





SCALE 1:20

N12 BAR 800 LONG @200 CTR. MIN. 350 OVERLAP.


- WARNING -CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION: DEPTH/CLEARANCE AND ALIGNMENT OF CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION: DEPTH/CLEARANCE AND ALIGNMENT OF CURRENT AND RELEVANT DUAL BEFORE YOU DIONE CALL SERVICES INCLUDING CLEARANCES LEASEMENTS AND INFORMING SERVICE AUTHORITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS. THE LOCATIONS OF UNDERGROUND SERVICES INDICATED IN THIS SET OF DRAWINGS ARE INDICATIVE ONLY. PIT LOCATIONS HAVE BEEN SURVEYED BY A LICENSED SURVEYOR.

-SITE DIMENSIONS -CONTRACTOR TO SATISFY THEMSELVES OF SITE CONDITIONS, CHANGES IN LEVEL AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION OF SITE SPECIFIC ITEMS OR PRIOR TO ORDERING/PURCHASING MATERIALS. WHERE DISCREPANCIES EXIST BETWEEN DRAWINGS AND SITE CONDITIONS CONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS.

-COPYRIGHT AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS -ENLOCUS RETAINS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT IN RELATION TO THE CONTRACT MATERIAL. THE DESIGN AS REPRESENTED BY THE DRAWING SET AND ALL DETAILS, INGENUITY AND INNOVATION REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF ENLOCUS. REFER TO CONTRACT FOR IRREVOCABLE LICENSE GRANTED TO THE CLIENT AND COPYRIGHT ARRANGEMENT. © ENLOCUS 2021

DRAWING STATUS:

FOR CONSTRUCTION REVISION STATUS: 19.04.21 C For Construction Issue 100% Issue В 01.12.20 Preliminary Issue LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT CONSULTANT:



STRUCTURAL ENGINEER MATRIX ENGINEERING GROUP P/L 24/37 Kelior Park Drive Kelior Park, Victoria 3042 Tel : +6f3 9331 7522 www.matrixgroup.net.au

NOTES / LEGEND:

ENGINEERING NOTES:

1. CONCRETE STRENGTH N32 MPA

- 2. CONCRETE THICKNESS 150MM SLOPES, 100MM PLATFORMS AND FLAT BOTTOM, UNC
- 3. CONSTRUCTION JOINTS SHALL BE AT CONSTRUCTED MAXIMUM 6.0M OR AS PER DETAIL.
- . SAW CUTS TO BE SAWN AS SHOWN ON PLANS. SAW CUT DEPTH TO BE 30MM DEEP. CHECK REINFORCEMENT DETAIL CD200_P FOR SAW CUT JOINT DETAILS.
- FOR CURING, COVER FOR SEVEN DAYS WITH PLASTIC, OR BY LIQUID MEMBRANE, TO THE APPROPRIATE AUSTRALIAN STANDARD. APPLY WITHIN 1 HOUR OF CONCRETE FINISHING.
- FINISH TO BE CLASS 1 METAL TROWEL TO ALL VERTICAL SURFACES. STEEL TROWEL FINISH TO PLATFORMS, FLAT BANKS, TRANSITIONS, ETC.
- REFER TO A.S.3800 CONCRETE STRUCTURES AND A.S.2870-2011 AND FOOTINGS.

REINFORCEMENT

- AS SHOWN. 2. TRIMMERS - 2 OF N12x2000 LONG TO ALL INTERNAL CORNERS
- 3. BASE SLAB SL82 MESH OR S12 (250 GRADE) @ 250 CRS EW.
- 4. VERTICAL WALLS REFER TO RC WALL REINFORCEMENT DETA
- 5. BLOCKS AS SHOWN.
- . GRIND RAILS SHALL BE 75X75X5MM 'DURAGAL' RHS (UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED ON PLANS), ENDS MITTED AND ALL WELDS GROUND SMOOTH AND COLD GALVANISED. ALL COLD GALVANISED AREAS TO HAVE 'GALMET' DURAGAL SILVER PAINT APPLIED. APPLICATION TO BE NEAT AND CONSISTENT.

- STABLE CLEAN FILL COMPACTED TO 98 % (MMDD) PLACED AND COMP LAYERS. REFER TO SOIL REPORT FOR FURTHER DETAILS.
- ALL SUBGROUND PREPARATION WORKS UNDER ALL CONCRETE PAVEMENTS AREAS AND LOW PROFILE RETAINING WALLS ARE TO BE PROTECTED, ROLLED AND COMPACTED AS PEI RECOMMENDATIONS SET OUT IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT SUPPLIED BY [REPORT NO:
- ALL SOFT SPOTS TO BE EXCAVATED DOWN TO APPROPRIATE DEPTH AND REPLACED WI COMPACTED FILL TO 98% MMDD IN 200MM LAYERS.
- 4. FURTHER GEOTECHNICAL ADVICE MAY BE REQUIRED



CLIENT NAME:





B SKATE SURFACE STAINLESS STEEL PIT LID FIXING - TYPICAL DETAIL 210 SCALE 1.5

-WARNING -CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION: DEPTHICLEARANCE AND ALIGNMENT OF OVERHEDA NO UNDERGROUND SERVICES. CONTRACTOR TO ACQUIRE CURRENT AND RELEVANT TOLL BEFORE YOU DIG/ONE CALL'SERVICES DRAWINGS AND ADHERE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS FOR WORKS NEAR SERVICES INFODINC COMMENCEMENT SERVICES AND INFORMATION SERVICE AUTHORITIES SERVICES INFORMATION SERVICES AND INFORMATION SERVICE AUTHORITIES SERVICES INFORMATION SERVICES AND INFORMATION SERVICES AUTHORITIES SERVICES INFORMATION SET OF DRAWINGS ARE INFORMATIVE ONLY. PIT LOCATIONS HAVE BEEN SURVEYED BY A LICENSED SURVEYOR.

- SITE DIMENSIONS -CONTRACTOR TO SATISFY THEMSELVES OF SITE CONDITIONS, CHANGES IN LEVEL AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION OF SITE SPECIFIC ITEMS OR PRIOR TO ORDERING/PURCHASING MATERIALS, WHERE DISCREPANCIES EXIST BETWEEN DRAWINGS AND SITE CONDITIONS CONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS.

COPYREMETAND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS. ENJOCUS RETAINS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT IN RELATION TO THE CONTRACT MATERIAL. THE DESIGN AS REPRESENTED BY THE DRAWING SET AND ALL DETAILS, INGENUITY AND INNOVATION REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF ENLOCUS. REFET TO CONTRACT FOR IRREVOCABLE LICENSE GRANTED TO THE CLIENT AND COPYRIGHT ARRANGEMENT. © ENLOCUS 2021

DRAWING STATUS:

FOR CONSTRUCTION **REVISION STATUS:** C For Construction Issue 19.04.21 В 100% Issue 01.12.20 Preliminary Issue Α



STRUCTURAL ENGINEER MATRIX ENGINEERING GROUP P/L 24/37 Keilor Park Drive Keilor Park, Victoria 3042 Tel : +613 9331 7522 www.matrixgroup.net.au

NOTES / LEGEND:

ENGINEERING NOTES:

1. CONCRETE STRENGTH N32 MPA.

- 2. CONCRETE THICKNESS 150MM SLOPES, 100MM PLATFORMS AND FLAT BOTTOM, UNO
- 3. CONSTRUCTION JOINTS SHALL BE AT CONSTRUCTED MAXIMUM 6.0M OR AS PER DETAIL.
- SAW CUTS TO BE SAWN AS SHOWN ON PLANS. SAW CUT DEPTH TO BE 30MM DEEP. CHECK REINFORCEMENT DETAIL CD200_P FOR SAW CUT JOINT DETAILS.
- 5. FOR CURING, COVER FOR SEVEN DAYS WITH PLASTIC, OR BY LIQUID MEMBRANE, TO THE APPROPRIATE AUSTRALIAN STANDARD. APPLY WITHIN 1 HOUR OF CONCRETE FINISHING.
- 6. FINISH TO BE CLASS 1 METAL TROWEL TO ALL VERTICAL SURFACES. STEEL TROWEL FINISH TO PLATFORMS, FLAT BANKS, TRANSITIONS, ETC.
- REFER TO A.S.3600 CONCRETE STRUCTURES AND A.S.2870-2011 RESIDENTIAL SLABS AND FOOTINGS.

REINFORCEMENT

- 1. AS SHOWN. 2. TRIMMERS - 2 OF N12x2000 LONG TO ALL INTERNAL CORNERS.
- 3. BASE SLAB SL82 MESH OR S12 (250 GRADE) @ 250 CRS EW.
- 4. VERTICAL WALLS REFER TO RC WALL REINFORCEMENT DETAILS ON CD201
- 5. BLOCKS AS SHOWN.
- . GRIND RAILS SHALL BE 75X75X5MM 'DURAGAL' RHS (UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED ON PLANS), ENDS MITTED AND ALL WELDS GROUND SMOOTH AND COLD GALVANISED. ALL COLD GALVANISED AREAS TO HAVE 'GALMET' DURAGAL SILVER PAINT APPLIED. APPLICATION TO BE NEAT AND CONSISTENT.

ARTHWORKS

- STABLE CLEAN FILL COMPACTED TO 98 % (MMDD) PLACED AND COMPACTED IN 200MM LAYERS. REFER TO SOIL REPORT FOR FURTHER DETAILS.
- ALL SUBGROUND PREPARATION WORKS UNDER ALL CONCRETE PAVEMENTS AREAS AND LOW PROFILE RETAINING WALLS ARE TO BE PROTECTED, ROLLED AND COMPACTED AS PER RECOMMENDATIONS SET OUT IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT SUPPLIED BY (REPORT NO.]
- ALL SOFT SPOTS TO BE EXCAVATED DOWN TO APPROPRIATE DEPTH AND REPLACED WIT COMPACTED FILL TO 98% MMDD IN 200MM LAYERS.
- 4. FURTHER GEOTECHNICAL ADVICE MAY BE REQUIRED.

CITY OF PARRAMATTA

126 Church Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

PROJECT NAME: SUE SAVAGE TOONGABBIE SKATEPARK Sue Savage Reserve, Chanel St, Toongabbie NSW 2146

DRAWING TITLE: Drainage Details

SCALE: N/A DESIGN REVIEW: CM APPROVAL:

DRAWING NUMBER:

DATE OF ISSUE: 19.04.2021 FORMAT / SIZE: A1 REFERENCE NO: 1910

JM

CLIENT NAME:

REVISION: 1910 CD 210 С





STE DURING THE STATE THE SELVES OF SITE CONDITIONS, CHANGES IN CONTRACTOR TO SATISFY THEMSELVES OF SITE CONDITIONS, CHANGES IN LEVEL AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION OF SITE SPECIFIC ITEMS OR PRIOR TO ORDERING/PURCHASING MATERIALS. WHERE DISCREPANCIES EXIST BETWEEN DRAWINGS AND SITE CONDITIONS CONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS.

- COPYRIGHT AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS -ENLOCUS RETAINS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT IN RELATION TO THE CONTRACT MATERIAL. THE DESIGN AS REPRESENTED BY THE DRAWING SET AND ALL DETAILS, INGENUITY AND INNOVATION REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF ENLOCUS. REFET TO CONTRACT FOR IRREVOCABLE LICENSE GRANTED TO THE CLIENT AND COPYRIGHT ARRANGEMENT. © ENLOCUS 2021

DRAWING STATUS:





STRUCTURAL ENGINEER GROUP P/L 24/37 Keilor Park Drive Keilor Park, Victoria 3042 Tel : +613 9331 7522 www.matrixgroup.net.au

NOTES / LEGEND:

CONSULTANTS:

ENGINEERING NOTES:

1. CONCRETE STRENGTH N32 MPA

- 2. CONCRETE THICKNESS 150MM SLOPES, 100MM PLATFORMS AND FLAT BOTTOM, UNC
- 3. CONSTRUCTION JOINTS SHALL BE AT CONSTRUCTED MAXIMUM 6.0M OR AS PER DETAIL
- . SAW CUTS TO BE SAWN AS SHOWN ON PLANS. SAW CUT DEPTH TO BE 30MM DEEP. CHECK REINFORCEMENT DETAIL CD200_P FOR SAW CUT JOINT DETAILS.
- FOR CURING, COVER FOR SEVEN DAYS WITH PLASTIC, OR BY LIQUID MEMBRANE, TO THE APPROPRIATE AUSTRALIAN STANDARD. APPLY WITHIN 1 HOUR OF CONCRETE FINISHING.
- FINISH TO BE CLASS 1 METAL TROWEL TO ALL VERTICAL SURFACES. STEEL TROWEL FINISH TO PLATFORMS, FLAT BANKS, TRANSITIONS, ETC.
- REFER TO A.S.3800 CONCRETE STRUCTURES AND A.S.2870-2011 RE AND FOOTINGS.

REINFORCEMEN

- AS SHOWN. 2. TRIMMERS - 2 OF N12x2000 LONG TO ALL INTERNAL CORNERS
- BASE SLAB SL82 MESH OR S12 (250 GRADE) @ 250 CRS EW.
- 4. VERTICAL WALLS REFER TO RC WALL REINFORCEMENT DETA
- 5. BLOCKS AS SHOWN.
- GRIND RAILS SHALL BE 75X75X5MM PLANS). ENDS MITRED AND ALL WELI GALVANISED AREAS TO HAVE 'GALM BE NEAT AND CONSISTENT.
- ARTHWORKS
- STABLE CLEAN FILL COMPACTED TO 98 % (MMDD) PLACED AND COM LAYERS. REFER TO SOIL REPORT FOR FURTHER DETAILS.
- ALL SUBGROUND PREPARATION WORKS UNDER ALL CONCRETE PAVEMENTS AREAS AND LOW PROFILE RETAINING WALLS ARE TO BE PROTECTED, ROLLED AND COMPACTED AS PEI RECOMMENDATIONS SET OUT IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT SUPPLIED BY [REPORT NO:
- ALL SOFT SPOTS TO BE EXCAVATED DOWN TO APPROPRIATE DEPTH AND REP COMPACTED FILL TO 98% MMDD IN 200MM LAYERS.
- 4. FURTHER GEOTECHNICAL ADVICE MAY BE REQUIRED

CITY OF PARRAMATTA

126 Church Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

PROJECT NAME: SUE SAVAGE TOONGABBIE SKATEPARK Sue Savage Reserve, Chanel St, Toongabbie NSW 2146



FORMAT / SIZE: A1 REFERENCE NO: 1910 DESIGN REVIEW: CM APPROVAL:

JM REVISION:

С

CLIENT NAME:

DRAWING NUMBER: 1910 CD 300





- M/S 5MM THK TOP PLATE TO BE BOLTED TO INTERNAL SHS. REFER TO CD301_C.

ND FIXING PLATE M6

ANDS M6 SCF

I00X50X5MM THK FIXIN PLATE TO RC BASE BE REFER TO CD301 F

45 •

80





SCALE 1:5

-			
		RC SLAB FIXING TAB	
	301		SCALE 1:5

-WARNING -CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION; DEPTHICLEARANCE AND ALIGNMENT OF OVERHEAD AND UNDERGROUND SERVICES. CONTRACTOR TO ACQUIRE CURRENT AND RELEVANT TOLL BEFORE YOU DIGONE CALL'SERVICES BRAWINGS AND ADHERE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS FOR WORKS NEAR SERVICES INCLUDING CLEARANCES, EASEMENTS AND INFORMING SERVICE AUTHORITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS. THE LOCATIONS OF UNDERGROUND SERVICES INDICATION THIS SET OF DRAWINGS ARE INDICATIVE UNDERGROUND SERVICES INDICATION THIS SET OF DRAWINGS ARE INDICATIVE ONLY. PIT LOCATIONS HAVE BEEN SURVEYED BY A LICENSED SURVEYOR.

-SITE DIMENSIONS -CONTRACTOR TO SATISFY THEMSELVES OF SITE CONDITIONS, CHANGES IN LEVEL AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION OF SITE SPECIFIC ITEMS OR PRIOR TO ORDERINGIPURCHASING MATERIALS, WHERE DISCREPANCIES EXIST BETWEEN DRAWINGS AND SITE CONDITIONS CONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS.

- COPYRIGHT AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS -ENLOCUS RETAINS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT IN RELATION TO TH CONTRACT MATERIAL. THE DESIGN AS REPRESENTED BY THE DRAWING SET AND ALL DETALS, INGENUITY AND INNOVATION REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF ENLOCUS. REPERT TO CONTRACT FOR IRREVOCALE LICENSE GRAVITED TO THE CLIENT AND COPYRIGHT ARRANGEMENT. © ENLOCUS 2021 . HT IN RELATION TO THE

DRAWING STATUS:

FOR CONSTRUCTION **REVISION STATUS:** C For Construction Issue 19.04.21



STRUCTURAL ENGINEER MATRIX ENGINEERING GROUP P/L 24/37 Keilor Park Drive Keilor Park, Victoria 3042 Tel: +613 9331 7522 www.matrixgroup.net.au

NOTES / LEGEND:

ENGINEERING NOTES:

1. CONCRETE STRENGTH N32 MPA.

- 2. CONCRETE THICKNESS 150MM SLOPES, 100MM PLATFORMS AND FLAT BOTTOM, UNO
- 3. CONSTRUCTION JOINTS SHALL BE AT CONSTRUCTED MAXIMUM 6.0M OR AS PER DETAIL.
- SAW CUTS TO BE SAWN AS SHOWN ON PLANS. SAW CUT DEPTH TO BE 30MM DEEP. CHECK REINFORCEMENT DETAIL CD200_P FOR SAW CUT JOINT DETAILS.
- 5. FOR CURING, COVER FOR SEVEN DAYS WITH PLASTIC, OR BY LIQUID MEMBRANE, TO THE APPROPRIATE AUSTRALIAN STANDARD. APPLY WITHIN 1 HOUR OF CONCRETE FINISHING.
- 6. FINISH TO BE CLASS 1 METAL TROWEL TO ALL VERTICAL SURFACES. STEEL TROWEL FINISH TO PLATFORMS, FLAT BANKS, TRANSITIONS, ETC.
- REFER TO A.S.3600 CONCRETE STRUCTURES AND A.S.2870-2011 RESIDENTIAL SLABS AND FOOTINGS.

REINFORCEMENT

- 1. AS SHOWN. 2. TRIMMERS - 2 OF N12x2000 LONG TO ALL INTERNAL CORNERS.
- 3. BASE SLAB SL82 MESH OR S12 (250 GRADE) @ 250 CRS EW.
- 4. VERTICAL WALLS REFER TO RC WALL REINFORCEMENT DETAIL
- 5. BLOCKS AS SHOWN.
- GRIND RAILS SHALL BE 75X75X5MM 'DURAGAL' RHS (UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED ON PLANS), ENDS MITRED AND ALL WELDS GROUND SMOOTH AND COLD GALVANISED. ALL CO GALVANISED AREAS TO HAVE 'GALMET' DURAGAL SILVER PAINT APPLIED. APPLICATION TO BE INEAT AND CONSISTENT.

ARTHWORKS

- STABLE CLEAN FILL COMPACTED TO 98 % (MMDD) PLACED AND COMP LAYERS. REFER TO SOIL REPORT FOR FURTHER DETAILS.
- ALL SUBGROUND PREPARATION WORKS UNDER ALL CONCRETE PAVEMENTS AREAS AND LOW PROFILE RETAINING WALLS ARE TO BE PROTECTED, ROLLED AND COMPACTED AS PEI RECOMMENDATIONS SET OUT IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT SUPPLIED BY (REPORT NO.
- ALL SOFT SPOTS TO BE EXCAVATED DOWN TO APPROPRIATE DEPTH AND REPLACED WIT COMPACTED FILL TO 98% MMDD IN 200MM LAYERS.
- 4. FURTHER GEOTECHNICAL ADVICE MAY BE REQUIRED.



1910_CD 301

REVISION: С



A BALUSTRADE - SOUTHERN FACE 310 SCALE 1:20

B BALUSTRADE - EASTERN FACE SCALE 1:20













SCALE 1:10

D BALUSTRADE - FIXING TABS







- WARNING -CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION: DEPTH/CLEARANCE AND ALIGNMENT OF CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION: DEPTH/CLEARANCE AND ALIGNMENT OF CURRENT AND RELEVANT DUAL BEFORE YOU DIONE CALL SERVICES INCLUDING CLEARANCES LEASEMENTS AND INFORMING SERVICE AUTHORITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS. THE LOCATIONS OF UNDERGROUND SERVICES INDICATED IN THIS SET OF DRAWINGS ARE INDICATIVE ONLY. PIT LOCATIONS HAVE BEEN SURVEYED BY A LICENSED SURVEYOR.

-SITE DIMENSIONS -CONTRACTOR TO SATISFY THEMSELVES OF SITE CONDITIONS, CHANGES IN LEVEL AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION OF SITE SPECIFIC ITEMS OR PRIOR TO ORDERINGIPURCHASING MATERIALS, WHERE DISCREPANCIES EXIST BETWEEN DRAWINGS AND SITE CONDITIONS CONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS.

-COPYRIGHT AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS -ENLOCUS RETAINS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT IN RELATION TO THE CONTRACT MATERIAL. THE DESIGN AS REPRESENTED BY THE DRAWING SET AND ALL DETAILS, INGENUITY AND INNOVATION REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF ENLOCUS. REFER TO CONTRACT FOR IRREVOCABLE LICENSE GRANTED TO THE CLIENT AND COPYRIGHT ARRANGEMENT. © ENLOCUS 2021

DRAWING STATUS:

FOR CONSTRUCTION REVISION STATUS:



STRUCTURAL ENGINEER MATRIX ENGINEERING GROUP P/L 24/37 Kelior Park Drive Kelior Park, Victoria 3042 Tel : +613 9331 7522 www.matrixgroup.net.au

NOTES / LEGEND:

ENGINEERING NOTES:

1. CONCRETE STRENGTH N32 MPA.

- 2. CONCRETE THICKNESS 150MM SLOPES, 100MM PLATFORMS AND FLAT BOTTOM, UNC
- 3. CONSTRUCTION JOINTS SHALL BE AT CONSTRUCTED MAXIMUM 6.0M OR AS PER DETAIL.
- SAW CUTS TO BE SAWN AS SHOWN ON PLANS. SAW CUT DEPTH TO BE 30MM DEEP. CHECK REINFORCEMENT DETAIL CD200_P FOR SAW CUT JOINT DETAILS.
- 5. FOR CURING, COVER FOR SEVEN DAYS WITH PLASTIC, OR BY LIQUID MEMBRANE, TO THE APPROPRIATE AUSTRALIAN STANDARD. APPLY WITHIN 1 HOUR OF CONCRETE FINISHING.
- FINISH TO BE CLASS 1 METAL TROWEL TO ALL VERTICAL SURFACES. STEEL TROWEL FINISH TO PLATFORMS, FLAT BANKS, TRANSITIONS, ETC.
- REFER TO A.S.3600 CONCRETE STRUCTURES AND A.S.2870-2011 RESI AND FOOTINGS.

REINFORCEMENT

- AS SHOWN. 2. TRIMMERS - 2 OF N12x2000 LONG TO ALL INTERNAL CORNERS
- 3. BASE SLAB SL82 MESH OR S12 (250 GRADE) @ 250 CRS EW.
- 4. VERTICAL WALLS REFER TO RC WALL REINFORCEMENT DETA
- 5. BLOCKS AS SHOWN.
- GRIND RAILS SHALL BE 75X75X5MM 'DURAGAL' RHS (UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED ON PLANS). ENDS MITTED AND ALL WELDS GROUND SMOOTH AND COLD GALVANISED. ALL CO GALVANISED AREAS TO HAVE 'GALMET' DURAGAL SILVER PAINT APPLIED. APPLICATION TO BE INEAT AND CONSISTENT.
- ARTHWORKS
- STABLE CLEAN FILL COMPACTED TO 98 % (MMDD) PLACED AND LAYERS. REFER TO SOIL REPORT FOR FURTHER DETAILS.
- ALL SUBGROUND PREPARATION WORKS UNDER ALL CONCRETE PAVEMENTS AREAS AND LOW PROFILE RETAINING WALLS ARE TO BE PROTECTED, ROLLED AND COMPACTED AS PEI RECOMMENDATIONS SET OUT IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT SUPPLIED BY [REPORT NO:
- ALL SOFT SPOTS TO BE EXCAVATED DOWN TO APPROPRIATE DEPTH AND REPLACED WI COMPACTED FILL TO 98% MMDD IN 200MM LAYERS.
- 4. FURTHER GEOTECHNICAL ADVICE MAY BE REQUIRED

CITY OF PARRAMATTA

126 Church Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

PROJECT NAME: SUE SAVAGE TOONGABBIE SKATEPARK Sue Savage Reserve, Chanel St, Toongabbie NSW 2146

CLIENT NAME:

С



1910_CD 310



A DDA RAMP HANDRAIL SECTION SCALE 1:50 B HANDRAIL - TYPICAL SECTION SCALE 1:10

- WARNING -CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION: DEPTHICLEARANCE AND ALIGNMENT OF OVERHEAD AND UNDERGROUND SERVICES. CONTRACTOR TO ACOURT OPERIENT AND LEDERGROUND SERVICES. CONTRACTOR TO ACOURT OPERIENT AND LEDERGROUND SERVICES. CONTRACTOR TO ACOURT INCLUDING CLEARANCES. EASEMENTS AND INFORMING SERVICES PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS. THE LOCATIONS OF UNDERGROUND SERVICES INJICATED IN THIS SET OF DRAWINGS ARE INDICATIVE ONLY. PIT LOCATIONS HAVE BEEN SURVEYED BY A LICENSED SURVEYOR.

-SITE DIMENSIONS -CONTRACTOR TO SATISFY THEMSELVES OF SITE CONDITIONS, CHANGES IN LEVEL AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION OF SITE SPECIFIC ITEMS OR PRIOR TO ORDERINGIPURCHASING MATERIALS, WHERE DISCREPANCIES EXIST BETWEEN DRAWINGS AND SITE CONDITIONS CONTRACTOR TO ONTIFY SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS.

-COPYRIGHT AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS -ENLOCUS RETAINS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT IN RELATION TO THE CONTRACT MATERIAL. THE DESIGN AS REPRESENTED BY THE DRAWING SET AND ALL DETAILS, INGENUITY AND INNOVATION REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF ENLOCUS. REFER TO CONTRACT FOR IRREVOCABLE LICENSE GRANTED TO THE CLIENT AND COPYRIGHT ARRANGEMENT. © ENLOCUS 2021

DRAWING STATUS:

FOR CONSTRUCTION **REVISION STATUS:**



STRUCTURAL ENGINEER MATRIX ENGINEERING GROUP P/L 24/37 Keilor Park Drive Keilor Park, Victoria 3042 Tel : +613 9331 7522 www.matrixgroup.net.au

NOTES / LEGEND:

ENGINEERING NOTES:

1. CONCRETE STRENGTH N32 MPA.

- 2. CONCRETE THICKNESS 150MM SLOPES, 100MM PLATFORMS AND FLAT BOTTOM, UNO
- 3. CONSTRUCTION JOINTS SHALL BE AT CONSTRUCTED MAXIMUM 6.0M OR AS PER DETAIL.
- SAW CUTS TO BE SAWN AS SHOWN ON PLANS. SAW CUT DEPTH TO BE 30MM DEEP. CHECK REINFORCEMENT DETAIL CD200_P FOR SAW CUT JOINT DETAILS.
- 5. FOR CURING, COVER FOR SEVEN DAYS WITH PLASTIC, OR BY LIQUID MEMBRANE, TO THE APPROPRIATE AUSTRALIAN STANDARD. APPLY WITHIN 1 HOUR OF CONCRETE FINISHING.
- 6. FINISH TO BE CLASS 1 METAL TROWEL TO ALL VERTICAL SURFACES. STEEL TROWEL FINISH TO PLATFORMS, FLAT BANKS, TRANSITIONS, ETC.
- REFER TO A.S.3800 CONCRETE STRUCTURES AND A.S.2870-2011 RESIDENTIAL SLABS AND FOOTINGS.

REINFORCEMENT

- 1. AS SHOWN. 2. TRIMMERS - 2 OF N12x2000 LONG TO ALL INTERNAL CORNERS.
- 3. BASE SLAB SL82 MESH OR S12 (250 GRADE) @ 250 CRS EW.
- 4. VERTICAL WALLS REFER TO RC WALL REINFORCEMENT DETAILS ON CD201
- 5. BLOCKS AS SHOWN.
- . GRIND RAILS SHALL BE 75X75X5MM 'DURAGAL' RHS (UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED ON PLANS), ENDS MITTED AND ALL WELDS GROUND SMOOTH AND COLD GALVANISED. ALL COLD GALVANISED AREAS TO HAVE 'GALMET' DURAGAL SILVER PAINT APPLIED. APPLICATION TO BE NEAT AND CONSISTENT.
- ARTHWORKS
- STABLE CLEAN FILL COMPACTED TO 98 % (MMDD) PLACED AND COMPAC LAYERS. REFER TO SOIL REPORT FOR FURTHER DETAILS.
- ALL SUBGROUND PREPARATION WORKS UNDER ALL CONCRETE PAVEMENTS AREAS AND LOW PROFILE RETAINING WALLS ARE TO BE PROTECTED, ROLLED AND COMPACTED AS PER RECOMMENDATIONS SET OUT IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT SUPPLIED BY (REPORT NO.]
- ALL SOFT SPOTS TO BE EXCAVATED DOWN TO APPROPRIATE DEPTH AND REPLACED WIT COMPACTED FILL TO 98% MMDD IN 200MM LAYERS.
- 4. FURTHER GEOTECHNICAL ADVICE MAY BE REQUIRED.

CITY OF PARRAMATTA

126 Church Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

PROJECT NAME: SUE SAVAGE TOONGABBIE SKATEPARK Sue Savage Reserve, Chanel St, Toongabbie NSW 2146

DRAWING TITLE: Handrail Details 01

SCALE: N/A DESIGN REVIEW: CM APPROVAL:

DATE OF ISSUE: 19.04.2021 FORMAT / SIZE: A1 REFERENCE NO: 1910

JM

CLIENT NAME:

1910_CD 320



DRAWING NUMBER:



Appendix C | Geotechnical Investigation

Report on Geotechnical Investigation - Toongabbie

Sue Savage Reserve

80020079

Prepared for City of Parramatta

22 April 2020





Cardno'

Contact Information

Cardno (NSW/ACT) Pty Ltd ABN 95 001 145 035

Level 9 - The Forum 203 Pacific Highway St Leonards NSW 2065 Australia

www.cardno.com Phone +61 2 9496 7700 Fax +61 2 9439 5170

Document Information Prepared for City of Parramatta

	. , .
Project Name	Sue Savage Reserve
File Reference	80020079 - GIR.docx
Job Reference	80020079
Date	22 April 2020
Version Number	Rev1

17/04/2020

22/04/2020

Author(s):

lygh

Name: Alex Chapple Job title: Geotechnical Engineer

Approved By: Brendon O'Hellorm

Brendan O'Halloran Job title: Senior Geotechnical Engineer

Document History

Version	Effective Date	Description of Revision	Prepared by	Reviewed by
Rev0	27/03/2020	Draft - Issue for Internal Review	AC	ВОН
Rev1	22/04/2020	First Issue for Client Review	AC	ВОН

Effective Date

Date Approved

© Cardno. Copyright in the whole and every part of this document belongs to Cardno and may not be used, sold, transferred, copied or reproduced in whole or in part in any manner or form or in or on any media to any person other than by agreement with Cardno.

This document is produced by Cardno solely for the benefit and use by the client in accordance with the terms of the engagement. Cardno does not and shall not assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party arising out of any use or reliance by any third party on the content of this document.

Our report is based on information made available by the client. The validity and comprehensiveness of supplied information has not been independently verified and, for the purposes of this report, it is assumed that the information provided to Cardno is both complete and accurate. Whilst, to the best of our knowledge, the information contained in this report is accurate at the date of issue, changes may occur to the site conditions, the site context or the applicable planning framework. This report should not be used after any such changes without consulting the provider of the report or a suitably qualified person.

Table of Contents

1	Introduc	1	
2	Site Des	scription	2
	2.1	Site Location and Identification	2
	2.2	Geological Profile	2
3	Site Inve	estigation	3
	3.1	Site Walkover	3
	3.2	Utility Clearance	3
	3.3	Geotechnical Investigation	3
	3.4	Laboratory Testing	3
4	Investiga	ation Results	4
	4.1	Test Locations	4
	4.2	Sub-surface Conditions	4
	4.3	Groundwater	4
	4.4	Geotechnical Laboratory Results	5
	4.5	Chemical Laboratory Results	5
	4.6	Levee Geometry	6
5	Prelimin	ary Waste Classification	6
6	Geotech	inical Assessment	6
	6.1	Proposed Earthworks	6
	6.2	Levee Composition and Condition	7
	6.3	Foundation Conditions and Footing Recommendations	8
	6.4	Soil Aggressivity	9
	6.5	Groundwater Management	9
	6.6	Parking Bays	9
	6.7	General Earthworks Controls	10
7	Closure		11

Appendices

- Appendix A Site plan
- Appendix B Preliminary Waste Classification
- Appendix C Geotechnical logs
- Appendix D Laboratory Results
- Appendix E Important Information

Cardno

Tables

Table 4-1	Borehole Locations	4
Table 4-2	Summary of Laboratory Material Classification Testing Results	5
Table 4-3	Summary of Laboratory CBR Testing Results	5
Table 4-4	Summary of Emerson Dispersivity Testing	5
Table 4-5	Soil Aggressivity Testing Results	5
Table 4-6	Acid Sulfate Soil Screening Results	5

Figures

Figure 1-1	Proposed upgrades at Sue Savage Reserve (supplied)	1
Figure 2-1	Sydney 1:100,000 Coastal Quaternary Sheet	2
Figure 2-2	1:250,000 Sydney Geological units mapped at Sue Savage Reserve	2
Figure 4-1	Typical section taken across the levee formation	6
Figure 6-1	Typical arrangement of proposed parking	7
Figure 6-2	Recommended maximum cut geometry for the proposed carpark	8
Figure 6-3	Concept pavement design for parking bays along Chanel St	10

1 Introduction

This report presents the findings of a geotechnical investigation carried out by Cardno (NSW/ACT) Pty Ltd, aimed at informing proposed upgrades and the construction of public utilities at Sue Savage Reserve on Chanel Street, Toongabbie. The report includes geotechnical interpretations of conditions encountered and analysis based on investigation findings.

The proposed upgrades are understood to include:

- > Car Parking: Construction of approximately 45 off-street parking spaces along Chanel Street;
- > Skateboard Park: Construction of a new Skate/BMX/Scooter park to the west of the existing multipurpose court;
- > Amenities Building: Construction of shelters, picnic settings, and a small amenities building to the east of the existing multi-purpose court.



Figure 1-1 Proposed upgrades at Sue Savage Reserve (supplied)

This report is aimed at assessing the following key items:

- > An assessment of the sub-surface conditions across the site, with reference to the proposed constructions;
- > Constructability constraints and opportunities for the three key elements of the proposed upgrades;
- > Foundation recommendations for the proposed upgrades;
- > Inputs for pavement design of parking bays; and
- > Assessment of the existing flood levee, as well as potential impacts of the proposed construction.

The work was commissioned by City of Parramatta Council, under purchase order P00106213.

2 Site Description

2.1 Site Location and Identification

Sue Savage Reserve is located on Chanel Street, Toongabbie. The existing reserve sits north of Chanel Street spanning across approximately 17 hectares of land. The existing elements consist of a multi-purpose court and a playground towards the east of the site. In addition, there are several overhead power lines that stretches across the reserve.

Sue Savage Reserve is bounded to the north by Toongabbie Creek, with the existing ground profile across the reserve falling toward the creek, forming a broad drainage channel that serves to contain and direct high flows during significant events.

Running along the southern boundary of the reserve is an earthen levee, which has been constructed to raise the catchment bounds, and reduce likelihood of significant events overtopping and impacting neighbouring residential property.

2.2 Geological Profile

Reference to the 1:100,000 Sydney Coastal Quaternary Geological Sheet indicates that the site is located within a significant Quaternary valley fill, known to comprise silt, clay, fluvial sand, and gravel.



Figure 2-1 Sydney 1:100,000 Coastal Quaternary Sheet

Reference to the 1:250,000 is located within the Hawkesbury Sandstone (Rh) formation, closely bounded by Shale deposits to both the north and south of the site.



Figure 2-2 1:250,000 Sydney Geological units mapped at Sue Savage Reserve

3 Site Investigation

3.1 Site Walkover

A preliminary site walkover was undertaken by an experienced Geotechnical Engineer, aimed at assessing the overall geometry and condition of the earthen levee, as well as key site features that may impact subsequent works. The site walkover also allowed exact investigation locations to be refined, with consideration of access, utilities, and features of interest that can be subsequently investigated

3.2 Utility Clearance

Prior to fieldwork commencing, a DBYD search was undertake to identify sub-surface utilities in the vicinity of the proposed works. Service location was facilitated by SureSearch, with services marked and appropriate test locations identified and cleared prior to commencement of fieldwork.

Due to the presence of a high-pressure asset owned by Caltex to the east of the proposed works, a Caltex representative was met on site to ensure Cardno's investigation was undertaken at an adequate offset.

3.3 Geotechnical Investigation

The geotechnical investigation was undertaken in accordance with Cardno's approved Geotechnical Investigation plan. Intrusive geotechnical investigations were undertaken on the 23rd March 2020 and comprised of drilling six (6) boreholes to a maximum depth of 5.0 m below ground surface (bgs). Drilling was undertaken using a tracked Commachio 205 drilling rig operated by Stratacore, and comprised:

- Drilling using a 125 mm Solid Flight Auger (SFA), to maximum depths of 5.0 m below existing ground surface;
- Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) was undertaken at 1.5 m intervals to assist in assessing material composition and consistency. Pocket Penetrometer (PP) testing was undertaken in recovered samples to assess consistency of fine-grained materials; and
- Engineering assessment and logging of the subsurface profiles encountered were undertaken in accordance with AS 1726-2017 by an experienced geotechnical engineer from Cardno, with geotechnical logs attached in Appendix C.
- Survey of test locations and the levee profile were undertaken with a Topcon Hyper 2 Series differential GPS survey rover, with H:10mm and V:15mm accuracies

3.4 Laboratory Testing

Following completion of logging and in-situ testing, samples were uniquely labelled, stored and subsequently scheduled for laboratory geotechnical testing at STS Testing Pty Ltd, and Eurofins Environment Testing Australia Pty Ltd, both of which are National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA) accredited laboratories. The scope of laboratory testing comprised;

- > Particle Size Distribution (PSD) & Atterberg Limits testing to assist in material classification;
- > California Bearing Ratio (CBR) to inform subsequent road pavement design;
- > Emerson Class to assess material dispersivity in the earthen levee;
- > Aggressivity Testing to inform an exposure classification for subsurface foundations.
- > Acid Sulfate Soils Testing to determine any existing chemicals.

Samples collected during the fieldwork investigation were also subjected to a full suite of environmental testing to develop a preliminary waste classification in line with NEPM guidelines. The full details of the environmental testing undertaken and findings from the analysis are included within Appendix B.

4 Investigation Results

4.1 Test Locations

The following boreholes locations were identified by Cardno and agreed upon by City of Parramatta representatives:

Borehole	Easting (m E)	Northing (m N)	Reduced Level (m AHD)	Final Depth (m)
BH01	311467.398	6260291.347	26.554	2.8
BH02	311389.43	6260298.445	25.829	3.8
BH03	311367.966	6260305.883	25.835	5.0
BH04	311348.388	6260300.086	26.949	4.0
BH05	311324.286	6260303.569	26.908	5.0
BH06	311382.527	6260253.441	24.39	1.5

Table 4-1 Borehole Locations

4.2 Sub-surface Conditions

Geotechnical logs are located in Appendix B and a summary of sub-surface conditions are presented below:

UNIT	BH01	BH02	BH03	BH04	BH05	BH06
TOPSOIL	0.0 – 0.2 Silty SAND	0.0 - 0.2 Silty Clayey SAND	0.0 – 0.2 Silty SAND			
FILL	0.2 – 0.8	0.2 – 2.5	0.2 - 3.0	0.2 - 3.6	0.2 – 4.9	N/E
	Silty Sandy CLAY	Sandy Gravelly CLAY	Silty Sandy CLAY	Silty Sandy CLAY	Silty Sandy CLAY	
ALLUVIAL	0.8 – 1.2	2.5 – 3.0	3.0 – 5.0	3.6 – 3.8	4.9 – 5.0	0.2 – 1.5
	Sandy CLAY	Sandy CLAY	Silty Sandy CLAY	Sandy CLAY	Silty SAND	Silty Sandy CLAY
RESIDUAL	1.2 – 2.2	3.0 – 3.5	N/E	3.8 – 4.0	N/E	N/E
	Silt Sandy CLAY	Sandy CLAY		Sandy CLAY		
INFERRED	2.2 – 2.8	3.5 – 3.8	N/E	N/E	N/E	N/E
ROCK	SANDSTONE	SANDSTONE				

Notes to table:

N/E - Not encountered

4.3 Groundwater

Groundwater was observed in both BH03 & BH04, at depths of 3.0 m and 3.5 m respectively (23.8 and 23.4 m AHD). Groundwater levels are expected to vary significantly due to the proximity to Toongabbie Creek, and the nature of the reserve as a drainage basin.

4.4 **Geotechnical Laboratory Results**

Borehole	Depth (m)	Material description	МС (%)	LL (%)	PL (%)	PI (%)	LS (%)
BH01	1.4	Silty Sandy CLAY, Medium to High Plasticity	26.6	44	17	27	14
BH02	2.7	Sandy CLAY, Medium Plasticity	19.4	36	16	20	11
BH04	1.2	FILL: Silty Sandy CLAY, Low to Medium Plasticity	11.2	28	15	13	10
BH04	3.6	Sandy CLAY, Low to Medium Plasticity	20.2	33	15	18	10
BH05	1.2	FILL: Silty Sandy CLAY, Low Plasticity	14.5	30	15	15	10

Summary of Laboratory Material Classification Testing Results Table 4-2

Notes to table:

- MC: Moisture Content

LL: Liquid Limit
PL: Plastic Limit

- PI: Plasticity Index

- LS: Linear Shrinkage

Table 4-3 Summary of Laboratory CBR Testing Results

Borehole	Depth	Material Description	МС (%)	SOMC (%)	SMDD (t/m³)	Swell (%)	CBR (%)
BH06	0.3-0.8	Silty Sandy CLAY, Medium to High Plasticity	20.7	18	1.782	0.4	7.0

Notes to table:

- MC: Moisture Content

- SOMC: Standard Optimum Moisture Content

- SMDD: Standard Maximum Dry Density

- CBR: California Bearing Ratio

Table 4-4 Summary of Emerson Dispersivity Testing

Borehole	Depth (m)	Material description	Emerson Class Number
BH01	1.4	Silty Sandy CLAY, Medium to High Plasticity	5
BH04	1.2	FILL: Silty Sandy CLAY, Low to Medium Plasticity	3

4.5 **Chemical Laboratory Results**

Soil Aggressivity Testing Results Table 4-5

Borehole	Depth (m)	Soil description	рН	EC (uS/cm)	Resistivity (ohm.m)	Sulfate (mg/kg)	Chloride (mg/kg)
BH01	1.4	Silty Sandy CLAY	5.6	47	210	97	89
BH03	3.2	Silty Sandy CLAY	6.0	96	100	<30	130
Notes to table					•		•

- EC: Electrical Conductivity

Acid Sulfate Soil Screening Results Table 4-6

Borehole	Depth (m)	Soil description	pH _{Field}	рН _{Fox}	Reaction Rating
BH01	1.4	Silty Sandy CLAY	5.6	4.7	2.0
BH02	2.6	Sandy CLAY	6.8	5.7	3.0
BH03	3.2	Silty Sandy CLAY	5.7	5.0	2.0

4.6 Levee Geometry

Survey was undertaken, in accordance with methodology outlined in Section 3.5, during fieldwork to gain an understanding of the geometry and arrangement of the existing flood levee, as well as to assess the impact of the proposed construction on the integrity of the levee. Four sections were taken across the crest of the levee formation, with data used to inform geotechnical analysis in Section 6.



Figure 4-1 Typical section taken across the levee formation

5 Preliminary Waste Classification

Laboratory testing undertaken on samples collected during fieldwork were used to inform a preliminary waste classification for materials observed across the site. Appendix B provides a comprehensive analysis of these results and provides the preliminary classification, with an excerpt from the report as follows:

Based on the background review, site observation and laboratory analysis of the materials from the Site soils described in Table 4-1, encountered between the soil surface to a maximum depth of 0.6 m BGL are classified as *General Solid Waste (non-putrescible)* due to:

- > Analytes being ≤ CT1 values for General Solid Waste (GSW); and
- > Asbestos results reported as less than LOR (Not-detected).

However, as the sampling densities were not in accordance with the appropriate guidelines this waste classification report is indicative of the Site area and additional sampling and analysis is required prior to the removal of material from the site

The report in Appendix B shall be taken into consideration in its entirety, will particular attention drawn to the preliminary nature of the assessment. Additional testing shall be undertaken in accordance with the testing densities outlined in NEPM guidelines prior to a final classification being assigned to the site material.

6 Geotechnical Assessment

6.1 **Proposed Earthworks**

Based on information provided by Council, Cardno understands the key elements of the upgrades that will require significant earthworks are to comprise:

- Skatepark Excavation: Although a final design has not been supplied to Cardno at the time of writing, preliminary information and discussions with Council have indicated that a large fraction of the Skatepark will be constructed below existing levels, and will comprise two large "bowls" to form the central feature of the design. It is expected that base of excavation will be a maximum of 2.5 m below existing levels.
- Construction of Parking Spaces: In order to provide off street parking, Council have proposed to construct a series of perpendicular parks off Chanel Street. Although final proposed levels have not been supplied, it is expected that earthworks will be minimised wherever possible, with excavations only adequate to install the design pavement thickness.



Figure 6-1 Typical arrangement of proposed parking

6.2 Levee Composition and Condition

6.2.1 Existing Condition

The composition of the levee formation was investigated with the inclusion of BH04 & BH05 during the investigation, with the following observations:

- > The levee was primarily constructed material classified as Silty Sandy CLAY, with minor variations of the material across the test locations and with depth.
- The depth of the FILL material observed to be 4.9 m along the crest of the levee at BH05, however this depth of construction will vary significantly depending on the natural profile prior to construction and the level of stripping undertaken prior to placement of fill.
- > A range of minor material inclusions such as concrete fragments, ceramic fragments, and organic matter through the levee materials indicating the construction was undertaken with a mass of mixed materials.
- > Drilling resistance and Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) within the two boreholes generally identified well compacted material, with no indications of loose materials or voids at the two locations.
- The geotechnical profile identified during the investigation did not identify an engineered formation within the levee (such as a low permeability core); instead the construction appears to be a simple earth-mass levee.

Visual inspections along the region of levee of interest identified well shaped gradual slopes, with no clear signs of erosion of deterioration. Survey cross sections indicate slopes generally of up to 12° at the surveyed locations, with steepening of the embankment moving westward toward the body of the drainage basin.

6.2.2 Interaction with Proposed Construction

Based on preliminary arrangements provided by Council, both the proposed parking spaces and carpark are expected to impose on the existing flood levee. In order to maintain the integrity of the levee, the following recommendations should be adopted:

- > The magnitude of excavation into the existing flood levee should be minimised wherever possible, and limited to minor reduction in relative width without reducing the overall height and capacity of the structure;
- The slopes of the levee should be cut at no steeper angle than 1V:3H, with surfacing of the final levee to match the existing including an adequate layer of topsoil and subsequent vegetation;
- Deep excavation should not be undertaken immediately adjacent to the main body of the existing levee, with deeper elements of the Skatepark to be shifted northward away from the existing levee wherever possible;
- > Any excavation into the levee shall be also assessed by Council's flooding engineers prior to finalisation of design;

- Should any aspect of the proposed upgrade restrict surface water flow around the levee, additional scour protection such as a geosynthetic or rip-rap may need to be installed depending on the final arrangement;
- Construction of the parking spaces along Canal Road should ensure that excavation is minimised wherever possible, and that the grade of the levee bank is no steeper than 1V:3H as show below in Figure 6-2.



Figure 6-2 Recommended maximum cut geometry for the proposed carpark

6.3 Foundation Conditions and Footing Recommendations

6.3.1 Amenities Building

C Cardno

Investigation undertaken at the location for the proposed amenities building (BH01) identified a subsurface profile as follows:

- > 0.0 0.2: TOPSOIL, Silty SAND
- > 0.2 0.8: Uncontrolled FILL, Silty Sandy CLAY
- > 0.8 1.2: ALLUVIUM, Sandy CLAY, Stiff
- > 1.2 2.2: RESIDUAL, Silty Sandy CLAY and Silty SAND, Stiff & Medium Dense
- > 2.2 2.8: SANDSTONE, highly weathered

It is recommended that prior to construction of the required foundations for the building, that both the topsoil and uncontrolled filling are stripped and replaced with controlled fill comprising suitable materials in accordance with AS3798-2007 or as specified by the structural engineer, to provide a uniform level from which to construct the building foundations. Council have not yet completed final design of the amenities building to develop an understanding for the magnitude of the loading, however it is expected that based on the subsurface profile encountered and the size of the proposed building, shallow foundations could likely be utilised to support the structure.

Based on classification within AS 2870-2011, it is recommended that an M classification of is assigned for the design of the amenities building should the above recommendations be implemented prior to construction.

6.3.2 Skate Park

Investigation undertaken within the footprint of the proposed Skate Park, with the subsurface profile at the centre of the construction (BH03) summarised as follows:

- > 0.0 0.2: TOPSOIL, Silty SAND
- > 0.2 3.6: FILL, Silty Sandy CLAY
- > 3.6 3.8: ALLUVIUM, Sandy CLAY
- > 3.8 4.0: RESIDUAL, Sandy CLAY

Ground treatment required for the Skate Park will need to align with the serviceability criteria developed by Council's design team. Investigation observations indicated the fill material varied in composition and consistency with depth, with the presence of a range of ancillary inclusions such as concrete cobbles and ceramic fragments across the footprint.

Depending on settlement criteria established for the Skate Park, excavations may need to extend to a substantial depth below the proposed shallow foundations to allow for excavation and re-compaction of the material to ensure a consistent platform from which to construct the foundations.

Alternatively, preload of the skate park area or dynamic compaction methods may be achieve the required design criteria while limiting excavation below foundation level.

6.4 Soil Aggressivity

Laboratory testing undertaken on two samples collected during the investigation have been compared with the criteria outlines in AS 2159-2009 for exposure classification and subsequent design. Comparison of these results have led to a **Non-Aggressive** classification for subsurface foundations. Testing results have also been compared with criteria outlined in AS 2870-2007, with results indicating an **A1** Classification for materials testing from both locations.

6.5 Groundwater Management

The presence of groundwater within two of the boreholes presents a critical construction stage constraint that will need to be incorporated into the design and construction management of the upgrades. At the time of investigation, groundwater was observed at 3.0 - 3.5 m bgs at BH03 and BH04, and is expected to fluctuate significantly with climatic variation. Groundwater in both of these locations was observed at a depth corresponding to the fill/natural interface, which may present a low-resistance flow path for groundwater, and prove problematic at the time of construction.

It is expected that groundwater will likely be encountered during construction, therefore a groundwater management plan should be developed prior to commencement, and financial allowance for dewatering plant and management incorporated into the planning of the project.

6.6 Parking Bays

Intrusive investigation was undertaken immediately adjacent Chanel Road (BH06), aimed at providing a preliminary understanding of the geotechnical profile for subsequent pavement design. The profile can be summarised as:

- > 0.0 0.2: TOPSOIL, Silty SAND
- > 0.2 1.5: ALLUVIUM, Silty Sandy CLAY and Silty CLAY

Testing on the sample recovered from subgrade level indicates a CBR value of 7%, which may be used in subsequent pavement design. It shall be noted that the subgrade along the proposed region of construction is likely to vary significantly, and as such allowance should be made for this during refinement of design.

It is recommended that topsoil and any uncontrolled filling is removed prior to preparation of subgrade, to ensure a consistent platform is established from which to construct the pavement.

Final pavement design for the parking bays will be dependent on Council's preferences around constructability and aesthetics, however a preliminary design undertaken for the parking bays in line with Austroads Guide to Pavement Technology Part 2; Pavement Structural Design (2012), is a follows:

- > 35 mm AC10 wearing course, on
- > 7 mm Low Cutter Seal, on
- > 300 mm Class 2 DGB, on
- > Minimum 3% CBR subgrade, compacted to 100% SMDD.

A reduction of overall pavement thickness may be feasible if confidence in subgrade uniformity along the alignment can be achieved.



Figure 6-3 Concept pavement design for parking bays along Chanel St

6.7 General Earthworks Controls

6.7.1 Site Preparation

Prior to bulk earthworks, any fill, pavement or structure footings areas shall be cleared of any foreign matter or unsuitable material which includes but is not limited to the following:

- > Vegetation or organic matter including root balls;
- > Topsoil or soil significantly affected by roots or root fibres;
- > Any scattered waste or dumped materials;
- > Uncontrolled filling which would be subject to further assessment; or
- > Loose or low strength (soft) soils or otherwise 'unsuitable' soils.

Deleterious materials that cannot be reused on site shall be disposed of at a licenced waste facility and classified in accordance with the NSW EPA Waste Classification Guidelines. Stripped topsoils shall be stockpiled for re-use where suitable.

Topsoils were generally assessed to be in the order of 200 mm in thickness, however this will vary across the site.

6.7.2 Drainage and Erosion Control

Prior to earthworks, appropriate site surface drainage and other measures shall be implemented to prevent ponding and scouring during the construction, and to minimise the risk of trafficability issues on site clays during and after inclement weather. These measures shall include temporary drains, surface grading along with erosion and sediment control, and shall be appropriately reinstated following the construction.

The soils are not considered highly dispersive based on the limited testing conduced (Emerson dispersivity results of 3 & 5); however in general, the dispersion potential can be managed with regimented compaction and moisture control during fill placement. A suitable thickness of topsoil (preferably >100 mm) shall also be provided to promote vegetation growth for longer term erosion control.

Suitable vegetation protection shall be established together with the provision of adequate drainage and where the soils are exposed other appropriate protection measures shall be employed. Appropriate surface drainage shall be installed to intercept and reduce the velocity of up-slope overland surface flows and to restrict overland surface flows from flowing onto adjacent areas where practical.

7 Closure

We appreciate the opportunity to work collaboratively with you on this project. Our team looks forward to bringing our high level of expertise to deliver successful outcomes in your future projects.

Your attention is drawn to the appended document titled "*Important Information about this Report*" in Appendix E. This document is intended to clarify to the reader what the realistic expectations of this report should be, and what is the correct use of the document. Misinterpretation of geotechnical information presents significant risk to projects: The document includes a discussion on general limitations of geotechnical services, which by nature, are based extensively on opinion and judgement.

The statements included in this document are not intended to be exculpatory clauses or to reduce the general responsibility accepted by Cardno, but rather to identify where Cardno and our Client's responsibilities lie. The statements ensure that all parties that may rely on the report are aware of their respective responsibilities.

For further enquiries, please do not hesitate to contact Cardno.

Sue Savage Reserve

APPENDIX









APPENDIX B PRELIMINARY WASTE CLASSIFICATION



80020079_SueSavageReserve_IndicativeWasteClassification

Cardno Ref: 9 April 2020

> City of Parramatta 10 Footbridge Boulevard Wentworth Point NSW 2124 Attention: City of Parramatta

Dear City of Parramatta,

INDICATIVE WASTE CLASSIFICATION – SUE SAVAGE RESERVE REDEVELOPMENT

Cardno (NSW/ACT) Pty Ltd (Cardno) was commissioned by City of Parramatta to provide an indicative waste classification for approximately 17 hectares of land.

Preliminary investigation carried out by Cardno are for the proposed re-development located at Sue Savage Reserve on Chanel Street, Toongabbie. Which proposes three key elements of improvement:

- Car Parking: Construction of approximately 45 off-street parking spaces along Chanel Street;
- Skateboard Park: Construction of a new Skate/BMX/Scooter park to the west of the existing multipurpose court;
- Amenities Building: Construction of shelters, picnic settings, and a small amenity building to the east of the existing multi-purpose court.

Management of the materials encountered requires the material to be classified in accordance with regulatory guidelines. This letter provides an indicative in-situ waste classification as depicted on **Figure 1** in **Appendix A**

1 Objectives

The Objective of this work is to provide an indicative classification of the materials onsite in accordance with the NSW EPA *Waste Classification Guidelines* (2014).

2 Scope of Work

In order to address the objective detailed in **Section 1** Cardno undertook the following scope of work:

- > Collected representative soil samples from five (5) sampling locations;
- > Submitted three (3) sample for Acid Sulfate Soil screening;
- Submitted Five (5) samples for analytical testing of the Contaminants of Potential Concern (COPCs), at a NATA accredited laboratory. COPCs are as follows:
 - Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons (TRH);
 - Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylene and Naphthalene (BTEXN);
 - Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs);
 - Metals (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Ni, Hg and Zn);

Australia • Belgium • Canada • Colombia • Ecuador • Germany • Indonesia • Kenya • Myanmar • New Zealand • Nigeria • Papua New Guinea • Peru • Philippines • Singapore • Timor-Leste • United Kingdom • United States • Operations in over 100 countries



Cardno (NSW/ACT) Pty Ltd ABN 95 001 145 035

Level 9 - The Forum 203 Pacific Highway St Leonards NSW 2065 Australia

Phone +61 2 9496 7700 Fax +61 2 9439 5170

www.cardno.com



80020079_SueSavageReserve_IndicativeWasteClassification 2 9 April 2020



- Organochlorine Pesticides (OCPs)
- Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs);
- Asbestos (weight/weight%)
- > The results of the analytical testing were evaluated and waste classification presented in this letter report.

3 Site Description and Desktop Review

Sue Savage Reserve is located on Chanel Street, Toongabbie. The existing reserve sits north of Chanel Street spanning across approximately 17 hectares of land. The existing elements consist of a multi-purpose court and a playground towards the east of the site. In addition, there are several overhead power lines that stretches across the reserve.

Sue Savage Reserve is bounded to the north by Toongabbie Creek, with the existing ground profile across the reserve falling toward Toongabbie creek, forming a broad drainage channel that serves to contain and direct high flows during significant events.

Running along the southern boundary of the reserve is an earthen levee, which has been constructed to raise the catchment bounds, and reduce likelihood of significant events overtopping and impacting neighbouring residential property.

4 Fieldwork

Sampling of the onsite sub-surface materials was undertaken on 23 March 2020 by a Cardno Environmental Scientist. Samples for laboratory analysis were obtained from five locations, from depths varying between 0.3 m to 3.2 m below the surface. Descriptions of the material sampled are provided in **Table 4-1**.

Soil sampling was carried out in general accordance with AS4482.1 (2005): *Guide to the investigation and sampling of sites with potentially contaminated soil*, and Cardno's Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs). Boreholes were advanced into the sub-surface using a Ute Mounted Drill Rig, with samples collected directly from the auger using a gloved hand. A new pair of nitrile gloves was used for the collection of each sample. Samples were immediately transferred into laboratory supplied sample containers and stored in chilled containers for transport to the laboratory.



Table 4-1 Sample Register

Sample ID	Material Description	TRH/ BTEXN ¹	PAHs ²	Metals ³	OCP/ PCBs ⁴	pH _{Field} pH _{FOX} ⁵	Asbestos (w/w%) ⁶
BH01_0.3		~	~	~	~	-	~
BH01_1.4		-	-	-	-	~	-
BH02_0.6		~	~	~	~	-	~
BH02_2.6	F: Brown Coarse-Grained Clayey Soil. Trace rocks/bitumen N: Sandy CLAY, Medium plasticity, fine – medium grained.	-	-	-	-	~	-
BH03_0.4		~	~	~	~	-	~
BH03_3.2		-	-	-	-	~	-
BH04_0.4		~	~	~	~	-	~
BH05_0.5		~	~	~	~	-	~

Analytes Tested

F = Fill

N = Natural

1) Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylene and

Naphthalene

- 2) Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)
- 3) Metals (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Ni, Hg and Zn)
- 4) Organochlorine Pesticides (OCPs) Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)
- 5) $pH_{Field} pH_{FOX}$
- 6) Asbestos (w/w%)

Australia • Belgium • Canada • Colombia • Ecuador • Germany • Indonesia • Kenya • Myanmar • New Zealand • Nigeria • Papua New Guinea • Peru • Philippines • Singapore • Timor-Leste • United Kingdom • United States • Operations in over 100 countries





5 Analytical Results

A tabulated summary of Laboratory results is provided in **Appendix B**, Laboratory Reports are included in **Appendix C**. The analytical laboratory contracted to perform the testing services (Eurofins mgt NSW) is NATA accredited for the analyses undertaken. An interpretation of the data is summarised as follows:

- BTEX concentrations were reported below the Contaminant Threshold 1 (CT1) criteria for General Solid Waste (GSW) in all samples analysed.
- > TRH concentrations were reported below the CT1 criteria for GSW in all samples analysed;
- PAHs concentrations were reported below the CT1 criteria for GSW in all samples analysed;
- > Heavy Metals concentrations were reported below the CT1 criteria for GSW in all samples analysed;
- Moderately Harmful Pesticide concentrations were reported below the CT1 criteria for GSW in all samples analysed;
- Scheduled Chemical concentrations were reported below the CT1 criteria for GSW in all samples analysed;
- > PCB concentrations were reported below the Contaminant Threshold 1 (CT1) criteria for General Solid Waste (GSW) in all samples analysed;
- Samples submitted for Acid Sulfate Soil screening had pH_{field} values of 5.6 (Moderately acidic) to 6.8 (Slightly Acidic) and pH_{peroxide} values of 4.7 (Strongly acidic) to 5.7 (Moderately Acidic). Based on these values and the source of the material Cardno infer that the material is unlikely to be acid sulfate soils.
- > Asbestos was not detected in any of the samples analysed.

6 Adopted Criteria

The NSW EPA (2014) *Waste Classification Guidelines* set out a six-step process for classifying waste. The following sets out the classification steps for the soil material:

Step 1: Is the waste 'special waste'? NO.

Step 2: Is the waste 'liquid waste'? NO.

Step 3: Is the waste 'pre-classified'? NO.

Step 4: Does the waste possess hazardous characteristics? NO.

Step 5: Determining a waste's classification using chemical assessment. Tables comparing analysed results to appropriate waste classification guidelines are contained in **Appendix B**. Waste Classification based on the analytical results is presented in **Section 7**.

Step 6: Is the waste putrescible? NO.

Adopted screening criteria values for relevant contaminants analysed are included in **Appendix B**.



80020079_SueSavageReserve_IndicativeWasteClassification 5 9 April 2020



7 Conclusions and Recommendations

Analytical results of were compared to the criteria detailed in the NSW EPA's *Waste Classification Guidelines* (2014). Analytical summary tables are presented in **Attachment B**. The results of the waste classification are discussed below:

Soil material encountered from the soil surface to approximately 0.6 mBGL could generally be described as Brown Coarse-Grained Clayey Soil with trace rocks/bitumen overlying Sandy CLAY, Medium plasticity, fine – medium grained.

Based on the background review, site observation and laboratory analysis of the materials from the Site soils described in **Table 4-1**, encountered between the soil surface to a maximum depth of 0.6 mBGL are classified as *General Solid Waste (non-putrescible)* due to:

- > Analytes being \leq CT1 values for General Solid Waste (GSW); and
- > Asbestos results reported as less than LOR (Not-detected).

However, as the sampling densities were not in accordance with the appropriate guidelines this waste classification report is indicative of the Site area and additional sampling and analysis is required prior to the removal of material from the site.

Should you have any queries with the report, please do not hesitate to contact Joel Griffiths on (02) 9494 7873

Yours sincerely,

Michael Koerner Environmental Scientist Cardno (NSW/ACT)

Appendices

Appendix A – Figures Appendix B – Waste Classification Results Appendix C – Laboratory Reports

Limitations

This report does not provide a complete assessment of the environmental status of the site, and is limited to the scope defined above. The extent of soil sampling and laboratory analysis has been designed to address the project scope only and may not identify contamination occurring in other areas, or occurring after sampling was conducted.

The current assessment is focussed on the determination of the contamination status for offsite disposable. The report does not constitute an 'Environmental Site Assessment' as set out in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999 (NEPM), nor a 'Site Audit Statement' under the meaning set out in the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997. No hydrogeological or groundwater assessment has been undertaken, and no geotechnical advice made or implied.

Robert Campbell Senior Environmental Scientist Cardno (NSW/ACT) 80020079_SueSavageReserve_IndicativeWasteClassification 6 9 April 2020



Appendix A – Figures



80020079_SueSavageReserve_IndicativeWasteClassification 7 9 April 2020



Appendix B – Waste Classification Results



I												
			NSW 2014 General	NSW 2014 General Solid								
			Solid Waste CT1 (No	Waste SCC1 (with	Indicative Waste Classification - Sue Savage Reserve							
Chemistry Name		LOR	Leaching)	leached)								
					BH01-0.3	BH01-1.4	BH02-0.6	BH02-2.6	BH03-0.4	BH03-3.2	BH04-0.5	BH05-0.5
Benzene	mg/kg	0.1	10	18	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	<0.1	<0.1
Toluene	mg/kg	0.1	288	518	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	<0.1	<0.1
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	600	1,080	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	<0.1	<0.1
Xylene Total	mg/kg	0.3	1,000	1,800	<0.3	-	<0.3	-	<0.3	-	<0.3	<0.3
C6 - C9	mg/kg	20	650	650	<20	-	<20	-	<20	-	<20	<20
+C10 - C36 (Sum of total)	mg/kg	50	10,000	10,000	<50	-	<50	-	<50	-	<50	<50
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.5	0.8	10	<0.5	-	<0.5	-	<0.5	-	<0.5	<0.5
PAHs (Sum of total)	mg/kg	0.5	200	-	<0.5	-	<0.5	-	<0.5	-	<0.5	1.2
Asbestos Reported Result	Comment	-	Presence (D) / Absense (ND)	Presence (D) / Absense (ND)	ND	-	ND	-	ND	-	ND	ND
Arsenic	mg/kg	2	100	500	11	-	5.1	-	12	-	11	16
Cadmium	mg/kg	0.4	20	100	0.4	-	<0.4	-	<0.4	-	<0.4	<0.4
Chromium (III+VI)	mg/kg	5	100	1,900	22	-	14	-	21	-	19	25
Lead	mg/kg	5	100	1,500	49	-	9.0	-	35	-	25	36
Mercury	mg/kg	0.1	4	50	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	<0.1	<0.1
Nickel	mg/kg	5	40	1,050	16	-	35	-	22	-	14	18
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	0.05	60	108	<0.05	-	<0.05	-	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	0.05	60	108	< 0.05	-	<0.05	-	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
Endosulfan sulphate	mg/kg	0.05	60	108	< 0.05	-	<0.05	-	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
Scheduled Chemicals	mg/kg	0.05	<50	<50	ND	-	ND	-	ND	-	ND	ND
Chlorpyrifos	mg/kg	0.2	4	7.5	<0.2	-	<0.2	-	<0.2	-	<0.2	<0.2
PCBs (Sum of total)	mg/kg	0.5	50	50	<0.5	-	<0.5	-	<0.5	-	<0.5	<0.5
Resistivity	OHM.M	0.5	-	-	-	210	-	-	-	100	-	-
pH (Field)	pH Unit	0.1	-	-	-	5.6	-	6.8	-	5.7	-	-
pH (Fox)	pH Unit	0.1	-	-	-	4.7	-	5.7	-	5.0	-	-
Reaction Ratings			-	-	-	2.0	-	3.0	-	2.0	-	-

* Reaction rate of samples to peroxide were:

1.0 - No reaction to slight reaction

2.0 - Moderate reaction

3.0 - Strong reaction with persistent froth

4.0 - Extreme reaction

Exceeds NSW 2014 General Solid Waste CT1 (No Leaching)

Exceeds NSW 2014 General Solid Waste SCC1 (with leached)

LOR: Limit of Reporting

- Not Analysed/Not Calculated

mg/kg: milligram/kilogram

mg/L: milligram/Litre

µg/L: microgram/Litre

Sue Savage Reserve Toongabbie, NSW 2146 City of Parramatta

80020079_SueSavageReserve_IndicativeWasteClassification 8 9 April 2020



Appendix C – Laboratory Reports
HAIN OF CUSTOD' Eurofins mgt ABN 50 005 0	Y RECORD	Uni 02	dney La it F3 Bld.F 9900 840	F 16 Mars F	load Lane (SampleNS)	Cove West N N@eurofins.	NSW 2066 .com	Dirit 1	ane Laborat 21 Smallwood 02 4600 Envi	ory Place Murarrie roSampleQLC	Perth Laboratory Unit 2 91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 QLD@eurofins.com 08 9251 9600 EnviroSampleWA@eurofins.com									Melbe 2 Kinc 03 85	ourne L gston Toi 64 5000	Laboratory wn Close Oakleigh VIC 3 EnviroSampleVic@eu	166 rofins.com
Cardno		Project N	80020	079				Projec	t Manager	Alex (happle	-			Sam	pler(s)		Alex (happl	e, Jeff	Lin		
L9 The Forum, 203 Pacific Hig	hway, St Leonards, NSW,	Project Na	ame	Sue S	avage R	eserve			EDD ESdat	Format EQuIS etc					ŀ	landed	l over l	er by Jeff Lin					
2065		iterad".	(ivity)												E	mail fo	or Invoi	ce	alexar	ider.ch	apple	@cardno.com.au	
Alex Chapple		fotal" or "F	ity, resist												E	mail fo	r Resu	lts	alexar	ider.ch	apple	@cardno.com.au	
(+61) 434000761		es e specity " attract SU	conductiv		(%)											Chan	C ge contait	Contain ner type å	ers size it ni	ncessary		Required Turna Default will be	round Time (TA 5 days if not ticked.
Page 1 of 2		Analys requested please e must be used to	04, Chloride, c	Ht/pHfox	(weight/weigh	87	B15											SS	e	8	Guidelines)	 □ Overnight (rep □ Same day ● 	 Surcharge will apporting by 9am) □ 1 day
80020079		metals are SUITE cod	(pH, S(đ	sbestos										Plastic	Plastic	Plastic	ther Gla	AS Bott	or HDP	1964, WA	□ 2 days ◆	□ 3 days ◆
780903CDNA		Where	r Soil Set		A	-									SOOML	250mL	125mL	OmL An	DomL PF	ar (Glass	estos AS4	Other(
Client Sample ID	Sampled Date/Time dd/mm/yy hhmm	Matrix Solid (S) Water (W)	Aggressivity	+														20	5		Other (Asb	Sample / Dangerous Goo	Comments ods Hazard Warr
BH01 - 0.3	23/03/20	S			1	1	1													1	1		
BH01-0.4	23/03/20	s																			1		
BH01-1.1	23/03/20	S															No.	and and		1			
BH01-1.4	23/03/20	s	1	1															and	1	1		
BH02- 0.3	23/03/20	s																100		1	1		
BH02 - 0.6	23/03/20	s			1	1	1													1	1		
BH02 - 1.6	23/03/20	S				N. N.														1	1		
BH02 - 2.6	23/03/20	S		1																	1		
BH02- 3.6	23/03/20	s				-														1			
BH03 - 0.4	23/03/20	s			1	• 1	1									Contraction of the second			and the second se	1	1		
	Total (Counts	1	2	3	3	3				1	1								8	8		
Courier (#) 🗹 Ha	nd Delivered		D Po	stal	Na	ame		Jeff Lin		Sign	ature			100	D	ate		2	3/03		Time	
	Image: ABN OF CUSTOD: Eurodina mgt ABN 50 005 0 Cardno 1.9 The Forum, 203 Pacific Hig 2065 Alex Chapple (+61) 434000761 Page 1 of 2 80020079 780903CDNA Client Sample ID BH01- 0.3 BH01-0.4 BH01-1.1 BH01-1.4 BH02- 0.3 BH02- 0.5 BH02- 1.6 BH02- 3.6 BH03- 0.4	Image: All No CF custopy Records Cardno Cardno L9 The Forum, 203 Pacific Highway, St Leonards, NSW, 2065 Alex Chapple (+61) 434000761 Page 1 of 2 80020079 780903CDNA Client Sample ID BH01 - 0.3 23/03/20 BH01 - 0.4 23/03/20 BH01 - 1.4 23/03/20 BH02 - 0.6 23/03/20 BH02 - 0.6 23/03/20 BH02 - 1.6 23/03/20 BH02 - 3.6 23/03/20 BH03 - 0.4 23/03/20	AIN OF CUSTODY RECORD Eurofine (mgt ABN 50 005 085 521) Project NO Cardno Project NI L9 The Forum, 203 Pacific Highway, St Leonards, NSW, 2065 Project NI Alex Chapple (+61) 434000761 (+61) 434000761 90 Project NI Page 1 of 2 90 Project NI 80020079 8 780903CDNA Sampled Date/Time BH01 - 0.3 23/03/20 S BH01 - 0.4 23/03/20 S BH01 - 0.3 23/03/20 S BH02 - 0.5 23/03/20 S BH02 - 0.6 23/03/20 S BH02 - 1.6 23/03/20 S BH02 - 3.5 23/03/20 S BH02 - 3.5 23/03/20 S BH03 - 0.4 23/03/20 S<	Sydney Li Sydney Li Cardno Project Name L9 The Forum, 203 Pacific Highway, St Leonards, NSW, 2065 Project Name Alex Chapple Image and the second	Lain of custop records and the second of	AINN OF CUSTOOY RECORD Stydney Laboratory Unt 73 Bids 16 Mars Road Land 400 000000000000000000000000000000000	HAIN OF CUISTODY RECORD During (mg/ ABN 50 000 000521) Synce Laboratory User 5 Bab 7 5 Mars Read Lab Core Weat 2000 20079 Sum Sum 2000 000 2000 000 00000000000000000000	Image: ADIM OF CUSTODY RECORD	AIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	HAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD Darks 1994 M315 0005 065 521 Sydney Laboration Converted M3150005000 20000 M30 Description Converted M315000500000000000000000000000000000000	ALN OF CUSTODY RECORD DP Prove Laboratory DP Prove Laborator	HAIN OF CUSTODY RECOND Driver Lawreitory <	HAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD Project Name Project Name Project Name Distance neuronal control operations Distance neuronal control operations Cardino Project Name 60020079 Project Name Subscripter Neuronal control operations Reference Neuronal co	AIN OF CUSTODY RECORD Darde rg/ All 50 c6 de 531 Cardino Project No Surgitudi contractione contractine contractione contracting contractione contra	Cancel long All Statis 0.03 GE ST Dip long Locatority Ulti Statis 0.03 GE ST Dip long Locatis 0.03 GE ST Dip lo	AIN OF CUISTODY RECOND During MUSICIO SECTION During MUSICIO SECTION During MUSICIO SECTION During MUSICIO SECTION During MUSICIO SECTION CONTROL MUSICIO SECTION DURING MUSICIO DURING MUSICI DURING MUSICIO DURING MUSICIO DURING MUSICIO DURING MUSICIO DURI	AIN OF CUSTODY RECON Providence Pro	AIN OF CUISTOPY RECOND Different with Michael Law order with Michael	AIN OF CUSTOPY RECORD	HAIN OF CUSTOPY RECON Looking will be observed will be	HAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD Braining ARRENT RECORD Braining ARRENT RECORD Distrikt Autoring Distrikt Autoring Distrikt Distrikt Autoring Distrikt Distrikt Autoring Distrikt Distrikt Autoring Distrikt Distrikt Autoring Distrikt Distri Distri Distrikt Distrikt Distrikt Distrikt Distrikt	AIN OF CUSTOPY RECOND Drafting AND DRECOP Project Landreg Drafting AND DRECOP Project Landreg Drafting AND DRECOP Draft Landreg Drafting AND DRECOP	AIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Eurofins Environment Testing Australia Pty Ltd trading as Eurofins | mgt

Submission of samples to the laboratory will be deemed as acceptance of Eurofins | mgt Standard Terms and Conditions unless agreed otherwise. A copy of Eurofins | mgt Standard Terms and Conditions is available on request.

3	CHAIN OF CUSTO Eurofins mgt ABN 50 0	DY RECORD	Unit F3 BI	Laboratory d.F 16 Mars 400 Envir	y Road Lane (oSampleNS)	Cove West N N@eurofins.	ISW 2066	Drisbane Unit 1 21 S 07 3902 46	Laboratory mallwood Place Muram 00 EnviroSampleQLI	ramie QLD 4172 Unit 2 91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 QLD@eurofins.com 08 9251 9600 EnviroSampleWA@eurofins.com							Melbourne Laboratory Xingston Town Close Oakleigh VIC 3166 03 8564 5000 EnviroSampleVic@eurofins.com				xom
Company	Cardno		Project №	8002	0079				Project Manager	Alex Chapple	See See	State 2		Sample	er(s)	A	lex Ch	happle	e, Jeff I	Lin	
	L9 The Forum, 203 Pacific	Highway, St Leonards, NSW,	Project Name	Sue S	Savage R	eserve			EDD Format ESdat, EQuIS etc				На	nded o	over by	J	eff Lin	•			
Address	2065		inters.										Em	ail for	Invoice	a	lexand	der.ch	apple	@cardno.com.au	
Contact Name	Alex Chapple		otal" or "F ITE pricing										Em	nail for f	Results	a	lexand	der.ch	apple	@cardno.com.au	
Phone №	(+61) 434000761		S specify 'T attract SUI		(%		al and							Change	Co container	ntainer type & si	S ze il nec	cessary,		Required Turnaround Default will be 5 days i	d Time (TAT) if not ticked.
Special Directio	ns Page 2 of 2		Analyse requested. please e must be used to 04. Chloride, o	Ht/pHfox	(weight/weight	87	B15									2	le	8	Guidelines)	+Su ○ Overnight (reporting ○ Same day ◆	urcharge will apply g by 9am)♦ 1 day♦
Purchase Orde	er 80020079		mutals are suffered (pH, SC	a	sbestos								Plastic	Plastic	Plastic	OA vial	AS Bott	or HDP	1964, WA	□ 2 days ◆ □ ✓ 5 days (Standard)	3 days♦
Quote ID №	780903CDNA		Where Soil Set		A								SoomL	250mL	125mL	40mL V	OmL PF	r (Glass	estos AS4	Other(1
N₽	Client Sample ID	Sampled Date/Time dd/mol/y hhtmm	Matrix Sold (S) Water (W)												5	5	8	P	Other (Asb	Sample Comr / Dangerous Goods Ha	ments azard Warning
1	BH03 - 1.6	23/03/20	S					A Pa										1	1		
2	BH03 - 1.9	23/03/20	S											N. N.	manual			1			
3	BH03 - 3.2	23/03/20	S 1	1													No.	1	1		
4	BH04 • 0.5	23/03/20	S		1	1	1											1	1		1.1
5	BH05 - 0.5	23/03/20	S		1	1	1											1	1		
5						1									- A			1	1		
1														No.				E State			
8			and the second																		
9							-												and the second		
10																	No.				
		Total C	Counts 1	1	2	2	2							A STATE				6	5		
Method of Shipment	Courier (#) 🗹 Har	nd Delivered	P	ostal	Na	ame			Signature		6/1		Dat	te			-		Time	
Eurofins	mgt Received By	ligarne f	and	BNE ME	EL PER	ADL NT	LIDRW	Signature	Alex	P	Date	EBRO	220) Tim	18	1	2:	20	P	Papert No.	1850
	Received By		SYD	BNE I ME	EL PER	ADL NT	LIDRW	Signature			Date			140	B	C. Hall				Reportie	1005

Eurofins Environment Testing Australia Pty Ltd trading as Eurofins | mgt

Submission of samples to the laboratory will be deemed as acceptance of Eurofins | mgt Standard Terms and Conditions unless agreed otherwise. A copy of Eurofins | mgt Standard Terms and Conditions is available on request.



Environment TestingMelbourne
6 Monterey Road
Dandenong South Vic 3175 16 Mars RoadSydney
Unit F3, Building F
16 Mars RoadBrisbane
1/21 Smallwood Place
Murarie QLD 4172
Phone : +61 3 8564 5000
NATA # 1261
Site # 1254 & 14271Brisbane
Lane Cove West NSW 2066
Phone : +61 2 9900 8400
NATA # 1261 Site # 18217

Perth 2/91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 Phone : +61 8 9251 9600 NATA # 1261 Site # 23736

ABN - 50 005 085 521

e.mail : EnviroSales@eurofins.com

web : www.eurofins.com.au

Sample Receipt Advice

Company name: Cardno (NSW/ACT) Pty Ltd Contact name: Alex Chapple SUE SAVAGE RESERVE Project name: Project ID: 80020079 COC number: Not provided Turn around time: 5 Day Mar 25, 2020 12:22 PM Date/Time received: Eurofins reference: 710030

Sample information

- \mathbf{V} A detailed list of analytes logged into our LIMS, is included in the attached summary table.
- All samples have been received as described on the above COC.
- $\mathbf{\nabla}$ COC has been completed correctly.
- Attempt to chill was evident.
- Appropriately preserved sample containers have been used.
- All samples were received in good condition.
- Samples have been provided with adequate time to commence analysis in accordance with the relevant holding times.
- Appropriate sample containers have been used.
- \times Split sample sent to requested external lab.
- \times Some samples have been subcontracted.
- Custody Seals intact (if used). N/A

Notes

Sample; BH01-0.4 not received - analysis cancelled.

Contact notes

If you have any questions with respect to these samples please contact:

Ursula Long on Phone : or by e.mail: UrsulaLong@eurofins.com

Results will be delivered electronically via e.mail to Alex Chapple - alexander.chapple@cardno.com.au.

	fine				Austra	lia									New Zealand	
ABN - 50 005 085 521	web : www.eurofin	Enviro	nment Te ail : EnviroSales@eur	esting rofins.com	Melbour Monter Dandenc Phone : NATA # Site # 12	ne rey Road ong Sout +61 3 85 1261 254 & 14	d th VIC 3 564 500	3175 10	Sydney Unit F3, 16 Mars Lane Co Phone : NATA #	/ , Buildin s Road ove We : +61 2 # 1261 {	ng F 95t NSW 9900 84 Site # 11	/ 2066 400 8217	Brisbane 1/21 Smallwood Place Murarrie QLD 4172 Phone: - k61 7 3902 4600 NATA # 1261 Site # 20794	Perth 2/91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 Phone : +61 8 9251 9600 NATA # 1261 Site # 23736	Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 IANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 76 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Company Name: Address:	Cardno (NS ⁾ Level 9, 203 St Leonards NSW 2065	W/ACT) Pty Lt Pacific Highw	td vay			O Re Pl Fa	rder I eport hone: ax:	No.: #:	7 C C	71003)2949)2 949	10 16770(99 39())2		Received: Due: Priority: Contact Name:	Mar 25, 2020 12:22 Apr 1, 2020 5 Day Alex Chapple	2 PM
Project Name: Project ID:	SUE SAVAG 80020079	GE RESERVE												Eurofins Analytica	I Services Manager : l	Jrsula Long
	Sa	Imple Detail			Asbestos - WA guidelines	CANCELLED	HOLD	Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test	Eurofins mgt Suite B15	Aggressivity Soil Set	Moisture Set	Eurofins mgt Suite B7				
Melbourne Laborate	ory - NATA Site	# 1254 & 142	271							х						
Sydney Laboratory	- NATA Site # 1	8217			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х				
Brisbane Laborator	y - NATA Site #	20794									\square					
Perth Laboratory - I	NATA Site # 237	736							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		_			
External Laboratory	/	1	1						<u> </u>	—	<u> </u>		4			
No Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampling Time	Matrix	LAB ID												
BH01-0.3	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39212	Х				Х		Х	Х				
2 BH01-1.4	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39213	1			Х	\vdash	Х	X		1			
BH02-0.6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39214	Х				Х	\perp	X	Х				
4 BH02-2.6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39215	1			Х	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1			
5 BH03-0.4	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39216	X		 	 	X	_	X	Х	4			
6 BH03-3.2	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39217	1		 	Х	_	X	X		4			
7 BH04-0.5	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39218	X				X	_	X	Х	4			
3 BH05-0.5	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39219	X		-	-	X	—	X	Х	4			
9 BH01-1.1	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39220	-		Х	-	—	—	<u> </u>		4			
10 BH02-0.3	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39221			Х									

	🔅 eurofins 👔															New Zealand	
ABN -	ABN - 50 005 085 521 web : www.eurofins.com.au e.mail : EnviroSales@eurofins.com							d th VIC 3 564 500	3175 0	Sydney Unit F3 16 Mar Lane C Phone NATA #	/ , Buildir s Road ove We : +61 2 # 1261 ;	ng F est NSW 9900 84 Site # 18	2066 00 3217	Brisbane 1/21 Smallwood Place Murarrie QLD 4172 Phone : +61 7 3902 4600 NATA # 1261 Site # 20794	Perth 2/91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 Phone : +61 8 9251 9600 NATA # 1261 Site # 23736	Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone: +64 9 526 45 51 IANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 76 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Co Ao	ompany Name: Idress:	Cardno (NS Level 9, 203 St Leonards NSW 2065	W/ACT) Pty Lto 3 Pacific Highwa 3	d ay			O R Pl	rder I eport hone: ax:	No.: #:	7 () ()	71003)2949)2 949	0 6770(99 39())2		Received: Due: Priority: Contact Name:	Mar 25, 2020 12:22 Apr 1, 2020 5 Day Alex Chapple	PM
Project Name:SUE SAVAGE RESERVEProject ID:80020079															Eurofins Analytica	l Services Manager : L	Irsula Long
		Sa	ample Detail			Asbestos - WA guidelines	CANCELLED	HOLD	Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test	Eurofins mgt Suite B15	Aggressivity Soil Set	Moisture Set	Eurofins mgt Suite B7				
Mel	oourne Laborato	ry - NATA Site	# 1254 & 142	71						_	X						
Syd	ney Laboratory -	NATA Site # '	18217			X	X	X	X	X	<u> </u>	X	X				
Bris	bane Laboratory	/ - NATA Site #	# 20794 700			-					─						
Pert	n Laboratory - N	Mar 22, 2020	/ 30	Sail	COO Ma20200	-				+	+			•			
11	BH02-1.6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	520-Ma39222	-					+			•			
12	BH03-1 6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-IVI239223	-					+						
13	BH03-1.0	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39224		-	x x		-	<u> </u>						
15	BH01-0.4	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39225		×			1	+			-			
Tes	t Counts	11101 20, 2020	1		020 10000220	5	1	6	3	5	2	7	5				



Certificate of Analysis

Cardno (NSW/ACT) Pty Ltd Level 9, 203 Pacific Highway St Leonards **NSW 2065**



Environment Testing

NATA Accredited Accreditation Number 1261 Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025–Testing The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

Attention: Report Project Name Project ID Received Date Date Reported	Alex Chapple 710030-AID SUE SAVAGE RESERVE 80020079 Mar 25, 2020 Apr 01, 2020
Methodology: Asbestos Fibre Identification	Conducted in accordance with the Australian Standard AS 4964 – 2004: Method for the Qualitative Identification of Asbestos in Bulk Samples and in-house Method LTM-ASB-8020 by polarised light microscopy (PLM) and dispersion staining (DS) techniques. NOTE: Positive Trace Analysis results indicate the sample contains detectable respirable fibres.
Unknown Mineral Fibres	Mineral fibres of unknown type, as determined by PLM with DS, may require another analytical technique, such as Electron Microscopy, to confirm unequivocal identity. NOTE: While Actinolite, Anthophyllite and Tremolite asbestos may be detected by PLM with DS, due to variability in the optical properties of these materials, AS4964 requires that these are reported as UMF unless confirmed by an independent technique.
Subsampling Soil Samples	The whole sample submitted is first dried and then passed through a 10mm sieve followed by a 2mm sieve. All fibrous matter greater than 10mm, greater than 2mm as well as the material passing through the 2mm sieve are retained and analysed for the presence of asbestos. If the sub 2mm fraction is greater than approximately 30 to 60g then a sub-sampling routine based on ISO 3082:2009(E) is employed. NOTE: Depending on the nature and size of the soil sample, the sub-2 mm residue material may need to be sub-sampled for trace analysis, in accordance with AS 4964-2004.
Bonded asbestos- containing material (ACM)	The material is first examined and any fibres isolated for identification by PLM and DS. Where required, interfering matrices may be removed by disintegration using a range of heat, chemical or physical treatments, possibly in combination. The resultant material is then further examined in accordance with AS 4964 - 2004. NOTE: Even after disintegration it may be difficult to detect the presence of asbestos in some asbestos-containing bulk materials using PLM and DS. This is due to the low grade or small length or diameter of the asbestos fibres present in the material, or to the fact that very fine fibres have been distributed intimately throughout the materials. Vinyl/asbestos floor tiles, some asbestos-containing sealants and mastics, asbestos-containing epoxy resins and some ore samples are examples of these types of material, which are difficult to analyse.
Limit of Reporting	The performance limitation of the AS 4964 (2004) method for non-homogeneous samples is around 0.1 g/kg (equivalent to 0.01% (w/w)). Where no asbestos is found by PLM and DS, including Trace Analysis, this is considered to be at the nominal reporting limit of 0.01% (w/w). The NEPM screening level of 0.001% (w/w) is intended as an on-site determination, not a laboratory Limit of Reporting (LOR), per se. Examination of a large sample size (e.g. 500 mL) may improve the likelihood of detecting asbestos, particularly AF, to aid assessment against the NEPM criteria. Gravimetric determinations to this level of accuracy are outside of AS 4964 and hence NATA Accreditation does not cover the performance of this service (non-NATA results shown with an asterisk). NOTE: NATA News March 2014, p.7, states in relation to AS 4964: "This is a qualitative method with a nominal reporting limit of 0.01 % " and that currently in Australia "there is no validated method available for the quantification of asbestos". This report is consistent with the analytical procedures and reporting recommendations in the NEPM and the WA DoH.





Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025–Testing The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

Project Name	SUE SAVAGE RESERVE
Project ID	80020079
Date Sampled	Mar 23, 2020
Report	710030-AID

Client Sample ID	Eurofins Sample No.	Date Sampled	Sample Description	Result
BH01-0.3	20-Ma39212	Mar 23, 2020	Approximate Sample 617g Sample consisted of: Brown coarse-grained clayey soil and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH02-0.6	20-Ma39214	Mar 23, 2020	Approximate Sample 357g Sample consisted of: Brown coarse-grained clayey soil, bitumen and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH03-0.4	20-Ma39216	Mar 23, 2020	Approximate Sample 603g Sample consisted of: Brown coarse-grained clayey soil and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH04-0.5	20-Ma39218	Mar 23, 2020	Approximate Sample 405g Sample consisted of: Brown coarse-grained clayey soil and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH05-0.5	20-Ma39219	Mar 23, 2020	Approximate Sample 456g Sample consisted of: Brown coarse-grained clayey soil and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.



Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported. A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description

Asbestos - LTM-ASB-8020

Testing SiteExtractedHolding TimeSydneyMar 25, 2020Indefinite

	AUKO	fine	A	ustral	ia									New Zealand			
ABN - 50	0 005 085 521	esting P ofins.com S	Ielbourn Montere andeno hone : + ATA # 1 ite # 12	ne ey Road ng South 61 3 85 261 261 54 & 142	n VIC 3 64 5000 271	175 0	Sydney Unit F3 16 Mars Lane C Phone : NATA #	Buildin s Road ove We : +61 2 f 1261 \$	ig F st NSW 9900 84 Site # 18	2066 00 217	Brisbane 1/21 Smallwood Place Murarrie QLD 4172 Phone : +61 7 3902 4600 NATA # 1261 Site # 20794	Perth 2/91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 Phone : +61 8 9251 9600 NATA # 1261 Site # 23736	Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 IANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290			
Com Addr	ipany Name: ress:			Or Re Ph Fa	der N eport ione: ix:	lo.: #:	7 0 0	'1003)2949)2 94§	0 67700 99 390	2		Received: Due: Priority: Contact Name:	Mar 25, 2020 12:22 Apr 1, 2020 5 Day Alex Chapple	PM			
Proje Proje	ect Name: ect ID:	SUE SAVAG 80020079	E RESERVE												Eurofins Analytica	I Services Manager : U	Irsula Long
Sample Detail							CANCELLED	HOLD	Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test	Eurofins mgt Suite B15	Aggressivity Soil Set	Moisture Set	Eurofins mgt Suite B7				
Melbo	urne Laborato	ory - NATA Site	# 1254 & 142	271							 			-			
Sydne	y Laboratory	- NATA Site # 1	8217			X	Х	Х	X	X	X	X	Х	-			
Brisba	ane Laborator	y - NATA Site #	20794								<u> </u>			-			
Perth	Laboratory - M	NATA Site # 237	36											-			
No	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampling Time	Matrix	LAB ID									-			
1 E	3H01-0.3	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39212	Х				Х		Х	х				
2 E	3H01-1.4	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39213				Х		х	х					
3 E	3H02-0.6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39214	х				х		х	Х				
4 E	3H02-2.6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39215				Х								
5 E	3H03-0.4	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39216	Х				Х		Х	Х	4			
6 E	3H03-3.2	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39217				Х		X	X		-			
7 E	3H04-0.5	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39218	Х				Х	<u> </u>	X	Х	-			
8 E	3H05-0.5	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39219	Х				Х	<u> </u>	X	Х	-			
9 E	3H01-1.1	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39220			Х			<u> </u>			4			
10 E	3H02-0.3	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39221			Х]			

- OUKO	A	ustra	lia									New Zealand				
SN - 50 005 085 521	web : www.eurofin	Enviror ns.com.au e.mai	Iment Te	sting fins.com	lelbour Monter andenc hone : IATA # ite # 12	rne rey Road ong Sou +61 3 8 1261 254 & 14	d th VIC 3 564 500 1271	8175 0	Sydney Unit F3 16 Mar Lane C Phone NATA #	/ , Buildir s Road ove We : +61 2 # 1261 ;	ng F est NSW 9900 84 Site # 18	2066 00 217	Brisbane 1/21 Smallwood Place Murarrie QLD 4172 Phone : +61 7 3902 4600 NATA # 1261 Site # 20794	Perth 2/91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 Phone : +61 8 9251 9600 NATA # 1261 Site # 23736	Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 IANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 767 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Company Name: Address:	Cardno (NS) Level 9, 203 St Leonards NSW 2065	W/ACT) Pty Lto Pacific Highwa	d ay			O R P Fa	rder I eport hone: ax:	No.: #:		71003)2949)2 94!	0 6770(99 39())2		Received: Due: Priority: Contact Name:	Mar 25, 2020 12:22 Apr 1, 2020 5 Day Alex Chapple	PM
Project Name:SUE SAVAGE RESERVEProject ID:80020079														Eurofins Analytica	I Services Manager : U	Irsula Long
	Sa	Imple Detail			Asbestos - WA guidelines	CANCELLED	HOLD	Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test	Eurofins mgt Suite B15	Aggressivity Soil Set	Moisture Set	Eurofins mgt Suite B7				
lelbourne Laborato	ory - NATA Site	# 1254 & 142	71							<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
Sydney Laboratory	- NATA Site # 1	8217			X	X	X	X	X	X		X				
Porth Laboratory	/ - NAIA Site #	20794 736								+	+		•			
1 BH02-1.6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39222			x			<u>+</u>	+					
2 BH02-3.6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39223			X			<u> </u>	+					
3 BH03-1.6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39224			X			<u>†</u>	1					
4 BH03-1.9	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39225			Х						1			
5 BH01-0.4	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39226		Х]			
est Counts					5	1	6	3	5	2	7	5				



Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

1. QC data may be available on request.

- 2. All soil results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
- 3. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
- 4. Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results.
- 5. This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the Sample Receipt Advice.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

Units

% w/w: weight for weight b	pasis	grams per kilogram
Filter loading:		fibres/100 graticule areas
Reported Concentration:		fibres/mL
Flowrate:		L/min
Terms		
Dry	Sample is dried by heating prior to analysis	
LOR	Limit of Reporting	
COC	Chain of Custody	
SRA	Sample Receipt Advice	
ISO	International Standards Organisation	
AS	Australian Standards	
WA DOH	Reference document for the NEPM. Government of Western Austr Sites in Western Australia (2009), including supporting document F	alia, Guidelines for the Assessment, Remediation and Management of Asbestos-Contaminated Recommended Procedures for Laboratory Analysis of Asbestos in Soil (2011)
NEPM	National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination	on) Measure, 2013 (as amended)
ACM	Asbestos Containing Materials. Asbestos contained within a non-a NEPM, ACM is generally restricted to those materials that do not p	sbestos matrix, typically presented in bonded and/or sound condition. For the purposes of the ass a 7mm x 7mm sieve.
AF	Asbestos Fines. Asbestos containing materials, including friable, w equivalent to "non-bonded / friable".	eathered and bonded materials, able to pass a 7mm x 7mm sieve. Considered under the NEPM as
FA	Fibrous Asbestos. Asbestos containing materials in a friable and/or materials that do not pass a 7mm x 7mm sieve.	r severely weathered condition. For the purposes of the NEPM, FA is generally restricted to those
Friable	Asbestos-containing materials of any size that may be broken or co outside of the laboratory's remit to assess degree of friability.	rumbled by hand pressure. For the purposes of the NEPM, this includes both AF and FA. It is
Trace Analysis	Analytical procedure used to detect the presence of respirable fibre	as in the matrix.



Comments

S20-Ma39214,S20-Ma39218, S20-Ma39219: Samples received were less than the nominal 500mL as recommended in Section 4.10 of the NEPM Schedule B1 - Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater.

Sample Integrity	
Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

Qualifier Codes/Comments

Code Description N/A Not applicable

Asbestos Counter/Identifier:

Laxman Dias

Senior Analyst-Asbestos (NSW)

Authorised by:

Sayeed Abu

Senior Analyst-Asbestos (NSW)

Glenn Jackson General Manager

Final Report - this report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please click here.

Eurofins shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In or case shall Eurofins be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and to be trobuction attring from the sequence as received.



Cardno (NSW/ACT) Pty Ltd Level 9, 203 Pacific Highway St Leonards NSW 2065





NATA Accredited Accreditation Number 1261 Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

Alex Chapple

Report Project name Project ID Received Date **710030-S** SUE SAVAGE RESERVE 80020079 Mar 25, 2020

Client Sample ID			BH01-0.3	BH01-1.4	BH02-0.6	BH02-2.6	
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	
Eurofins Sample No.			S20-Ma39212	S20-Ma39213	S20-Ma39214	S20-Ma39215	
Date Sampled			Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit					
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fract	ions						
TRH C6-C9	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	-	
TRH C10-C14	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	-	
TRH C15-C28	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	-	
TRH C29-C36	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	-	
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	-	
BTEX							
Benzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	-	
Toluene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	-	
Ethylbenzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	-	
m&p-Xylenes	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-	
o-Xylene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	-	
Xylenes - Total*	0.3	mg/kg	< 0.3	-	< 0.3	-	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	86	-	105	-	
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fract	ions						
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-	
TRH C6-C10	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	-	
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	-	
TRH >C10-C16	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	-	
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	-	
TRH >C16-C34	100	mg/kg	< 100	-	< 100	-	
TRH >C34-C40	100	mg/kg	< 100	-	< 100	-	
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	100	mg/kg	< 100	-	< 100	-	
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons							
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-	
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	0.6	-	0.6	-	
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	1.2	-	1.2	-	
Acenaphthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-	
Acenaphthylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-	
Anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-	
Benz(a)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-	
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07}	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-	
Chrysene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-	



Client Sample ID			BH01-0.3	BH01-1.4	BH02-0.6	BH02-2.6
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S20-Ma39212	S20-Ma39213	S20-Ma39214	S20-Ma39215
Date Sampled			Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020
		1.1	Wal 23, 2020	Wai 23, 2020	Wai 23, 2020	Wai 23, 2020
Pelvevelie Aremetie Hudroserhene	LUR	Unit				
	0.5		0.5		0.5	
	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
Fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
Naphthalene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
Prenanthrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
2-Fluorobiphenyl (sur.)	1	70 0/	117	-	93	-
p-Terphenyi-d14 (sull.)		70	110	-	91	-
Organochiorine Pesticides	0.4		0.1		0.1	
	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
D-BHC	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
Endosultan sulphate	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
Endrin	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
Endrin kotono	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
Heptachlor enovide	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	_	< 0.05	
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
Methovychlor	0.00	mg/kg	< 0.05	_	< 0.03	
	1	mg/kg	< 0.2	_	< 0.2	_
Aldrin and Dieldrin (Total)*	0.05	ma/ka	< 0.05	_	< 0.05	_
DDT + DDE + DDD (Total)*	0.05	ma/ka	< 0.05	_	< 0.05	_
Vic EPA IWRG 621 OCP (Total)*	0.00	ma/ka	< 0.00	_	< 0.00	_
Vic EPA IWRG 621 Other OCP (Total)*	0.1	ma/ka	< 0.2	_	< 0.2	_
Dibuty/chlorendate (surr.)	1	//////////////////////////////////////	113	_	114	_
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	107	_	93	_
Organophosphorus Pesticides	•	70	101			
Azinnhos-methyl	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	_	< 0.2	_
Bolstar	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	_	< 0.2	_
Chlorfenvinphos	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	_	< 0.2	_
Chlorovrifos	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	_	< 0.2	_
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	_	< 0.2	_
Coumaphos	2	ma/ka	< 2	-	< 2	_
Demeton-S	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	_
Demeton-O	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	_
Diazinon	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Dichlorvos	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-



Client Sample ID			BH01-0.3	BH01-1.4	BH02-0.6	BH02-2.6
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S20-Ma39212	S20-Ma39213	S20-Ma39214	S20-Ma39215
Date Sampled			Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020
	LOR	Unit				
Organophosphorus Pesticides	LOIN	Onit				
Dimethoate	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	_	< 0.2	_
Disulfoton	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2		< 0.2	
EPN	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	_	< 0.2	_
Ethion	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	_	< 0.2	_
Ethoprop	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Ethyl parathion	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Fenitrothion	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Fensulfothion	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Fenthion	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Malathion	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Merphos	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Methyl parathion	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Mevinphos	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Monocrotophos	2	mg/kg	< 2	-	< 2	-
Naled	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Omethoate	2	mg/kg	< 2	-	< 2	-
Phorate	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Pirimiphos-methyl	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Pyrazophos	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Ronnel	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Terbufos	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Tetrachlorvinphos	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Tokuthion	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Trichloronate	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
Triphenylphosphate (surr.)	1	%	INT	-	133	-
Polychlorinated Biphenyls						
Aroclor-1016	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
Aroclor-1221	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	-
Aroclor-1232	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
Aroclor-1242	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
Aroclor-1248	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
Aroclor-1254	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
Aroclor-1260	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
Total PCB*	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	113	-	114	-
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	107	-	93	-
		1				
Chloride	5	mg/kg	-	89	-	-
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	10	uS/cm	-	47	-	-
pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	0.1	pH Units	-	5.6	-	-
Resistivity*	0.5	ohm.m	-	210	-	-
Sulphate (as SO4)	30	mg/kg	-	97	-	-
% Moisture	1	%	20	27	28	-
Heavy Metals		1				
Arsenic	2	mg/kg	11	-	5.1	-
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	0.4	-	< 0.4	-
Chromium	5	mg/kg	22	-	14	-
Copper	5	mg/kg	26	-	39	-
Lead	5	mg/kg	49	-	9.0	-



Client Sample ID Sample Matrix Eurofins Sample No			BH01-0.3 Soil S20-Ma39212	BH01-1.4 Soil S20-Ma39213	BH02-0.6 Soil S20-Ma39214	BH02-2.6 Soil S20-Ma39215
Date Sampled			Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 22, 2020
			Wai 23, 2020	Wai 25, 2020	Wai 23, 2020	Wai 23, 2020
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Heavy Metals						
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	-
Nickel	5	mg/kg	16	-	35	-
Zinc	5	mg/kg	120	-	39	-
Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test						
pH-F (Field pH test)*	0.1	pH Units	-	5.6	-	6.8
pH-FOX (Field pH Peroxide test)*	0.1	pH Units	-	4.7	-	5.7
Reaction Ratings* ^{S05}		comment	-	2.0	-	3.0

Client Sample ID			BH03-0 4	BH03-3.2	BH04-0.5	BH05-0.5
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S20-Ma39216	S20-Ma39217	S20-Ma39218	S20-Ma39219
Date Sampled			Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fract	ions	·				
TRH C6-C9	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	< 20
TRH C10-C14	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	< 20
TRH C15-C28	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	< 50
TRH C29-C36	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	< 50
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	< 50
BTEX						
Benzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
Toluene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
Ethylbenzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
m&p-Xylenes	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
o-Xylene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
Xylenes - Total*	0.3	mg/kg	< 0.3	-	< 0.3	< 0.3
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	113	-	116	100
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fract	ions					
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
TRH C6-C10	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	< 20
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	< 20
TRH >C10-C16	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	< 50
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	< 50
TRH >C16-C34	100	mg/kg	< 100	-	< 100	< 100
TRH >C34-C40	100	mg/kg	< 100	-	< 100	< 100
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	100	mg/kg	< 100	-	< 100	< 100
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	0.6	-	0.6	0.6
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	1.2	-	1.2	1.2
Acenaphthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Acenaphthylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benz(a)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07}	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5



Client Sample ID			BH03-0.4	BH03-3.2	BH04-0.5	BH05-0.5
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S20-Ma39216	S20-Ma39217	S20-Ma39218	S20-Ma39219
Date Sampled			Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020
Test/Deference		Linit	Mai 23, 2020	Mai 23, 2020	Mai 23, 2020	Mai 23, 2020
Polyovelia Aromatia Hydrosorbona	LUK	Unit				
	0.5		.05			.0.5
Chrysene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	0.6
	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Depenthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Prienanthrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	0.6
Dital PAH	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	1.2
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	92	-	51	69
p-representative Resticides	I	70	07	-	93	01
Organochiorine Pesticides	0.4		0.1		0.1	0.4
Chlordanes - Total	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
4.4-DDD	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
4.4-DDE	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
D-BHC	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
Endrin Endrin eldebude	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
g-BHC (Lindane)	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
Methowychlor	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
Texephone	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Addrin and Dioldrin (Total)*	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
$V_{io} = EA VWPC C = 1 VTC C = 1 VT$	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	< 0.05
Vic EPA IWRG 621 OCF (Total)	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Dibutyleblerendete (ourr.)	0.1	0/	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Totrachloro m vylopo (surr.)	1	/0 0/.	04	-	04	100
Organonhosnhorus Pasticides		/0	34	-	34	104
	0.0	mallea	.0.2		.0.2	.0.2
Azinphos-methyi	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Chlorfonvinnhos	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Chlorowifes	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Chlorowites methyl	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Coumanhas	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Demeton-S	<u> </u>	mg/kg	< 2	-	< 2	<2
	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Diazinon	0.2	ma/ka	~ 0.2		~ 0.2	~ 0.2
Diazinon	0.2	_ шу/ку	< 0.Z	-	< 0.Z	<u> </u>



Client Sample ID			BH03-0.4	BH03-3.2	BH04-0.5	BH05-0.5
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S20-Ma39216	S20-Ma39217	S20-Ma39218	S20-Ma39219
Date Sampled			Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020	Mar 23, 2020
	LOP	Linit			11121 20, 2020	
Organophosphorus Pesticides	LOR	Offic				
	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	_	< 0.2	< 0.2
Dimethoate	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2		< 0.2	< 0.2
Disulfoton	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	_	< 0.2	< 0.2
FPN	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Ethion	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Ethoprop	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Ethyl parathion	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Fenitrothion	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Fensulfothion	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Fenthion	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Malathion	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Merphos	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Methyl parathion	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Mevinphos	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Monocrotophos	2	mg/kg	< 2	-	< 2	< 2
Naled	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Omethoate	2	mg/kg	< 2	-	< 2	< 2
Phorate	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Pirimiphos-methyl	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Pyrazophos	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Ronnel	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Terbufos	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Tetrachlorvinphos	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Tokuthion	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Trichloronate	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
Triphenylphosphate (surr.)	1	%	133	-	141	93
Polychlorinated Biphenyls						
Aroclor-1016	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Aroclor-1221	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1232	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Aroclor-1242	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Aroclor-1248	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Aroclor-1254	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Aroclor-1260	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
Total PCB [*]	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
	1	%	113	-	119	100
Tetrachioro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	94	-	94	104
Chloride	5	ma/ka	-	130	-	-
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	10	uS/cm	-	96	-	-
pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	0.1	pH Units		6.0		
Resistivity*	0.5	ohm.m	-	100	-	-
Sulphate (as SO4)	30	mg/kg	-	< 30	-	-
% Moisture	1	%	24	22	19	17
Heavy Metals						
Arsenic	2	mg/kg	12	-	11	16
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	< 0.4	-	< 0.4	< 0.4
Chromium	5	mg/kg	21	-	19	25
Copper	5	mg/kg	22	-	26	24



Client Sample ID Sample Matrix Eurofins Sample No. Date Sampled			BH03-0.4 Soil S20-Ma39216 Mar 23, 2020	BH03-3.2 Soil S20-Ma39217 Mar 23, 2020	BH04-0.5 Soil S20-Ma39218 Mar 23, 2020	BH05-0.5 Soil S20-Ma39219 Mar 23, 2020
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Heavy Metals						
Lead	5	mg/kg	35	-	25	36
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nickel	5	mg/kg	22	-	14	18
Zinc	5	mg/kg	210	-	60	140
Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test						
pH-F (Field pH test)*	0.1	pH Units	-	5.7	-	-
pH-FOX (Field pH Peroxide test)*	0.1	pH Units	-	5.0	-	_
Reaction Ratings* ^{S05}		comment	-	2.0	-	-



Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported. A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions	Sydney	Mar 31, 2020	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
BTEX	Sydney	Mar 31, 2020	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	Sydney	Mar 31, 2020	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	Sydney	Mar 31, 2020	
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Sydney	Mar 31, 2020	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2130 PAH and Phenols in Soil and Water			
Metals M8	Sydney	Mar 31, 2020	180 Days
- Method: LTM-MET-3040 Metals in Waters, Soils & Sediments by ICP-MS			
Organochlorine Pesticides	Sydney	Mar 31, 2020	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2220 OCP & PCB in Soil and Water			
Organophosphorus Pesticides	Sydney	Mar 31, 2020	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2200 Organophosphorus Pesticides by GC-MS			
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	Sydney	Mar 31, 2020	28 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2220 OCP & PCB in Soil and Water			
Chloride	Melbourne	Mar 31, 2020	28 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4090 Chloride by Discrete Analyser			
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Sydney	Mar 31, 2020	7 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4030 Conductivity			
pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Sydney	Mar 31, 2020	7 Days
- Method: LTM-GEN-7090 pH in soil by ISE			
Sulphate (as SO4)	Melbourne	Mar 31, 2020	28 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4110 Sulfate by Discrete Analyser			
% Moisture	Sydney	Mar 25, 2020	14 Days
- Method: LTM-GEN-7080 Moisture			
Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test	Sydney	Mar 31, 2020	7 Days
- Method: LTM-GEN-7060 Determination of field pH (pHF) and field pH peroxide (pHFOX) tests			

	AURO	fine			A	ustral	ia									New Zealand			
ABN – S	0 005 085 521	web : www.eurofin	Enviro	nment Te ail : EnviroSales@eur	esting ofins.com	elbourn Montere andenoi hone : + ATA # 1 ite # 12:	10 3y Road ng South -61 3 85/ 1261 54 & 142	h VIC 3 64 5000 271	175)	Sydney Unit F3, 16 Mars Lane Co Phone : NATA #	/ , Buildin s Road ove We : +61 2 \$ ± 1261 \$	ig F st NSW 9900 84 Site # 18	2066 00 217	Brisbane 1/21 Smallwood Place Murarrie QLD 4172 Phone : +61 7 3902 4600 NATA # 1261 Site # 20794	Perth 2/91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 Phone : +61 8 9251 9600 NATA # 1261 Site # 23736	Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone: +64 9 526 45 51 IANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 767 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290		
Cor Ado	npany Name: Iress:	Cardno (NSV Level 9, 203 St Leonards NSW 2065	W/ACT) Pty Lt Pacific Highw	td /ay			Or Re Ph Fa	der N eport none: x:	lo.: #:	7 0 0	71003))2949))2 949	0 67700 99 390	2		Received: Due: Priority: Contact Name:	Mar 25, 2020 12:22 Apr 1, 2020 5 Day Alex Chapple	PM		
Pro Pro	ject Name: ject ID:	SUE SAVAG 80020079	E RESERVE												Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Ursula Lonç				
		Sa	mple Detail			Asbestos - WA guidelines	CANCELLED	HOLD	Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test	Eurofins mgt Suite B15	Aggressivity Soil Set	Moisture Set	Eurofins mgt Suite B7						
Melb	ourne Laborato	ory - NATA Site	# 1254 & 142	271		<u> </u>					Х								
Sydn	ey Laboratory	- NATA Site # 1	8217			X	X	Х	X	X	Х	X	Х						
Brisk	ane Laboratory	y - NATA Site #	20794				↓												
Perth	Laboratory - N	IATA Site # 237	/36			<u> </u>	──┤												
No	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampling Time	Matrix	LAB ID														
1	BH01-0.3	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39212	Х				Х		Х	Х						
2	BH01-1.4	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39213				Х		Х	Х							
3	BH02-0.6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39214	Х				Х		Х	Х						
4	BH02-2.6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39215				Х										
5	BH03-0.4	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39216	Х				Х		Х	Х						
6	BH03-3.2	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39217	\vdash	\square		Х		Х	Х							
7	BH04-0.5	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39218	X				Х		X	Х						
8	BH05-0.5	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39219	X	\square			Х		Х	Х						
9	BH01-1.1	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma39220			Х											

ABN - 50 005 085 521	web : www.eurofins	Enviror	mont		Melbo	ourne			Sydno					Death	Augkland	
ABN - 50 005 085 521 web : www.eurofins.com.au e.mail : EnviroSales@eurofins.com				6 Mor Dande Phone NATA Site #	terey Ro enong So e : +61 3 # 1261 1254 &	ad outh VIC 8564 50 14271	3175 00	Unit F3 16 Mar Lane C Phone NATA	y s, Buildir s Road cove We : +61 2 # 1261 \$	ng F est NSW 9900 84 Site # 18	2066 00 217	Brisbane 1/21 Smallwood Place Murarrie QLD 4172 Phone : +61 7 3902 4600 NATA # 1261 Site # 20794	Pern 2/91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 Phone : +61 8 9251 9600 NATA # 1261 Site # 23736	35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 IANZ # 1327	Ghristchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 76 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290	
Company Name:Cardno (NSW/ACT) Pty LtdAddress:Level 9, 203 Pacific HighwaySt LeonardsNSW 2065							Order Repor Phone Fax:	No.: t #: ::	-	71003 02949 02 949	0 67700 99 390	12		Received: Due: Priority: Contact Name:	Mar 25, 2020 12:22 Apr 1, 2020 5 Day Alex Chapple	РМ
Project Name:SUE SAVAGE RESERVEProject ID:80020079														Eurofins Analytica	l Services Manager : L	Jrsula Long
Sample Detail					Aspessos - WA guidelines	CANCELLED	HOLD	Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test	Eurofins mgt Suite B15	Aggressivity Soil Set	Moisture Set	Eurofins mgt Suite B7				
Melbourne Laborato	bourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271							_		х			_			
Sydney Laboratory -	/ - NATA Site # 18217)	< X	<u> </u>	X	X	X	X	Х	-				
Brisbane Laboratory	isbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794							_					-			
	Mar 22 2020	30	Soil	S20 Mo202	22								-			
12 BH02-3.6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-IVI8392	22								-			
13 BH03-1 6	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma392	23		X						-			
14 BH03-1.9	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma3922	25		X			1			-			
15 BH01-0.4	Mar 23, 2020		Soil	S20-Ma392	26	×				1			1			
Test Counts	· · · ·				ŧ	5 1	6	3	5	2	7	5				



Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

- Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follows guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site 1. Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013 and are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
- 2. All soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
- 3. All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
- Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
- 5. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds
- 6. SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
- 7. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
- 8. Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results.
- This report replaces any interim results previously issued. 9.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days. **NOTE: pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

Units

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram	mg/L: milligrams per litre	ug/L: micrograms per litre
ppm: Parts per million	ppb: Parts per billion	%: Percentage
org/100mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres	NTU: Nephelometric Turbidity Units	MPN/100mL: Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

Terms	
Dry	Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.
LOR	Limit of Reporting.
SPIKE	Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery.
CRM	Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery.
Method Blank	In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water.
Surr - Surrogate	The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.
Duplicate	A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
APHA	American Public Health Association
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
сос	Chain of Custody
SRA	Sample Receipt Advice
QSM	US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 5.3
СР	Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report
NCP	Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.
TEQ	Toxic Equivalency Quotient

QC - Acceptance Criteria

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% Phenols & 50-150% PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.3 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC Data General Comments

- 1. Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
- 2. Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
- 3. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
- 4. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
- Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported 5. in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
- 6. pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
- 7. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
- 8. Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
- 9. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash " -" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
- 10. Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.



Quality Control Results

Test	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code						
Method Blank												
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions												
TRH C6-C9	mg/kg	< 20		20	Pass							
TRH C10-C14	mg/kg	< 20		20	Pass							
TRH C15-C28	mg/kg	< 50		50	Pass							
TRH C29-C36	mg/kg	< 50		50	Pass							
Method Blank			<u>к</u>	1								
BTEX												
Benzene	ma/ka	< 0.1		0.1	Pass							
Toluene	ma/ka	< 0.1		0.1	Pass							
Ethylbenzene	ma/ka	< 0.1		0.1	Pass							
m&p-Xylenes	ma/ka	< 0.2		0.2	Pass							
o-Xylene	ma/ka	< 0.1		0.1	Pass							
Xylenes - Total*	ma/ka	< 0.3		0.3	Pass							
Method Blank	iiig/itg	× 0.0		0.0	1 400							
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions												
Naphthalene	ma/ka	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
TRH C6-C10	ma/ka	< 20		20	Pass							
TRH >C10-C16	ma/ka	< 50		50	Pass							
TRH \C16-C34	ma/ka	< 100		100	Pass							
TRH >C34-C40	ma/ka	< 100		100	Pass							
Method Blank	iiig/itg	< 100		100	1 435							
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons												
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Anthracene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Benz(a)anthracene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Benzo(b&i)fluoranthene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Chrysene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Fluorene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Naphthalene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Pyrene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass							
Method Blank	00											
Organochlorine Pesticides												
Chlordanes - Total	mg/kg	< 0.1		0.1	Pass							
4.4'-DDD	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass							
4.4'-DDE	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass							
4.4'-DDT	ma/ka	< 0.05		0.05	Pass							
a-BHC	ma/ka	< 0.05		0.05	Pass							
Aldrin	mg/ka	< 0.05		0.05	Pass							
b-BHC	ma/ka	< 0.05		0.05	Pass							
d-BHC	ma/ka	< 0.05		0.05	Pass							
Dieldrin	ma/ka	< 0.05		0.05	Pass							
Endosulfan I	ma/ka	< 0.05		0.05	Pass							
Endosulfan II	mg/ka	< 0.05		0.05	Pass							



Test	Units	Result 1	Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Endosulfan sulphate	mg/kg	< 0.05	0.05	Pass	
Endrin	mg/kg	< 0.05	0.05	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde	mg/kg	< 0.05	0.05	Pass	
Endrin ketone	mg/kg	< 0.05	0.05	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindane)	mg/kg	< 0.05	0.05	Pass	
Heptachlor	mg/kg	< 0.05	0.05	Pass	
Heptachlor epoxide	mg/kg	< 0.05	0.05	Pass	
Hexachlorobenzene	mg/kg	< 0.05	0.05	Pass	
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Toxaphene	mg/kg	< 1	1	Pass	
Method Blank					
Organophosphorus Pesticides					
Azinphos-methyl	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Bolstar	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Chlorfenvinphos	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Chlorpyrifos	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Coumaphos	mg/kg	< 2	2	Pass	
Demeton-S	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Demeton-O	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Diazinon	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Dimethoate	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Disulfoton	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
EPN	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Ethion	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Ethoprop	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Ethyl parathion	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Fensulfothion	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Fenthion	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Malathion	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Merphos	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Methyl parathion	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Mevinphos	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Monocrotophos	mg/kg	< 2	2	Pass	
Naled	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Omethoate	mg/kg	< 2	2	Pass	
Phorate	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Pirimiphos-methyl	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Pyrazophos	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Ronnel	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Terbufos	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Tetrachlorvinphos	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Tokuthion	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Trichloronate	mg/kg	< 0.2	0.2	Pass	
Method Blank					
Polychlorinated Biphenyls					
Aroclor-1016	mg/kg	< 0.5	0.5	Pass	
Aroclor-1221	mg/kg	< 0.1	0.1	Pass	
Arocior-1232	mg/kg "	< 0.5	0.5	Pass	
Arocior-1242	mg/kg	< 0.5	0.5	Pass	
Arocior-1248	mg/kg	< 0.5	0.5	Pass	
Aroclor-1254	mg/kg	< 0.5	0.5	Pass	



Test	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Aroclor-1260	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Total PCB*	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Method Blank						
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	uS/cm	< 10		10	Pass	
Method Blank		1				
Heavy Metals						
Arsenic	mg/kg	< 2		2	Pass	
Cadmium	mg/kg	< 0.4		0.4	Pass	
Chromium	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
Copper	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
Lead	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
Mercury	mg/kg	< 0.1		0.1	Pass	
Nickel	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
Zinc	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery		1				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions						
TRH C6-C9	%	102		70-130	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	%	95		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery		1				
втех						
Benzene	%	102		70-130	Pass	
Toluene	%	110		70-130	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	%	110		70-130	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	%	115		70-130	Pass	
o-Xylene	%	114		70-130	Pass	
Xylenes - Total*	%	115		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery		1				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	0 (-	
Naphthalene	%	126		70-130	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	%	103		70-130	Pass	
IRH >C10-C16	%	93		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery		[
	0/	00		70.120	Deee	
Acenaphthulana	%	90		70-130	Pass	
Anthracene	70	04		70-130	Pass	
Antinacene Ponz/o)onthrocono	70	94		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrepe	/0 0/2	92		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&i)fluoranthene	/0 0/2	95		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a hi)per/lene	70 0/2	111		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	/0 %	97		70-130	Pass	
Chrysene	/0 %	97		70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a b)anthracene	%	115		70-130	Pass	
Fluoranthene	%	90		70-130	Pass	
Fluorene	%	98		70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	%	112		70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene	%	104		70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene	%	96		70-130	Pass	
Pyrene	%	89		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery			· · · · ·			
Organochlorine Pesticides						
Chlordanes - Total	%	104		70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDD	%	100		70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDE	%	99		70-130	Pass	



Test			Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
4.4'-DDT			%	108		70-130	Pass	
a-BHC			%	105		70-130	Pass	
Aldrin			%	104		70-130	Pass	
b-BHC			%	106		70-130	Pass	
d-BHC		%	127		70-130	Pass		
Dieldrin			%	104		70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan I			%	105		70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan II			%	104		70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate			%	113		70-130	Pass	
Endrin			%	114		70-130	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde			%	99		70-130	Pass	
Endrin ketone			%	103		70-130	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindane)			%	105		70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor			%	102		70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor epoxide			%	105		70-130	Pass	
Hexachlorobenzene			%	103		70-130	Pass	
Methoxychlor			%	115		70-130	Pass	
Toxaphene			%	114		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery				1	1 1	1		
Organophosphorus Pesticides								
Diazinon			%	91		70-130	Pass	
Dimethoate			%	97		70-130	Pass	
Ethion			%	96		70-130	Pass	
Fenitrothion			%	96		70-130	Pass	
Methyl parathion			%	127		70-130	Pass	
Mevinphos			%	105		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery				1	1	1		
Polychlorinated Biphenyls								
Aroclor-1016			%	105		70-130	Pass	
Aroclor-1260			%	103		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery				1	1			
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at	25°C as rec.)		%	110		70-130	Pass	
Resistivity*			%	110		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery				1	1	1		
Heavy Metals								
Arsenic			%	100		70-130	Pass	
Cadmium			%	104		70-130	Pass	
Chromium			%	101		70-130	Pass	
Copper			%	99		70-130	Pass	
Lead			%	101		70-130	Pass	
Mercury			%	95		70-130	Pass	
Nickel			%	102		70-130	Pass	
Zinc			%	101		70-130	Pass	
Test	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code		
Spike - % Recovery						1		
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	1999 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1				
TRH C6-C9	S20-Ma39435	NCP	%	91		70-130	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	%	91		70-130	Pass			
Spike - % Recovery		1		1				
ВТЕХ				Result 1				
Benzene	S20-Ma39435	NCP	%	102		70-130	Pass	
Toluene	S20-Ma39435	NCP	%	107		70-130	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	S20-Ma39435	NCP	%	108		70-130	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	S20-Ma39435	NCP	%	108		70-130	Pass	



Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
o-Xylene	S20-Ma39435	NCP	%	109		70-130	Pass	
Xylenes - Total*	S20-Ma39435	NCP	%	109		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery								
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	2013 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1				
Naphthalene	S20-Ma39435	NCP	%	109		70-130	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	S20-Ma39435	NCP	%	92		70-130	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	W20-Ma38109	NCP	%	89		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery							-	
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	6			Result 1				
Acenaphthene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	91		70-130	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	93		70-130	Pass	
Anthracene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	85		70-130	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	92		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	87		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	98		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	109		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	95		70-130	Pass	
Chrysene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	96		70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	106		70-130	Pass	
Fluoranthene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	91		70-130	Pass	
Fluorene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	95		70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	102		70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	100		70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	94		70-130	Pass	
Pyrene	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	91		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery				1	1		r	
Organochlorine Pesticides				Result 1				
Chlordanes - Total	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	105		70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDD	S20-Ma35399	NCP	%	123		70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDE	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	99		70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDT	S20-Ma39354	NCP	%	93		70-130	Pass	
a-BHC	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	107		70-130	Pass	
Aldrin	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	104		70-130	Pass	
b-BHC	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	101		70-130	Pass	
d-BHC	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	130		70-130	Pass	
Dieldrin	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	103		70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan I	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	104		70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan II	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	103		70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	111		70-130	Pass	
Endrin	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	103		70-130	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	106		70-130	Pass	
Endrin ketone	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	92		70-130	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindane)	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	102		70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	87		70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor epoxide	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	104		70-130	Pass	
Hexachlorobenzene	S20-Ma39560	NCP	%	108		70-130	Pass	
Methoxychlor	S20-Ma39354	NCP	%	100		70-130	Pass	
Toxaphene	S20-Ma39354	NCP	%	129		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery								
Organophosphorus Pesticides	0 00 · · · ·			Result 1				
Diazinon	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	85		70-130	Pass	
Dimethoate	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	71		70-130	Pass	
Ethion	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	100		70-130	Pass	
Fenitrothion	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	76		70-130	Pass	



Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Methyl parathion	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	74			70-130	Pass	
Mevinphos	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	79			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery		•			•				
Polychlorinated Biphenyls				Result 1					
Aroclor-1260	S20-Ma39643	NCP	%	93			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery				1					
Heavy Metals				Result 1					
Arsenic	W20-Ma38109	NCP	%	104			70-130	Pass	
Cadmium	W20-Ma38109	NCP	%	106			70-130	Pass	
Chromium	W20-Ma38109	NCP	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Copper	W20-Ma38109	NCP	%	116			70-130	Pass	
Lead	W20-Ma38109	NCP	%	102			70-130	Pass	
Mercury	W20-Ma38109	NCP	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Nickel	W20-Ma38109	NCP	%	89			70-130	Pass	
Zinc	W20-Ma38109	NCP	%	114			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
Polychlorinated Biphenyls				Result 1					
Aroclor-1016	S20-Ma39473	NCP	%	104			70-130	Pass	
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Duplicate									
Organochlorine Pesticides				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Toxaphene	S20-Ma39555	NCP	mg/kg	< 1	< 1	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
% Moisture	S20-Ma39459	NCP	%	12	12	2.0	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Heavy Metals				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Arsenic	S20-Ma40102	NCP	mg/kg	14	11	21	30%	Pass	
Cadmium	S20-Ma40102	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	<1	30%	Pass	
Chromium	S20-Ma40102	NCP	mg/kg	15	14	8.0	30%	Pass	
Copper	S20-Ma40102	NCP	mg/kg	11	9.4	20	30%	Pass	
Lead	S20-Ma40102	NCP	mg/kg	30	25	18	30%	Pass	
Mercury	S20-Ma40102	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Nickel	S20-Ma40102	NCP	mg/kg	5.8	5.3	9.0	30%	Pass	
Zinc	S20-Ma40102	NCP	mg/kg	41	40	2.0	30%	Pass	
Duplicate				i			1		
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	S20-Ma39213	СР	uS/cm	47	51	7.7	30%	Pass	
pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	S20-Ma39213	СР	pH Units	5.6	5.6	Pass	30%	Pass	
Resistivity*	S20-Ma39213	СР	ohm.m	210	200	7.7	30%	Pass	
Duplicate				r	i		1		
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	1999 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
TRH C6-C9	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate					1				
BTEX		1		Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Benzene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Toluene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass	



Duplicate										
BTEX				Result 1	Result 2	RPD				
o-Xylene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass		
Xylenes - Total*	S20-Ma39216	СР	mg/kg	< 0.3	< 0.3	<1	30%	Pass		
Duplicate										
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	2013 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD				
Naphthalene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
TRH C6-C10	S20-Ma39216	СР	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	<1	30%	Pass		
TRH >C10-C16	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass		
TRH >C16-C34	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	<1	30%	Pass		
TRH >C34-C40	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	<1	30%	Pass		
Duplicate										
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	5			Result 1	Result 2	RPD				
Acenaphthene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Acenaphthylene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Anthracene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Benz(a)anthracene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Benzo(a)pyrene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Chrysene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Fluoranthene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Fluorene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Naphthalene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Phenanthrene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Pyrene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Duplicate										
Organochlorine Pesticides				Result 1	Result 2	RPD				
Chlordanes - Total	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass		
4.4'-DDD	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
4.4'-DDE	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
4.4'-DDT	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
a-BHC	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
Aldrin	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
b-BHC	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
d-BHC	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
Dieldrin	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
Endosulfan I	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
Endosulfan II	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
Endosulfan sulphate	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
Endrin	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
Endrin aldehyde	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
Endrin ketone	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
g-BHC (Lindane)	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
Heptachlor S20-Ma39216 CP		CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
Heptachlor epoxide	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
Hexachlorobenzene	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass		
Methoxychlor	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass	1	



Duplicate										
Organophosphorus Pesticides				Result 1	Result 2	RPD				
Azinphos-methyl	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Bolstar	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Chlorfenvinphos	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Chlorpyrifos	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	S20-Ma39216	СР	ma/ka	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Coumaphos	S20-Ma39216	СР	ma/ka	< 2	< 2	<1	30%	Pass		
Demeton-S	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Demeton-O	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Diazinon	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Dichlorvos	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Dimethoate	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Disulfoton	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
EPN	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Ethion	S20-Ma39216	СР	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Ethoprop	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Ethyl parathion	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Fenitrothion	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Fensulfothion	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Fenthion	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Malathion	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Merphos	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Methyl parathion	S20-Ma39216	СР	ma/ka	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Mevinphos	S20-Ma39216	СР	ma/ka	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Monocrotophos	S20-Ma39216	СР	ma/ka	< 2	< 2	<1	30%	Pass		
Naled	S20-Ma39216	CP	ma/ka	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Omethoate	S20-Ma39216	СР	mg/kg	< 2	< 2	<1	30%	Pass		
Phorate	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Pirimiphos-methyl	S20-Ma39216	СР	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Pyrazophos	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Ronnel	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Terbufos	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Tetrachlorvinphos	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Tokuthion	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Trichloronate	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
Duplicate										
Polychlorinated Biphenyls				Result 1	Result 2	RPD				
Aroclor-1016	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Aroclor-1221	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass		
Aroclor-1232	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Aroclor-1242	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Aroclor-1248	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Aroclor-1254	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Aroclor-1260	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Total PCB*	S20-Ma39216	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
Duplicate										
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	1999 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD				
TRH C10-C14	S20-Ma39218	CP	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	<1	30%	Pass		
TRH C15-C28	S20-Ma39218	CP	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass		
TRH C29-C36	S20-Ma39218	CP	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass		
Duplicate										
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	2013 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD				
TRH >C10-C16	S20-Ma39218	CP	mg/ka	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass		
TRH >C16-C34	S20-Ma39218	CP	mg/ka	< 100	< 100	<1	30%	Pass		
TRH >C34-C40	S20-Ma39218	CP	mg/ka	< 100	< 100	<1	30%	Pass		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•						•			



Comments

Sample Integrity	
Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

Qualifier Codes/Comments

Code Description

F2 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "naphthalene" value from the ">C10-C16" value. The naphthalene value used in this calculation is obtained from volatiles N01 (Purge & Trap analysis).

Where we have reported both volatile (P&T GCMS) and semivolatile (GCMS) naphthalene data, results may not be identical. Provided correct sample handling protocols have been followed, any observed differences in results are likely to be due to procedural differences within each methodology. Results determined by both techniques have passed all QAQC acceptance criteria, and are entirely technically valid.

 F1 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "Total BTEX" value from the "C6-C10" value. The "Total BTEX" value is obtained by summing the concentrations of BTEX analytes. The "C6-C10" value is obtained by quantitating against a standard of mixed aromatic/aliphatic analytes.

 N04
 Please note:- These two PAH isomers closely co-elute using the most contemporary analytical methods and both the reported concentration (and the TEQ) apply specifically to the total of the two co-eluting PAHs

N07 the total of the two co-eluting PAHs Field Screen uses the following fizz rating to classify the rate the samples reacted to the peroxide: 1.0; No reaction to slight. 2.0; Moderate reaction. 3.0; Strong reaction with S05 persistent froth. 4.0; Extreme reaction.

Authorised By

Ursula Long	Analytical Services Manager
Andrew Sullivan	Senior Analyst-Organic (NSW)
Gabriele Cordero	Senior Analyst-Inorganic (NSW)
Gabriele Cordero	Senior Analyst-Metal (NSW)
Nibha Vaidya	Senior Analyst-Asbestos (NSW)
Scott Beddoes	Senior Analyst-Inorganic (VIC)

11/1/

Glenn Jackson General Manager

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please click here.

Eurofins shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this incipated to the same shall Eurofins be liable for consequencing during, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and to be produced and the same liable for loss.

Sue Savage Reserve

APPENDIX



GEOTECHNICAL LOGS



BOREHOLE LOG SHEET															
	Clie Proj	nt: ject:	F	Parra Sue S	matta City Coun Savage Reserve	cil						ŀ	Hole No: BH01		
	Loc	atio	n: T	loon	gabbie					Job No: 80020079			Sheet: 1 of 1		
	Pos	ition	: E31	1467	.398 N6260291.3	47 56 MGA9	94	Angle from Horizontal: 90°					e Elevation: 26.554 m AHD		
H	Rig	Type	e: Co Diam	omac	chio 205					Mounting: Track		Driller: Contra	: TR		
	Data	a Sta	rted:	23/3	/20 Da	te Completed	d: 23	/3/20		Logged By: AC	Check	ecked Bv: TH			
F		Drillin	g		Sampling & Tes	sting				Material Desc	ription	n -			
F						- Â	Ê		Ę						
	Method	Resistance	Casing	Water	Sample or Field Test	RL (m Al	Depth (Graphic Log	Classificatio	SOIL TYPE, plasticity or particle characteri colour, secondary and minor componen ROCK TYPE, grain size and type, colou fabric & texture, strength, weathering, defects and structure	stic, st r, Condition	Consistency Relative Density	STRUCTURE & Other Observations		
	•					-	_			Silty SAND: fine to coarse grained, dark brown, highly organic, with rootlets	м		TOPSOIL		
					ES 0.30 m - ES 0.40 m SPT 0.50 - 0.95 m 26 - 2, 4, 3 N=7		- -			FILL: Silty Sandy CLAY: low to medium plasticity, dark brown, fine to coarse grained sand, with decomposed organics with fine to coarse grained, rounded to angular gravel	s, M		FILL -		
		E		red	FS 1 10 m		-1		CL- CI	Sandy CLAY: low to medium plasticity, mottled grey, orange, & red, fine to mediu grained sand, trace fine to medium grain rounded to sub-angular gravel		St	ALLUVIUM		
	—— AD/T ——			Not Encounte	D 1.40 m ES 1.40 m SPT 1.50 - 1.95 m 4 7 14 N=21	- 25-	- - - -		CI- CH	Sitty Sandy CLAY: medium to high plastic orange, fine to medium grained sand, tra fine grained, sub-rounded ironstone grav	ity, ce rel M (>PL)	St	RESIDUAL SOIL		
		F	-				-2		SM	Silty SAND: fine to medium grained, brov orange, & white	vn, D	MD	-		
		н	_			-	-		<u>-</u> –	2.20m SANDSTONE: fine to medium, red, oran & pale grey, heavily weathered			BEDROCK 2.20 m: heavily weathered		
2000						24-	-			2.80m			-		
						_	_			TERMINATED AT 2.80 m Refusal					
						-	-3						-		
						- 23-	-						-		
.uuu naiga						-	-								
2.01						-	-4						-		
0707120107						-	-						-		
						- 22	-						-		
						-	-5						-		
						-	-						-		
						21-	-						-		
	METHOD PENETRATION EX Excavator bucket VE Very Easy (No Resistance) R Ripper E Easy PT Push tube F Firm PT Push tube H Hard SON Sonic drilling VH Very Easy (No Resistance) AH Air hammer VH Very Hard (Refusal) PS Percussion sampler AS Short spiral auger AD/V Solid flight auger: V-Bit Water Level on Date AD/T Solid flight auger: TC-Bit Water Inflow				FIE SP HP DC PS MC PB IMF PID VS	FIELD TESTS SAMPLES SPT - Standard Penetration Test B Bulk HP Hand/Pocket Penetrometer D Dist DCP Dynamic Cone Penetrometer U Thiu PSP Perth Sand Penetrometer U Thiu MC Moisture Content MOISTURE D Dry IMP Borehole Impression Test M Moisture PID Photoionisation Detector W Wet VS Vane Shear P=Peak PL Plas				I SOIL CONSISTENCY Ie VS - Very Soft S - Soft Ie F - Firm St - Stiff VSt - Very Stiff H - Hard RELATIVE DENSITY VL - Very Loose L - Loose MD - Medium Dense D - Dense					
	RR	er to ex	planatory	er notes f	or details of	, saler outliow	CA		0		Moisture co	ntent	VD - Very Dense		

BOREHOLE LOG SH												HOLE LOG SHEET				
Client: Parramatta City Council Project: Sue Savage Reserve Location: Toongabbie											lah Nay 20020070		ŀ	lole No: BH02		
Position: E311389,430 N6260298 445 56 MGA94									Angle from Horizontal: 90°				Sheet: 1 of 1 Surface Elevation: 25 829 m AHD			
Rig Type: Comacchio 205								-	Mounting: Track				Driller: TR			
Casing Diameter: N/A													Contra	ctor: Stratacore		
Data Started: 23/3/20 Date Completed: 23							plete	d: 23	/3/20 Logged By: AC				Checked By: TH			
	Drilling Sampling & Testing									1	Material Descrip	otion				
	Method	Resistance	Casing	Water	Sa Fi	ample or eld Test	RL (m AHC	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification	SOIL TYPE, plasticity or particle characteristi colour, secondary and minor components ROCK TYPE, grain size and type, colour, fabric & texture, strength, weathering, defects and structure		Consistency Relative Density	STRUCTURE & Other Observations		
	•										Silty Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, 0.20m dark brown	м		TOPSOIL		
					ES 0.30 m						FILL: Silty Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, grey & brown			FILL -		
					SPT 0.50 - 0.95 m (3, 5, 9 N=14 ES 0.60 m		-		}	FILL: Sandy Gravelly CLAY: medium plasticity, dark brown & grey, fine to medium grained sand, trace organics, ceramic	-		-			
				rcountered						fragments, glass		+	-			
					SPT 1.50 - 1	1.95 m					4.50m	M (>PL)				
					6, 4, 7 N=11 ES 1.60 m		24-	24 2			FILL: Sandy Gravelly CLAY: medium plasticity, dark brown & grey, fine to medium grained sand, trace organics, ceramic			-		
	/QP			Not E			23-				shale gravel, fine to medium grained	M (=PL)		-		
								-		× × + -	2.50m	<u>_</u>		- 		
noo - Rimon					ES 2.60 m D 2.70 m			-		СІ	brown & grey, fine to medium grained sand, trace fine to medium grained, sub-rounded to rounded gravel	w	F			
, I 1000 I					SPT 3.00 - 3.45 m 9, 3, 5 N=8 ES 3.20 m				CI- CH	Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, mottled grey & orange, fine to medium grained sand 3.30m		F	RESIDUAL SOIL			
								-		sc	Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, pale	D	MD	-		
De lagra									· · · · · · ·		SANDSTONE: fine to medium, pale grey, iron stained throughout	+	+	-		
							22-	-4			TERMINATED AT 3.80 m Refusal					
1110101								-						-		
														-		
Billion							21-	-						-		
0.00000000								- 5						-		
100-010070								- -						-		
					<u> </u>		20-	1						-		
	ME EX HA PT SAH PS AD AD	ETHOD PENETRATION X Excavator bucket Ripper VE Very Easy (No Resistance) A Hand auger F T Push tube H Hard ON Sonic drilling VH Very Hard (Refusal) H Air hammer VH Very Hard (Refusal) S Short spiral auger WATER D/V Solid flight auger: V-Bit Shown							FIELD TESTS SAMPLES SPT - Standard Penetration Test B - Bulk HP - Hand/Pocket Penetrometer D - Distu DCP - Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Envir PSP - Perth Sand Penetrometer U - Thin PBT - Plate Bearing Test D - Dry IMP - Borehole Impression Test M - Moist			5 ulk disturb isturbed sa nvironmen hin wall tul bin wall tul F ry loist /et	ed sampl ample tal sampl be 'undist	Ie SOIL CONSISTENCY VS - Very Soft F - Firm turbed' St - Stiff VSt - Very Stiff H - Hard RELATIVE DENSITY VL - Very Loose		
	HFA Hollow flight auger WB Washbore drilling RR Rock roller Refer to explanatory notes for details of							CA		VS - Vane Shear; P=Peak, R=Resdual (uncorrected kPa)			tic limit L - Louse MD - Medium Dense D - Dense VD - Very Dense			

LIB.GLB Log CARDNO NON-CORED 80020079 - SUE SAVAGE.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 20/04/2020 14:13 10.0.000 Datgel AGS RTA, Photo,

BOREHOLE LOG SH											HOLE LOG SHEET					
Client: Parramatta City Council Project: Sue Savage Reserve										lob No: 80020070			ŀ	lole No: BH03		
Position: F311367 966 N6260305 993 56 MCA04								JOD NO: 80020079					Surfac	e Flevation: 25 835 m AHD		
Rig Type: Comacchio 205								Mounting: Track					Driller:	: TR		
Casing Diameter: N/A												(Contra	ctor: Stratacore		
Data Started: 23/3/20 Date Completed: 23/3								3/20		Logged By: AC		C	Checke	ed By: TH		
Drilling Sampling & Testing October Sampling & Testing & Testi																
Method	Resistance	Casing	Water	S. F	ample or ield Test	RL (m AHD)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification	SOIL TYPE, plasticity or particle colour, secondary and minor or ROCK TYPE, grain size and ty fabric & texture, strength, we defects and structur	characteristic, components ype, colour, eathering, e	Moisture Condition	Consistency Relative Density	STRUCTURE & Other Observations		
									ł	Silty SAND: fine to coarse gra	ained, dark	м		TOPSOIL		
	E			ES 0.40 m SPT 0.50 - 2, 9, 20 N=	0.95 m 29					0.20m Eronn negro grants interest FILL: Silty Sandy CLAY: medi brown & dark brown, fine to c sand, trace fine to medium gra sub-rounded sandstone grave carbonaceous fragments 0.80m	ium plasticity, oarse grained ained, el, trace black	M (≈PL)		FILL		
	VH	_					-1			FILL: Silty Sandy CLAY: medi brown & dark brown, fine to cr sand, trace fine to medium gr sub-rounded sandstone grave carbonaceous fragments, trac fragments, trace ceramic frag rootlets, trace decomposed ti fragments	um plasticity, oarse grained ained, el, trace black ce concrete gments, trace mber			-		
				SPT 1.50 - 4, 2, 13 N= ES 1.60 m JB 1.90 m	1.95 m 15					1.90-1.95m: black carbonaceous gravel, with sand	ous gravel,	M (■PL)		-		
- AD/T			Not Encountered			·							-			
D	F		/03/201	∑	23-	23-		-	3.00m Silty Sandy CLAY: high plasticity, grey and dark brown, fine to medium grained sand	city, grey and rained sand						
- (53		0 m =120 - 150 kPa	22										
							- 		СН			w	F	-		
				SPT 4.50 - 4, 3, 6 N=9	4.95 m	21-										
₽	+					 .	-5		 	5.00m TERMINATED AT 5.00 m						
						20-				Target depth						
N	METHOD PENETRATION EX Excavator bucket VE Very Easy (No Resistance) R Ripper E Easy HA Hand auger E Easy								FIELD TESTS SAMPLES SPT - Standard Penetration Test B - Bull HP - Hand/Pocket Penetrometer D - Dist POED District				SOIL CONSISTENCY Ik disturbed sample Solt Consistency VS - Very Soft S - Soft vironmental sample F - Firm			
	T F T F ON S H A S F S D/V S D/V S D/V S D/T S F A F V B V S R F	\ Hand auger F Fin Push tube H Hard NN Sonic drilling H Hard \ Air hammer H Hard Short spiral auger WATER \/Y Solid flight auger: TC-Bit Water Level on Date \/Y Solid flight auger: TC-Bit H \/A Hollow flight auger H \/Y Solid flight auger Water inflow \/Y Solid flight auger Water outflow						DCPDynamic Cone Penetrometer PSPEnviro UPSPPerth Sand PenetrometerU- Thin wMCMoisture Content PBTDDryIMPBorehole Impression Test VSD- DryVSVane Shear; P=Peak, R=Resdual (uncorrected kPa)PL- Plast W				n wall tub ist ist stic limit uid limit isture con	t tic limit ture content t			
R	efer to e	xplanatory	notes t	or details of			CA	RDN	0	(NSW/ACT) PTY L	TD					
	BOREHOLE LOG SHEET															
--	---------------------	------------------	---	--	--	-----------------------	---	--	----------------------	--------------------------------	------------------------	--	---	------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	
Cli Pro Lo	ient ojeo cat	t: ct: ion	F S : T	Parra Sue S Toon	imatta Cit Savage Re gabbie	y Council eserve					Jo	b No: 80020079		ŀ	Hole No: BH04	
Po	siti	on:	E31	1348	3.388 N62	60300.086 56	MGA	94		Angle from Horizontal: 90° Sur				Surfac	e Elevation: 26.949 m AHD	
Rig	g Ty	ype	: Co	mac	chio 205				Mounting: Track Dril				Driller	: TR		
Са	sin	g D	iame	eter:	N/A									Contra	ctor: Stratacore	
Da	ta S	Stai	rted:	23/3	5/20	Date Com	plete	d: 23	/3/20		Lo	gged By: AC		Check	ed By: TH	
	Dri	illing			Sampl	ing & Testing	-					Material Descr	iption			
Method		Resistance	Casing	Water	S	ample or ield Test	RL (m AHD	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification	SOIL col RC f	TYPE, plasticity or particle characteris our, secondary and minor components OK TYPE, grain size and type, colour, abric & texture, strength, weathering, defects and structure	, 'ji Moisture Condition	Consistency Relative Density	STRUCTURE & Other Observations	
								-			0.20m	Silty SAND: fine to coarse grained, dark brown, highly organic, with rootlets	м		TOPSOIL	
		F			ES 0.50 m SPT 0.50 - 4, 3, 4 N=7	0.95 m		-				FILL: Silty Sandy CLAY: low to medium plasticity, brown, grey, & orange, fine to coarse grained sand, trace fine to coarse grained gravel, trace black carbonaceous fragments	M(cDL)		FILL -	
							26-	_ 1					M (<pl)< td=""><td></td><td>-</td></pl)<>		-	
					D 1.20 m						1.50m				-	
				Intered	SPT 1.50 - 4, 4, 12/50r	1.85 m nm N=R		-				FILL: Silty Sandy CLAY: low to medium plasticity, brown, grey, & orange, fine to coarse grained sand, trace fine to coarse grained gravel, trace black carbonaceous fragmente trace corcrete fragmente trace			-	
— AD/T —		п		Not Encou		~000 KF4	25-	-2 2 				ceramic fragments	е М (<pl)< td=""><td></td><td>-</td></pl)<>		-	
5		/H								> 	2.70m	FILL: Silty Sandy CLAY: low to medium			-	
	F	-VH			SPT 3.00 - 25/20mm H	3.32 m IB N=R	24-					plasticity, brown, grey, & orange, tine to coarse grained sand, trace fine to coarse grained gravel, trace black carbonaceous fragments, trace concrete fragments, trac ceramic fragments, trace concrete cobble	e S M (<pl)< td=""><td></td><td>3.00 m: SPT refusal due to bouncing</td></pl)<>		3.00 m: SPT refusal due to bouncing	
				23/03/201	D 3.60 m			-		CI	3.60m	Sandy CLAY: medium plasticity, grey & da grey, fine to coarse grained sand	rk	+		
V					D 3.80 m		23-	- 4		СІ	4.00m	Sandy CLAY: medium plasticity, orange & red, fine to coarse grained sand	M (>PL)		RESIDUAL SOIL	
												Target depth			-	
2								-							-	
5							22-	5							-	
															-	
															-	
,							21-									
METHOD 21 METHOD PENETRATION EX Excavator bucket R Ripper HA Hand auger PT Push tube SON Sonic drilling AH Air hammer PS Percussion sampler AD/V Solid flight auger: V-Bit AD/V Solid flight auger: TC-Bit HFA Hollow flight auger WB Washbore dilling			FIE SP HP DC PS MC PB IMF PIE VS	FIELD TESTS SAMPLES SPT - Standard Penetration Test B - Bull HP - Hand/Pocket Penetrometer D - Dis DCP - Dynamic Cone Penetrometer U - Thi PSP - Perth Sand Penetrometer U - Thi PBT - Plate Bearing Test D - Dry PID - Photoionisation Detector W - We VS - Vane Shear; P=Peak, PL - Plat			k Bulk disturb Disturbed s Environmen Thin wall tu RE Dry Moist Wet Plastic limit Moisture coi	Ik disturbed sample SOIL CONSISTENCY sturbed sample VS Very Soft sturbed sample F Firm in wall tube 'undisturbed' St Stiff y RELATIVE DENSITY yist VL Very Loose et L Loose uid limit D Medium Dense								
R	efer t	o expl	anatory	notes f	or details of			CA		0	(NS	W/ACT) PTY I TD				

2.01.6 LIB.GLB Log CARDNO NON-CORED 80020079 - SUE SAVAGE.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 20/04/2020 14:15 10.0.000 Datgel AGS RTA, Photo, Mo

	-	2	Ca	arc	Ino							B	BORE	EHOLE LOG SHEET		
	Clie Proi	nt: ect [.]	F	Parra	matta Cit	y Council							ŀ	lole No: BH05		
l	.00	atior	ו: 1	Toon	gabbie						Job No: 80020079			Sheet: 1 of 1		
F	os	ition	: E31	1324	.286 N62	60303.569 56	MGAS	94	Angle from Horizontal: 90°				Surfac	e Elevation: 26.908 m AHD		
F	Rig	Туре	e: Co	mac	chio 205				Mounting: Track D				Driller	riller: TR		
4	as	ing [Diame	eter:	N/A	Data Com	minto	4. 00	12/20		Longood Dug. AC		Contractor: Stratacore			
F	Jata		rtea:	23/3	20 Samul	Date Com	pieteo	a: 23	/3/20		Logged By: AC	ian	Checked By: TH			
-		Junu	y I		Sampi	ing & resung	â	-		_						
	Method	Resistance	Casing	Water	Si	ample or ield Test	RL (m AH	Depth (m	Graphic Log	Classification	SOIL TYPE, plasticity or particle characteristic colour, secondary and minor components ROCK TYPE, grain size and type, colour, fabric & texture, strength, weathering, defects and structure	Moisture Condition	Consistency Relative Density	STRUCTURE & Other Observations		
	•				ES 0.00 m						0.10m Silty SAND: fine to coarse grained, dark	м		TOPSOIL		
		F			SPT 0.50 - 4, 7, 9 N=10	0.95 m 6		- - - - - - -			G.30m FILL: Gravelly SAND: fine to coarse grained, grey & brown, fine to coarse grained gravel	/				
					D 1.20 m SPT 1.50 - 7, 8, 8 N=10	1.95 m 6	-	-			1.50m FILL: Sity Sandy CLAY: medium plasticity, brown & grey, fine to coarse grained sand, trace fine to coarse grained, angular to sub-rounded gravel, trace black carbonaceous fragments, trace					
eron i Bill	AD/T			Not Encountered			- 25-	-2			decomposed timber fragments, trace fine to coarse grained, sub-rounded sandstone gravel	M (<pl)< td=""><td></td><td></td></pl)<>				
		F-H			SPT 3.00 - 3, 4, 13 N=	3.45 m 17	24	- 3 			3.00m FILL: Silty Sandy CLAY: medium plasticity, brown & grey, fine to coarse grained sand, trace fine to coarse grained, angular to sub-rounded gravel, trace black carbonaceous fragments, trace decomposed timber fragments, trace fine to coarse grained, angular, sandstone and siltstone gravel					
aming 100.01 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1					SPT 4.50 - 2, 3, 7 N=10	4.95 m 0	23	- 				M (<pl)< td=""><td></td><td></td></pl)<>				
Ľ							22-	-5	\searrow	1-	5.00m Silty SAND: fine to medium grained, pale	D				
							-	- - - - - -			Vorange TERMINATED AT 5.00 m Target depth					
į	P.4-					DENETRATION	21-	1								
METHOD PENETRATION EX Excavator bucket R Ripper HA Hand auger PT Push tube SON Sonic drilling AH Air hammer PS Percussion sampler AS Short spiral auger AD/T Solid flight auger: TC-Bit HFA Hollow flight auger WB Washbore drilling RR Rock roller			FIELD TESTS SAMPLES SPT - Standard Penetration Test B Bulk HP - Hand/Pocket Penetrometer DCP Dynamic Cone Penetrometer DCP - Dynamic Cone Penetrometer D SE PSP - Perth Sand Penetrometer U Thin MC - Moisture Content MOISTURE D PBT - Plate Bearing Test D Dry IMP - Borehole Impression Test M Mois VS - Vane Shear; P=Peak, L PL R=Resdual (uncorrected kPa) w Mois			Ik disturb sturbed sa ovironmen in wall tul in wall tul joist et astic limit quid limit pisture cor	soil SOIL CONSISTENCY k disturbed sample VS - Very Soft ironmental sample S - Soft in wall tube 'undisturbed' F - Firm vist VS - Very Stiff t H - Hard stc VL - Very Loose t L - Loose uid limit D - Dense vyD - Very Dense									
	Ref abh	er to exp	planatory	notes f	or details of			CA	RDN	0	(NSW/ACT) PTY LTD					

	Cardno BOREHOLE LOG SHEET																
C	lier	nt:	F	Parra	matta Cit	y Council								⊦	lole l	No:	BH06
Ľ	oca	tior	n: 1	loon	gabbie	501 00					Job No: 80020079					Sh	eet: 1 of 1
Ρ	osi	tion	: E31	1382	2.527 N626	60253.441 56	6 MGA9)4			Angle from Horizontal: 90°	0	S	Surface	e Elevatio	on: 24.3	890 m AHD
R	ig 1	Гуре	: Co	mac	chio 205				Mounting: Track D				Driller: TR				
C	asi	ng [Diam	eter:	N/A								Contractor: Stratacore				
Р	ata	Sta	rted:	23/3	5/20	Date Cor	npleted	d: 23	/3/20		Logged By: AC		0	hecke	ed By: Th	1	
_		rilling]		Sampli	ing & Lesting				1	Material L	Description					
A solution of	INIERIIOO	Resistance	Casing	Water	Sa Fi	ample or eld Test	RL (m AHI	Depth (m	Graphic Log	Classification	SOIL TYPE, plasticity or particle charac colour, secondary and minor compo ROCK TYPE, grain size and type, co fabric & texture, strength, weather defects and structure	icteristic, onents colour, ring,	Condition	Consistency Relative Density	& C	STRUCTI	JRE rvations
F										8	Silty SAND: fine to coarse grained, 0.20m brown, highly organic, with rootlets	dark	м		TOPSOIL		
				rcountered	B 0.30 - 0.8	0 m	24	-		CI- CH	Silty Sandy CLAY: medium to high pl brown & orange, fine to medium grai sand, trace rounded gravel	lasticity, ined	(<pl)< td=""><td>F</td><td>ALLUVIUM</td><td></td><td>-</td></pl)<>	F	ALLUVIUM		-
				Not E				-			1.00m	+					-
							23-	-		сн	Sitty CLAY: high plasticity, grey		w	F			-
							-	-			TERMINATED AT 1.50 m Target depth						-
							-	-2									-
2							22-	-									-
2							-	- 3									-
							21-	-									-
0. infina 0.00.							-	-									
2							-	-4									-
1							20-	-									-
5							-	5									-
							19-	-									-
00000								-									
	MET EX R HA PT SON AH	HOD Ex Rij Ha Pu J So Air	cavato oper ind aug ish tub nic dril	r buck ger e ling ier	et	PENETRATION VE Very Easy (E Easy F Firm H Hard VH Very Hard (No Resistan Refusal)	ce)	FIE SP HP DC PS MC	LD TE T - P - P -	STS SA Standard Penetration Test B Hand/Pocket Penetrometer D Dynamic Cone Penetrometer ES Perth Sand Penetrometer U Moisture Content MC	AMPLES - Bulk di - Disturb - Enviror - Thin wa DISTURE	isturbe bed sar nmenta all tub	ed sample mple al sample e 'undist	e e urbed'	SOIL CON VS - \s S - \s F - F St - \s VSt - \s VSt - \s	ISISTENCY /ery Soft Soft :irm Stiff /ery Stiff fard
	AH Air hammer ⁷ PS Percussion sampler AS Short spiral auger AD/T Solid flight auger: TC-Bit HFA Hollow flight auger WB Washbore drilling RR Rock roller			PB IMF PIC VS	T - P -) - -	Plate Bearing Test D Borehole Impression Test M Photoionisation Detector W Vane Shear; P=Peak, LL R=Resdual (uncorrected kPa) W	- Dry - Moist - Wet - Plastic - Liquid - Moistur	H - Hard RELATIVE DENSITY Relative Density Hard vist VL - Very Loose atic limit L - Loose MD Medium Dense D Dense visture content VD - Very Dense									
	Refer abbre	to exp	planatory is and ba	notes f	or details of lescriptions			CA	RDN	0	(NSW/ACT) PTY LTD)			I		



Sue Savage Reserve

APPENDIX



LABORATORY RESULTS



14/1 Cowpasture Place, Wetherill Park NSW 2164 Phone: (02)9756 2166 | Email: enquiries@stsgeo.com.au



Atterberg Limits and Linear Shrinkage Report

Project: Sue Savage Reserve, ToongabbieProject No.:30388Client: CardnoReport No.:20/1047Address: Level 9 The Forum, 209 The Pacific Highway, St Leonards NSW 2065Report Date:8/04/2020Test Method: AS 1289 3.1.1, 3.2.1, 3.3.1, 3.4.1, 2.1.1Page:1 of 1

Sampling Procedure: Samples Supplied By Client (Not covered under NATA Scope of Accreditation)

STS / Sample No.	3672D-L/ 1	3672D-L/ 2	3672D-L/ 4	3672D-L/ 5	3672D-L/ 7	
Sample Location	Borehole 1	Borehole 2	Borehole 4	Borehole 4	Borehole 5	
Material Description	Sandy Gravelly Clay, brown yellow	Sandy Gravelly Clay, brown yellow	Sandy Gravelly Clay, brown yellow	Sandy Gravelly Clay, brown yellow grey	Sandy Gravelly Clay, brown yellow	
Depth (m)	1.4	2.7	1.2	3.6	1.2	
Sample Date	24/03/2020	24/03/2020	24/03/2020	24/03/2020	24/03/2020	
Sample History	Oven Dried	Oven Dried	Oven Dried	Oven Dried	Oven Dried	
Method of Preparation	Dry Sieved	Dry Sieved	Dry Sieved	Dry Sieved	Dry Sieved	
Liquid Limit (%)	44	36	28	33	30	
Plastic Limit (%)	17	16	15	15	15	
Plasticity Index	27	20	13	18	15	
Linear Shrinkage (%)	14	11	10	10	10	
Mould Size (mm)	250	250	250	250	250	
Crumbing	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν	
Curling	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	

Remarks:

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC



Approved Signatory.....

Technician:

Orlando Mendoza - Laboratory Manager

DH

STS Geotechnics Pty Ltd

14/1 Cowpasture Place, Wetherill Park NSW 2164 Phone: (02)9756 2166 | Email: enquiries@stsgeo.com.au



Emerson Class No.

Project: Sue Savage Reserve, Toongabbie

Client: Cardno

Address: L9 The Forum, 203 Pacific Highway, St Leonards NSW 2065 Test Method: AS1289.3.8.1

Project No.:	30388
Report No.:	20/1049
Report Date:	9/04/2020
Page:	1 of 1

Sampling Procedure: Samples Supplied By Client (Not covered under NATA Scope of Accreditation)

STS / Sample No.	3672D-L/1	3672D-L/4		
Sample Location	Borehole 1	Borehole 4		
Material Description	Silty Clay, orange brown trace of gravel	Silty Clay, brown trace of gravel		
Depth (mm)	1.4m	1.2m		
Sample Date	23/03/2020	23/03/2020		
Date Tested	7/04/2020	7/04/2020		
Source of Material	Disturbed	Disturbed		
Water Temperature (°)	22	22		
Emerson Class No.	5	3		

Emerson Classification

Class 1: Slaking and complete dispersion before remoulding

Class 2: Slaking and some dispersion before remoulding

Class 3: Slaking and no dispersion before remoulding, dispersion after remoulding

Class 4: Slaking and no despersion before remoulding, no dispersion after remoulding, calcite or gypsum present

Class 5: Slaking and no dispersion before remoulding, no dispersion after remoulding, no calcite or gypsum present, dispersion after slaking in a 1:5 soil / water suspension

Class 6: Slaking and no dispersion before remoulding, no dispersion after remoulding, no calcite or gypsum present, flocculation after shaking in a 1:5 soil / water suspension

Class 7: No slaking, swelling occurs

Class 8: No slaking, swelling does not occur

DI

Remarks:

Technician:



Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards NaTA Accreditation Number 2750



Approved Signatory.....

Orlando Mendoza - Laboratory Manager

STS Geotechnics Pty Ltd

14/1 Cowpasture Place, Wetherill Park NSW 2164 Phone: (02)9756 2166 | Email: enquiries@stsgeo.com.au



Moisture Content of Soil and Aggregate Samples

Project: Sue Savage Reserve, Toongabbie	Project No.:	30388
Client: Cardno	Report No.:	20/1050
Address: L9 The Forum, 203 Pacific Highway, St Leonards NSW 2065	Report Date:	9/04/2020
Test Method: AS1289.3.8.1	Page:	1 of 1

Sampling Procedure: Samples Supplied By Client (Not covered under NATA Scope of Accreditation)

	23/03/2020	23/03/2020	23/03/2020	23/03/2020	23/03/2020	
Sample Date						
Depth (mm)	1.4m	2.7m	1.2m	3.6m	1.2m	
Material Description	Silty Clay, orange brown trace of gravel	Silty Clay, brown trace of gravel	Silty Clay, brown trace of gravel	Silty Clay, brown with gravel	Silty Clay, brown trace of gravel	
Sample Location	Borehole 1	Borehole 2	Borehole 4	Borehole 4	Borehole 5	
STS / Sample No.	3672D-L/1	3672D-L/2	3672D-L/4	3672D-L/5	3672D-L/7	

Remarks:



Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC

17025 - Testing The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards NATA Accreditation Number 2750

Approved Signatory.....

Orlando Mendoza - Laboratory Manager

Form: RPS12

STS Geotechnics Pty Ltd

14/1 Cowpasture Place, Wetherill Park NSW 2164 Phone: (02)9756 2166 | Email: enquiries@smectesting.com.au



California Bearing Ratio Determination Report

Project: Sue Savage Reserve, Toongabbie

Client: Cardno

Address: Level 9 The Forum, 209 The Pacific Highway, St Leonards NSW 2065 Test Method: AS1289.6.1.1,5.1.1,2.1.1

No. of Days Soaked: 4

Project No.:	30388
Report No.:	20/1056
Report Date:	9/04/2020
Page:	1 of 1
Compactive Effort:	Standard
Target Compaction (%):	100
Surcharge (Kg):	4.5

Sampling Procedure: Samples Supplied By Client (Not covered under NATA Scope of Accreditation)

STS / Sai	nple No.	3672D-L/8					
Sample	Location	Borehole 6					
Material Description		Silty Clay, brown trace of gravel					
Depth of Sample (m)		0.3-0.8					
Sample Date		23/03/2020					
Oversize or +19m	n Wet Basis m (%)	0.0					
Field Moisture Content		20.7					
Optimum Moisture Content (%)		18					
Maximum Dry Density (t/m ³)		1.782					
Dry Density (t/m³)	Before Soaking	1.781					
	After Soaking	1.774					
Reli Comp (1	Before Soaking	100					
ntive action 6)	After Soaking	99.6					
Mois Conte	Before Soaking	18.2					
iture nt (%)	After Soaking	19.6					
Moisture R Soakii	atio Before ng (%)	101.2					
Mois Cont after (%	Top 30mm	20.2					
ture tent test 6)	Entire Depth	19.2					
Swell after	Soaking (%)	0.4					
CBR Va	lue (%)	7.0					
Penetrat	ion (mm)	2.5					
Remarks: Technician [:] P	Remarks: +19mm material excluded from test Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are Approved Signatory						
	•		NATA Accreditation N	Number 2750			

APPENDIX



IMPORTANT INFORMATION



Cardno[®]

Important Information about this Report

Scope of Work

The purpose of this report and any associated documentation is expressly stated in the document. This document does not form a complete assessment of the site, and no implicit determinations about Cardno's scope can be taken if not specifically referenced. Whilst this report is intended to reduce geotechnical risk, no level of detail or scope of work can entirely eliminate risk.

The nature of geotechnical data typically precludes auxiliary environmental assessment without undertaking specific methods in the investigation. Therefore, unless it is explicitly stated in the scope of work, this report does not provide any contamination or environmental assessment of the site or adjacent sites, nor can it be inferred or implied from any component of the document.

The scope of work, geotechnical information, and assessments made by Cardno may be summarised in the report; however, all aspects of the document, including associated data and limitations should be reviewed in its entirety.

Standard of care

Cardno have undertaken investigations, performed consulting services, and prepared this report based on the Client's specific requirements, data that was available or was collected, and previous experience.

Cardno's findings and assessment represent its reasonable judgment, diligence, skill, with sound professional standards, within the time and budget constraints of its commission. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report.

Data sources

In preparing this document, or providing any consulting services during the commission, Cardno may have relied on information from third parties including, but not limited to; sub-consultants, published data, and the Client including its employees or representatives. This data may not be verified and Cardno assumes no responsibility for the adequacy, incompleteness, inaccuracies, or reliability of this information.

Cardno does not assume any responsibility for assessments made partly, or entirely based on information provided by third parties.

Variability in conditions and limitations of data

Subsurface conditions are complex and can be highly variable; they cannot be accurately defined by discrete investigations. Geotechnical data is based on investigation locations which are explicitly representative of the specific sample or test points. Interpretation of conditions between such points cannot be assumed to represent actual subsurface information and there are unknowns or variations in ground conditions between test locations that cannot be inferred or predicted.

The precision and reliability of interpretive assessment between discrete points is dependent on the uniformity of the subsurface strata, as well as the frequency, detail, and method of sampling or testing.

Subsurface conditions are formed by various natural and anthropogenic processes and therefore are subject to change over time. This is particularly relevant with changes to the site ownership or usage, site boundary or layout, and design or planning modifications. Aspects of the site may also not be able to be determined due to physical or project related constraints and any information provided by Cardno cannot apply following modification to the site, regulations, standards, or the development itself.

It is important to appreciate that no level of detail in investigation, or diligence in assessment, can eliminate uncertainty related to subsurface conditions and thus, geotechnical risk. Cardno cannot and does not provide unqualified warranties nor does it assume any liability for site conditions not observed or accessible during the investigations.

Verification of opinions and recommendations

Geotechnical information, by nature, represents an opinion and is based extensively on judgment of both data and interpretive assessments or observation. This report and its associated documentation are provided explicitly based on Cardno's opinion of the site at the time of inspection, and cannot be extended beyond this.

Any recommendations or design are provided as preliminary until verified on site during project implementation or construction. Inspection and verification on site shall be conducted by a suitably qualified geotechnical consultant or engineer, and where subsurface conditions or interpretations differ from those provided in this document or otherwise anticipated, Cardno must be notified and be provided with an opportunity to review the recommendations.

Client and copyright

This document is produced by Cardno solely for the benefit and use by the Client in accordance with the terms of the engagement. Cardno does not and shall not assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party arising out of any use or reliance by any third party on the content of this document.

Copyright in the whole and every part of this document belongs to Cardno and may not be used, sold, transferred, copied or reproduced in whole or in part in any manner or form or in or on any media to any person other than by agreement with Cardno.